

HALL-HIGMAN TYPE THEOREMS FOR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS OF FINITE CLASSICAL GROUPS

PHAM HUU TIEP AND A. E. ZALESKIĬ

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. For any element $g \in G$ and any irreducible representation Θ of G over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic ℓ , let $\deg(\Theta(g))$ denote the degree of the minimal polynomial of the matrix $\Theta(g)$. For $g \in G$, let $o(g)$ denote the order of g modulo $Z(G)$. Clearly, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \leq o(g)$. Furthermore, if the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ is coprime to the order $|g|$ of g , then $\deg(\Theta(g))$ is just the number of distinct eigenvalues of $\Theta(g)$. On the other hand, if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = \ell > 0$ and g is an ℓ -element, then $\deg(\Theta(g))$ is the largest size of Jordan blocks of $\Theta(g)$.

There are many applications demonstrating the importance of knowing the eigenvalues and minimal polynomials of elements of linear groups, in other words, of group elements in finite dimensional representations. Investigations on this topic go back at least to the classical results of Blichfeldt [B]. In 1956, a fundamental result was obtained by Hall and Higman [HH] which describes the minimal polynomial of any p -element of a finite p -solvable group in a p -modular representation. The Hall-Higman Theorem led to various reductions for the Restricted Burnside Problem, cf. [HH]. It also proved to be invaluable for the development of linear methods in group theory, cf. [HB]. Later on, many important results were established by Thompson [Th], Shult [Sh], Berger [Be], Robinson [R], and others. To a large extent, these results can be viewed as contributions to the following general problem:

Problem 1.1. *Determine all possible values for $\deg(\Theta(g))$, and if possible, all triples (G, Θ, g) with $\deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$, in the first instance under the condition that $o(g)$ is a p -power.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C15, 20C20, 20C33, 20G05, 20G40.

The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSF (grant DMS-0600967), the NSA (grant H98230-04-0066), and the EPSRC (grant GR/S56511/01). The second author acknowledges a partial support from the Levehulme Trust (grant EM/2006/0030). The authors also thank F. Lübeck for helping them with some computer calculation.

The celebrated theorem of Hall-Higman [HH] is concerned with the case where G is p -solvable, $p = \ell$ and $O_p(G) = 1$. Work of Thompson and later of Ho on quadratic modules, and also some more recent work along the lines of Meierfrankenfeld-Stellmacher-Stroth's program on a third-generation proof of the classification theorem for finite simple groups, are devoted to the case $o(g) = p = \ell$.

The priority in studying Problem 1.1 should be given to the groups G that are "close" to be simple. Already for the alternating groups, Problem 1.1 turns out to be very challenging: let us mention that this problem, under the assumptions that $G = \mathbb{A}_p$ and g is a p -cycle, has been solved only in the case where $p > \ell > (p-1)/2$ by work of Thompson (unpublished manuscript), and $\ell \in \{0, p\}$ by work of Kleshchev and the second author [KZ]. We consider the case $G \in \text{Lie}(r)$, a finite group of Lie type in characteristic r . The *defining* characteristic representations (that is, $r = \ell$) can be treated rather efficiently using representation theory of algebraic groups. The main tool to handle semisimple elements g is the theory of weights together with Premet's theorem [P]; however, it is impossible to provide an explicit solution to the problem. This is also true for the behaviour of unipotent elements in defining characteristic representations, cf. [Su] for results of asymptotic nature. We focus on *cross characteristic* representations, i.e. $r \neq \ell$. If $r = p$ (that is, g is *unipotent*), then the problem is basically solved in [Z1] and [DZ2]. The case where G is classical and g is contained in a proper parabolic subgroup is settled in [DZ1]. For $\ell = 0$ and g of order p , the cases with minimum polynomial of g of degree less than p have been determined in [Z4] and [Z5]. Also, substantial results in the case $\ell = p$ have been obtained in [Z3]. However, the methods developed in these papers do not work in some key cases. Consider for example an element g of order $2^{13} - 1 = 8191$ in $G = SL_{13}(2)$ and any nontrivial irreducible representation Θ of G in characteristic ℓ dividing $|G|$ for $2 < \ell < 8191$. All the currently available general results yield only the bound $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 13$. However, various heuristic arguments seem to indicate that a much stronger bound, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 8189$, should hold true. More generally, if $g \in G$ has order p^a , then heuristic arguments lead to the belief that one should be able to prove that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p^{a-1}(p-1) - 1$, and in fact this bound should be the optimal bound.

The goal of the present paper is to prove this optimal bound for semisimple elements of finite classical groups in cross characteristic representations. The exceptional groups of Lie type will be addressed in a sequel of the paper. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the collection of finite classical groups with exceptional Schur multiplier, that is, the collection of finite groups G with $\text{soc}(G/Z(G))$, the socle of $G/Z(G)$, equal to $PSL_2(4)$, $PSL_3(2)$, $PSL_4(2)$, $PSU_4(2)$, $Sp_6(2)$, $PSL_2(9)$, $PSL_3(4)$, $PSU_4(3)$, $SU_6(2)$, $\Omega_7(3)$, $\Omega_8^+(2)$.

The first main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite quasi-simple classical group, not belonging to $\mathcal{M}$, and let Θ be a nontrivial irreducible representation of G over a field of cross characteristic ℓ . Let $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ be a semisimple element of prime order p . Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p$.
- (ii) $p > 2$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p - 1$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. Moreover, the conclusions of Proposition 6.10 hold with $a = 1$.
- (iii) $p = q + 1$ is a Fermat prime, $G/Z(G) = PSU_n(q)$, $g^{q+1} = 1$, Θ is a Weil representation, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p - 1$. Furthermore, either $n \leq 3$, or g corresponds to a pseudoreflexion in $GU_n(q)$ and $(n, q + 1) = 1$.
- (iv) $o(g) = p = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, $G/Z(G) = PSL_n(q)$, n a prime, Θ is a Weil representation of degree $p - 1$ or $p - 2$, and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g))$. Furthermore, either $n > 2$ and $\ell = p$, or $n = 2$ and q is even.

For applications, as well as for the treatment of exceptional groups of Lie type, it is helpful to expand the class of groups of Lie type considered in Theorem 1.2. For a prime power q , let $\mathcal{C}(q)$ be the list of insoluble groups G of the following form: $SL_n^\epsilon(q) \leq G \leq GL_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 2$, where $\epsilon = +$ for SL and $\epsilon = -$ for SU ; $Sp_{2n}(q) \leq G \leq CSp_{2n}(q)$ with $n \geq 2$; $Spin(V) \leq G \leq \Gamma^+(V)$ with $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 3$ and q odd; $Spin(V) \leq G \leq \Gamma(V)$ with $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ and $n \geq 4$; cf. [TZ5] for the definition of the Clifford group $\Gamma(V)$ and $\Gamma^+(V)$. Setting in addition $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ in the case of $GL_n(q)$, $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ in the case of $GU_n(q)$, and $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ in the case of $CSp_{2n}(q)$, we will refer to V as the *natural module* for G . We say an element $g \in G$ is *irreducible* (on V), if the induced action of g on V is irreducible. Furthermore, g is called a *pseudoreflexion* if the action of g on V can be represented by a diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha, \beta, \beta, \dots, \beta)$ for some $\alpha \neq \beta$. The ℓ -modular *Weil representations* are defined to be the composition factors of degree > 1 of the ℓ -modular reduction of complex Weil representations [S].

The second main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{C}(q)$ for a prime power q , p a prime not dividing q , and $S := \text{soc}(G/Z(G))$. Let Θ be an irreducible representation of G over a field of characteristic ℓ coprime to q , of degree > 1 . Let $g \in G$ be a semisimple element of prime power order $p^a > 1$ modulo $Z(G)$. Assume in addition that $\dim(\Theta) > 2$ if $G/Z(G) = PGO_{4n}^+(q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and q odd. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $p^a \geq \deg(\Theta(g)) > p^{a-1}(p - 1)$.
- (ii) $p > 2$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. Furthermore, either $a = 1$, or $\ell \neq p$. Moreover, the conclusions of Proposition 6.10 hold.
- (iii) $S = PSU_n(q)$, $o(g) = p = q + 1$ is a Fermat prime, g belongs to $GU_1(q)^n$, Θ is a Weil representation, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p - 1$. Furthermore, either $n \leq 3$, or g is a pseudoreflexion in $GU_n(q)$.

(iv) $a \geq 2$, $S = PSU_n(q)$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{a-1}}$, $q+1 = p$ is a Fermat prime, $g^{p^{a-1}}$ is a pseudoreflection in $GU_n(q)$, and Θ is a Weil representation. Furthermore, either $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p-1)$, or $(n, p^a, q) = (4, 9, 2)$ and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = 5$.

(v) $o(g) = p^a = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, $S = PSL_n(q)$, n a prime, Θ is a Weil representation of degree $o(g) - 1$ or $o(g) - 2$, and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g))$. Furthermore, either $n > 2$ and $\ell = o(g) = p$, or $n = 2$ and q is even.

Theorem 1.3 implies the following consequence, where $\varphi(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler function as usual.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{C}(q)$ for a prime power q , and let Θ be an irreducible representation of G over a field of characteristic ℓ coprime to q , of degree > 1 . Let $g \in G$ be a semisimple element of prime power order modulo $Z(G)$. Assume in addition that $\dim(\Theta) > 2$ if $G/Z(G) = PGO_{4n}^+(q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and q odd. Then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \varphi(o(g)) - 1$, and this bound is best possible. $\square$*

The optimality of the bound $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \varphi(o(g)) - 1$ follows from Theorem 1.3(v).

The information concerning the classical groups belonging to $\mathcal{M}$ is collected in Table I, the proof of whose correctness is somewhat ad hoc and omitted for the sake of brevity. In this table, $G/Z(G)$ is a simple finite group of Lie type in characteristic r , G is a universal central extension of $G/Z(G)$ such that $|Z(G)|$ is a multiple of r (that is, $G/Z(G)$ has an exceptional Schur multiplier). Let Z_0 be a Sylow r -subgroup of $Z(G)$. In the table, we list all the irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -representations Θ such that $\deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$ and $\Theta(Z_0) \neq 1$. In fact, we also include in the table a few cases with $\Theta(Z_0) = 1$ when we can compute the precise value of $\deg(\Theta(g))$ here but not in our general approach described in the paper.

Briefly, our approach can be outlined as follows. The action of semisimple elements $g \in G$ on the natural module V of G distinguishes two cases: g is irreducible, resp. reducible on V . The critical case of irreducible elements that are minimal in a certain sense is handled in §4. Deligne-Lusztig theory (cf. [C], [L]), as well as fundamental results of Broué and Michel [BM] play a key role in the treatment of this critical case. In fact results proved in §4 also apply to exceptional groups of Lie type. Results of §4 and §5, in particular Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 5.4, form an induction base to handle arbitrary irreducible elements, which are treated in Theorem 5.11. We also use results of [DZ1], [TZ2], and [GMST] concerning semisimple elements that lie in a proper parabolic subgroup. In turn, the case of reducible elements builds on the irreducible case and it is completed in §6.

We expect our main results to be useful in a number of applications. One particular application we have in mind is the recognition of finite linear groups. Our results have also been used in joint work of Kleshchev and the first author [KT] to classify representations of finite general linear groups that are irreducible over proper subgroups.

TABLE I: EXCEPTIONAL SCHUR MULTIPLIER CASES

$G/Z(G)$	$ \Theta(Z_0) $	$\ell \neq r$	$ g $	Name	$\dim(\Theta)$	$\deg(\Theta(g))$
$PSL_2(4)$	2		5	5A,5B	$1 < d < 5$	d
			3	3A	2	2
$PSL_2(9)$	3	5	4,5	4A,5A,5B	3	3
$PSL_3(2)$	2	7	7	7A,7B	$1 < d < 7$	d
		7	3	3A	2	2
		$\neq 7$	7	7A,7B	$d = 3, 4, 6$	d
		$\neq 7$	3	3A	4	2
$PSL_3(4)$	16		7	7A,7B	6	6
$PSU_4(2)$	2	$\ell \neq 3$	3	3C	4	2
			5,9	5A, 9A,9B	4	4
	1		9	9A,9B	5	5
	1		9	9A,9B	6	6
			9	9A,9B	10	7
			$\ell = 3$	9	9A,9B	16
		$\ell \neq 3$	9	9A,9B	20	8
$PSL_4(2)$	2		3,5	3A,5A	8	$ g - 1$
$PSp_6(2)$	2		3,5,9	3A,5A,9A	8	$ g - 1$
	1		9	9A	7	7
$PSU_4(3)$	3		4,7	4A, 7A,7B	6	$ g - 1$
			8	8A	6	6
$\Omega_8^+(2)$	2		3,5,9	3A,3B,5A,5B,9B,9C	8	$ g - 1$
$\Omega_7(3)$	3	$p = \ell = 2$	4,8	4A,8A,8B		$\geq 3, \geq 5$
$PSU_6(2)$	2	$p = \ell = 3$	9	9A	9	≥ 7

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic ℓ coprime to q . If χ is a class function of G then $\hat{\chi}$ denotes the restriction of χ to the ℓ' -elements in G . If V is an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module then $V^g := \{v \in V \mid gv = v\}$ is the fixed point subspace for $g \in G$. $X * Y$ denotes a central product of subgroups $X, Y \leq G$. If V is any $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, $d_V(g)$ stands for the degree of the minimal polynomial of g acting on V . In what follows, by a *nondegenerate*, resp., *totally singular*, subspace of an orthogonal space we mean any subspace U such that the bilinear form associated to the quadratic form is nondegenerate on U , resp., the quadratic form is zero on U . When the (Hermitian, symplectic, or quadratic) form on V is specified, $I(V)$ denotes the isometry group of the form.

2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

The following simple observation allows us to focus on elements of prime power order in G (not just modulo $Z(G)$):

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and $g \in G$ with $o(g) = p^a$. Then there is a p -element $h \in G$ with the following properties:*

(i) $o(h) = p^a$;

(ii) *Let V be any irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. Then $d_V(g) = d_V(h)$. Moreover, g is irreducible on V if and only if h is.*

Furthermore, if $G \triangleleft H$ and $C_H(g)G = H$ then for any $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ there is $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ such that Φ is a constituent of $\Theta|_G$ and $d_\Phi(g) = d_\Theta(g)$. Moreover, if $Z(G) \leq Z(H)$ then the values of $o(g)$ in G and in H are the same.

Proof. Write $g = ht$ with h the p -part of g and t the p' -part of g . Since $o(g) = p^a$, $t \in Z(G)$. Now it is straightforward to check (i) and (ii). Next assume $G \triangleleft H$, $C_H(g)G = H$, and $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$. Then we can find $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ such that Φ is a constituent of $\Theta|_G$. By Clifford's theorem, $\Theta|_G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Phi_i$, with $\Phi_i(g) = \Phi(x_i g x_i^{-1})$ for some $x_i \in H$. Since $C_H(g)G = H$, we can choose $x_i \in C_H(g)$. It follows that $\Phi_i(g) = \Phi(g)$ and so $d_\Phi(g) = d_\Theta(g)$. Finally, assume $Z(G) \leq Z(H)$. Then $Z(G) = Z(H) \cap G$. It follows that $g^m \in Z(G)$ if and only if $g^m \in Z(H)$, whence the values of $o(g)$ in G and in H are the same. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. [HB, Lemma IX.2.7] *Let p, r be primes and a, b positive integers such that $p^a = r^b + 1$. Then either*

(i) $p = 2$, $b = 1$, and r is a Mersenne prime, or

(ii) $r = 2$, $a = 1$, and p is a Fermat prime, or

(iii) $p^a = 9$. $\square$

We will frequently use the following well-known statement:

Lemma 2.3. *Let p be a prime and let q be an integer such that $p|(q-1)$. If $p = 2$, assume in addition that $4|(q-1)$. Then $(q^p - 1)/(q - 1) \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$.*

Proof. The lemma is obvious if $p = 2$. For $p > 2$, write $q = Ap^c + 1$ for some integers $A, c \geq 1$ with $(p, A) = 1$. Then $q^p - 1 = ABp^{3c} + A^2p^{2c+1}(p-1)/2 + Ap^{c+1} = ACp^{2c+1} + Ap^{c+1}$ for some integers B, C . Hence $(q^p - 1)/(q - 1) = Cp^{c+1} + p$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. [GT3] *Let V be an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module with a submodule U and let $g \in G$. Then for the dimensions of the g -fixed point subspaces the following inequality holds: $\max\{\dim(U^g), \dim((V/U)^g)\} \leq \dim(V^g)$. $\square$*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p and let V be a free $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -module. Let U be any subquotient of the A -module V . Then $d_U(g) \geq p \dim(U) / \dim(V)$. In particular, if $\dim(U) > (p-1) \dim(V)/p$ then $d_U(g) = p$.*

Proof. By the assumption, $\dim(V^g) = \dim(V)/p$. By Lemma 2.4, $\dim(U^g) \leq \dim(V^g)$. Hence $d_U(g) \geq \dim(U)/\dim(U^g) \geq p \dim(U)/\dim(V)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. [DZ1, Proposition 2.15] *Let $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s$ be a direct sum of $\mathbb{F}$ -spaces and let $g \in GL(V)$ be an element of prime-power order that permutes the V_i 's transitively. Then $d_V(g) = s \cdot d_{V_1}(g^s)$.* $\square$

Remark 2.7. [TZ3, Corollary 3.2] If $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_p(G)$ lifts to a faithful complex representation which is unramified above p , and $g \in G$ is a p -element with $o(g) = p$, then $d_\Phi(g) \geq p - 1$; in fact, all Jordan blocks of $\Phi(g)$ are of size 1, $p - 1$, or p .

Lemma 2.8. *Let V be a nondegenerate Hermitian, symplectic, or orthogonal space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ that contains the orthogonal sum of $s \geq 2$ isometric, nondegenerate subspaces $\oplus_{i=1}^s V_i$. Assume $g \in I(V)$ stabilizes each V_i , and, after an isometric identification of V_i with each other, induces the same action on all V_i . Assume in addition that $s \geq 3$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and V is not Hermitian. Then g fixes a nonzero totally singular subspace of V .*

Proof. By the assumption, we can find bases $(e_{ij}, 1 \leq j \leq d)$ in V_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that the Gram matrices and the actions of g with respect to these s bases are the same. It follows that g preserves the subspace $W_\alpha := \langle \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i e_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d \rangle$ for any $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{F}_q^s$. If q is even, choose $\alpha = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. If q is odd and V is Hermitian, choose $\alpha = (1, \gamma, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ has order $2(q^{1/2} + 1)$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and V is not Hermitian, choose $\alpha = (1, \gamma, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ has order 4. Assume $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and V is not Hermitian. Then $s \geq 3$, and we can find $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $1 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 0$. Choose $\alpha = (1, \beta, \gamma, 0, \dots, 0)$ in this case. These choices of α ensure that W_α is totally singular. $\square$

In what follows, we will need the following observation to handle reducible elements of classical groups.

Lemma 2.9. *Let V be a nondegenerate Hermitian, symplectic, or orthogonal space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume $g \in G := I(V)$ is an element that does not fix any nonzero totally singular subspace of V . Then one of the following holds.*

(i) *V can be decomposed into an orthogonal sum $\oplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ of nondegenerate subspaces, and g acts irreducibly on each of them.*

(ii) *q is even, V is an orthogonal space of even dimension $2n$, $G = GO_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$, and $g \in H$ for some subgroup $H \simeq Sp_{2n-2}(q) \times 2$ of G .*

Proof. We induct on $\dim(V)$. If g is irreducible on V then we are done. Otherwise consider a nonzero minimal g -invariant subspace U of V , its orthogonal complement $U^\perp$ (relative to the Hermitian or the bilinear form B on V), and let $W := U \cap U^\perp$. If $W = 0$, then $V = U \oplus U^\perp$, both U and $U^\perp$ are nondegenerate and g -invariant, and so we are done by induction hypothesis. Assume $W \neq 0$. By minimality, $U = W$, that is, the form B is zero on U . By assumption, U cannot be totally singular. The

only case when this can happen is where q is even and V is an orthogonal space of (even) dimension $2n$. Observe that the set of all singular vectors in U is a g -invariant subspace of codimension 1 in U . So by minimality $\dim(U) = 1$, and U is generated by some nonsingular vector $u \in V$. Since g fixes U and fixes the quadratic form, we see that $g(u) = u$. Thus $g \in H := \text{Stab}_G(u) \simeq \text{Sp}_{2n-2}(q) \times 2$. $\square$

To link $\deg(g)$ to $\deg(g^{p^i})$ for a p -element g , we will use the following statement.

Lemma 2.10. (i) *Let $a, b \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be matrices such that $[a, b]$ is scalar and $[a^{p^m}, b] = \text{Id} \neq [a^{p^{m-1}}, b]$, for a prime p and an integer $m \geq 1$. Then $\text{Spec}(b)$ consists of all p^m -roots of the elements of $\text{Spec}(b^{p^m})$.*

(ii) *Assume $\ell = p$ and $b \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a p -element. Then $p^i \cdot \deg(b^{p^i}) \geq \deg(b) \geq p^i(\deg(b^{p^i}) - 1) + 1$ for every integer $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. (i) Since $[a, b]$ centralizes a and b , $[a, b^{p^m}] = [a, b]^{p^m} = [a^{p^m}, b] = \text{Id}$. In particular, $[a, b] = \omega \cdot \text{Id}$ with $\omega^{p^m} = 1$. Since $\text{Id} \neq [a^{p^{m-1}}, b] = \omega^{p^{m-1}} \cdot \text{Id}$, ω is a primitive p^m -root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. Let $W = \mathbb{F}^n$ be the natural module for $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(b^{p^m})$, and let W_λ be the λ -eigenspace of b^{p^m} in W . Obviously, W_λ is fixed by a and b . Let β be an eigenvalue of b on W_λ , and v a corresponding eigenvector for b . Then $ba^i v = [b, a^i]a^i b v = \omega^{-i}\beta a^i v$. Thus $a^i v$ belongs to the $\omega^{-i}\beta$ -eigenspace of b in W_λ , for $i = 0, \dots, p^m - 1$, whence $\beta, \omega\beta, \dots, \omega^{p^m-1}\beta$ are eigenvalues of b on W_λ .

(ii) Assume $\deg(b^{p^i}) = k+1$. Then b is annihilated by $(t^{p^i} - 1)^{k+1} = (t-1)^{p^i(k+1)}$ and so $\deg(b) \leq p^i(k+1)$. If $\deg(b) \leq p^i k$, then b is annihilated by $(t-1)^{p^i k} = (t^{p^i} - 1)^k$, and so b^{p^i} is annihilated by $(t-1)^k$, a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *Assume that $S \triangleleft G/Z(G) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for a simple non-abelian group S , and that $(G/Z(G))/S$ is elementary abelian of order 4, resp. cyclic. Consider any irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -representation Θ of degree > 2 , resp. > 1 .*

(i) *If $h \in G \setminus Z(G)$, then $\Theta(h)$ is not scalar.*

(ii) *If $\ell = 2$ and $g \in G$ with $o(g) = 2^a$, then $d_\Theta(g) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$.*

Proof. (i) Assume $\Theta(h)$ is scalar. Let $Z := Z(G)$, $K := \{x \in G \mid \Theta(x) \text{ is scalar}\}$ and let $L := K/Z$. Then $1 \neq L \triangleleft G/Z$. Notice that $L \cap S \neq 1$. (Otherwise $[L, S] = 1$ and so $L \leq C_{G/Z}(S) = 1$, a contradiction.) By simplicity, $L \geq S$ and so $G/K \simeq (G/Z)/L$ is either cyclic or $\simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. In the former case, the quotient of $\Theta(G)$ by its central subgroup $\Theta(K)$ is cyclic, whence $\Theta(G)$ is abelian and so $\dim(\Theta) = 1$ by irreducibility, a contradiction. In the latter case, the quotient of $\Theta(G)$ by its central subgroup $\Theta(K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, and so $\dim(\Theta) \leq 2$, a contradiction.

(ii) Setting $h = g^{2^{a-1}}$, we see that $o(h) = 2$ and so $h \notin Z(G)$. By (i), $d_\Theta(h) = 2$, whence we are done by Lemma 2.10(ii). $\square$

Notice that members of the family $\mathcal{C}(q)$ satisfy the assumption made on G in Corollary 2.11.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $g \in G$ be a p -element of order p^k , and let U and V be $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules. Then $d_{U \otimes V}(g) \geq d_U(g)$.*

- (i) *Assume that $\ell \neq p$ and $d_U(g) + d_V(g) > p^k$. Then $d_{U \otimes V}(g) = p^k$.*
 - (ii) *Assume that $\ell = p$ and $k = 1$. Then $d_{U \otimes V}(g) = \min\{p, d_U(g) + d_V(g) - 1\}$.*
- In general, if V_i are $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules for $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $d_{V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_s}(g) = \min\{p, 1 - s + \sum_{i=1}^s d_{V_i}(g)\}$.*
- (iii) *Assume that $\ell = p \nmid d_U(g)$ and $g|_V \neq 1_V$. Then $d_{U \otimes V}(g) > d_U(g)$.*

Proof. The inequality $d_{U \otimes V}(g) \geq d_U(g)$ is obvious.

(i) Let S denote the set of all p^k -roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. Assume that $d_{U \otimes V}(g) < p^k$, say $c \in S$ is not an eigenvalue for g on $U \otimes V$. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, resp. $S \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be the set of all distinct eigenvalues of g on U , resp. on V , for some integers $m, n \geq 1$. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $a_i S = S$, hence $c \in \{a_i b_1, \dots, a_i b_n\}$ and so $a_i b_j = c$ for exactly one index j . Thus there are m ordered pairs (i, j) such that $a_i b_j = c$. On the other hand, for a fixed j , there is at most one index i such that $a_i b_j = c$. It follows that there are at most n ordered pairs (i, j) such that $a_i b_j = c$, i.e. $m \leq n$ and so $d_U(g) + d_V(g) \leq p^k$.

(ii) Let J_a denote the Jordan block of size a and with eigenvalue 1 and let $1 \leq l \leq m \leq p$. According to [Fe, Theorem VIII.2.7], the Jordan canonical form of $J_l \otimes J_m$ equals $\text{diag}(J_{m+l-1}, J_{m+l-3}, \dots, J_{m-l+1})$ if $l + m \leq p$, and

$$\text{diag}(\underbrace{J_p, \dots, J_p}_{(m+l-p) \text{ times}}, J_{2p-m-l-1}, J_{2p-l-m-3}, \dots, J_{m-l+1})$$

if $l + m > p$. Now the first claim follows by taking $l = d_U(g)$ and $m = d_V(g)$. The second claim follows from the first by induction on s .

(iii) Setting $h := g - 1$, we see that $h(u \otimes v) = hu \otimes hv + u \otimes hv + hu \otimes v$ for $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. We can choose u and v such that $h^n u = 0 \neq h^{n-1} u$, $h^2 v = 0 \neq hv$, where $n := d_U(g)$. Induction on m shows that $h^m(u \otimes v) = m(h^m u \otimes hv + h^{m-1} u \otimes hv) + h^m u \otimes v$. Thus $h^n(u \otimes v) = nh^{n-1} u \otimes hv \neq 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.13. *Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$ -space, $G \leq GL(V)$, and let $h \in G$ be a p -element. For any positive integer k , let $V_k := \text{Ker}((h - 1)^k)$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq k$ then V_{n+1}/V_n embeds in V_{k+1}/V_k as a $C_G(h)$ -module.*
- (ii) *Assume $h = g^{p^b}$ for some p -element $g \in G$ and $n := d_V(h)$. If $d_{V_n/V_{n-1}}(g) = m$, then $d_V(g) = (n - 1)p^b + m$.*

Proof. (i) Clearly, the map $f : V_{n+1}/V_n \rightarrow V_{k+1}/V_k$ defined by $f(v + V_n) = (h - 1)^{n-k}(v) + V_k$ is an injective $C_G(h)$ -map.

(ii) By the choice of n , $V_n = V$. For any $v \in V$, $v' := (g - 1)^m v \in V_{n-1}$, whence

$$(g - 1)^{(n-1)p^b + m} v = ((g - 1)^{p^b})^{n-1} v' = (h - 1)^{n-1} v' = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the choice of m , there is some $u \in V$ such that $(g-1)^{m-1}u \notin V_{n-1}$ and so $0 \neq (h-1)^{n-1}(g-1)^{m-1}u = (g-1)^{(n-1)p^b+m-1}u$. Thus $d_V(g) = (n-1)p^b + m$. $\square$

Let $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of ℓ' -elements of a finite group G . The following statement is basically due to Brauer:

Lemma 2.14. *Let G be a finite group. Assume a finite group A acts on $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ and on $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ in such a way that, for any $a \in A$, any $\chi \in \text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$, and for any ℓ' -element $g \in G$, $\chi(g) = \chi^a(g^a)$, where g^a is an element in G such that $(g^a)^G = (g^G)^a$. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *For any $a \in A$, the number of a -fixed conjugacy classes of ℓ' -elements in G is equal to the number of a -fixed irreducible ℓ -modular Brauer characters of G .*

(ii) *The numbers of A -orbits on $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ and on $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ are the same.*

Proof. (i) Let $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G) = \{g_1^G, \dots, g_m^G\}$ and $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G) = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$. Then the matrix $X := (\varphi_i(g_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ is nondegenerate by [Do, Theorem 60.4]. Now one can repeat the proof of [Is, Theorem (6.32)] verbatim. (ii) is a consequence of (i). $\square$

Corollary 2.15. *Let G be a normal subgroup of a finite group H . Define the action of $h \in H$ on $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ and $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ as follows: $(g^G)^h = (h^{-1}gh)^G$, $\varphi^h(g) = \varphi(hgh^{-1})$. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *The numbers of H -orbits on $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ and on $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ are the same.*

(ii) *Assume $H/(C_H(G)G)$ is cyclic. Then the number of H -stable conjugacy classes of ℓ' -elements in G is equal to the number of H -stable irreducible ℓ -modular Brauer characters of G .*

(iii) *Assume H/G is cyclic. Then the number of H -stable conjugacy classes of ℓ' -elements in G is equal to the number of irreducible ℓ -modular Brauer characters of G that are extendible to H .*

Proof. (i) follows from Lemma 2.14(ii).

(ii) Clearly, $C_H(G)G$ acts trivially on both $\text{Cl}_{\ell'}(G)$ and $\text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$. Hence we can apply Lemma 2.14(i) to a , a generator of $H/(C_H(G)G)$.

(iii) follows from (ii) by observing that $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ is H -stable precisely when it is extendible to H , cf. [Fe, Theorem III.2.14]. $\square$

3. SOME GROUPS OF LOW RANK

Example 3.1. We describe some properties of irreducible Brauer characters of $G := \text{SU}_3(q)$ and $H := \text{GU}_3(q)$ with $q = p^f$.

(i) *The number of $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_{\ell}(G)$ that does not extend to H equals*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (3, q+1) = 1 \text{ or } \ell = p, \\ 9, & \text{if } 3|(q+1) \text{ and } \ell \neq 3, p, \\ 3, & \text{if } \ell = 3|(q+1). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, the statement is obvious if $(3, q+1) = 1$, as in this case $H = Z(H) \times G$. Assume $3 \mid (q+1)$. It is shown in [Ge] that G has exactly 9 conjugacy classes that are not H -stable, where 3 of them consist of elements of order P and 6 consist of elements of order $3P$, with $P = p$ if $p > 2$, and $P = 4$ if $p = 2$. If $\ell = p$ then none of them is an ℓ' -class. If $\ell \neq 3, p$ then all of them are ℓ' -classes. If $\ell = 3$ then exactly 3 of them are ℓ' -classes. So the statement follows from Corollary 2.15(iii).

(ii) Assume $\ell \neq p$ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$. Here we show that *either φ lifts to characteristic 0, or there is a linear character λ such that one of the following holds:*

- (a) $3 \neq \ell \mid (q^2 - q + 1)$, $\varphi\lambda = \widehat{St} - 1$, where St is the Steinberg character;
- (b) $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\ell = 2$, $\varphi\lambda = \hat{\chi} - 1$ for some $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ of degree $q(q^2 - q + 1)$.

To prove the claim, we identify H with its dual group. We may assume $q > 2$ as H is solvable and so φ lifts if $q = 2$. Assume that φ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_\ell(H, (s))$ for a semisimple ℓ' -element $s \in H$, cf. [BM]. There are 5 possibilities for $C_H(s)$: $GU_1(q)^3$, $GL_1(q^2) \times GU_1(q)$, $GU_1(q^3)$, $GU_2(q) \times GU_1(q)$, and $GU_3(q)$. Moreover, it is shown in the proof of [GMST, Proposition 11.3] that φ lifts to characteristic 0 in the first 3 cases.

Consider the case $C_H(s) = GU_2(q) \times GU_1(q)$. According to Lusztig's classification [L] of irreducible characters of H , $\mathcal{E}(H, (s)) \cap \text{Irr}(H) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ with $\alpha(1) = q^2 - q + 1$ and $\beta = q(q^2 - q + 1)$. Moreover, by [FS] and [GH], $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ form a basic set for $\mathcal{E}_\ell(H, (s)) \cap \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$. In particular, $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_\ell(H, (s)) \cap \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ has degree divisible by $q^2 - q + 1$ and therefore $\hat{\alpha}$ is irreducible, and $|\mathcal{E}_\ell(H, (s)) \cap \text{IBr}_\ell(H)| = 2$. It is easy to see that α and β are irreducible over G . If $3 \neq \ell \mid (q^2 - q + 1)$, then α and β have ℓ -defect 0. If $2 \neq \ell \mid (q - 1)$, then $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are irreducible over G by [Ge]. It remains to consider the case $\ell \mid (q + 1)$ and $\varphi \neq \hat{\alpha}$. Clearly, s is represented by $\text{diag}(x, x, y)$ with $x^{q+1} = y^{q+1} = 1$ and $x \neq y$. Since $\ell \mid (q + 1)$, s is centralized by an element $t := \text{diag}(z, 1, 1)$ with $|z| = \ell$. Observe that $C_H(st) = GU_1(q)^3$, so $\mathcal{E}(H, (st)) \cap \text{Irr}(H)$ contains a character γ of degree $(q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)$; moreover, $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}_\ell(H, (s))$. It follows that $\hat{\gamma} = a\varphi + b\hat{\alpha}$ with $0 \leq a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(\gamma|_U, 1_U)_U = 0$ and $(\alpha|_U, 1_U)_U = 1$ for a Sylow p -subgroup U of H (cf. [Ge]), $b = 0$. Also, $\gamma(g) = -1$ for some element $g \in U$, so $a = 1$. Thus $\varphi = \hat{\gamma}$.

Now we suppose that $C_H(s) = GU_3(q)$, i.e. $s \in Z(H)$. Multiplying φ by a linear character λ of H , we may assume that $s = 1$. In this case, $\mathcal{E}(H, (s)) \cap \text{Irr}(H) = \{1_H, \zeta, St\}$ with ζ the cuspidal unipotent character (of degree $q^2 - q$) of H . It is well known that $\hat{\zeta}$ is irreducible over G . We may therefore assume that φ is a nontrivial constituent of $\widehat{St}$. If $2 \neq \ell \mid (q - 1)$ then $\widehat{St}$ is irreducible over G , cf. [Ge]. If $3 \neq \ell \mid (q^2 - q + 1)$ then we arrive at (a) by [Ge]. If $2 \neq \ell \mid (q + 1)$, then φ lifts to a complex character of degree $(q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)$ by the results of [Ge] and [OW2]. Assume $\ell = 2$ and q is odd. From the results of [H2], it follows that φ again lifts to a complex character of degree $(q - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, whereas one arrives at (b) if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

(iii) We will assume $3|(q+1)$ and explicitly determine the Brauer characters of G that do not extend to H . If $\ell = 0$, then they are the ones labeled as $\chi_{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3}^{(u)}$ and $\chi_{(q+1)^2(q-1)/3}^{(u,v)}$ with $0 \leq u, v \leq 2$ in [Ge]. Observe that $(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3 < (q+1)^2(q-1)/3$, $\chi_{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3}^{(u)}$ with different u take distinct values at some p -element, and $\chi_{(q+1)^2(q-1)/3}^{(u,v)}$ with different (u, v) take distinct values at some $\{3, p\}$ -element. If $2 \neq \ell|(q-1)$, then all of these 9 complex characters are of ℓ -defect 0, so their reductions modulo ℓ yield the 9 Brauer characters that do not extend to H . These 9 characters also stay irreducible modulo ℓ when $2, 3 \neq \ell|(q^3+1)$, cf. [Ge]. If $\ell = 3$, then $\chi_{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3}^{(u)}$ are irreducible modulo 3 by [OW2]. Finally, assume $\ell = 2|(q-1)$. Clearly, $\chi_{(q+1)^2(q-1)/3}^{(u,v)}$ are of 2-defect 0. On the other hand, $\chi_{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3}^{(u)}$ is labeled by the $PGU_3(q)$ -conjugacy class of $s := \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)$ with $|\omega| = 3$, whose centralizer in $PGU_3(q)$ is $GU_1(q)^2 : \mathbb{Z}_3$. It follows by [HM] that $\chi_{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/3}^{(u)}$ are irreducible modulo 2. Thus, *any $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ either lifts to characteristic 0 or extends to H . Furthermore, if $q \geq 3$ and $\ell \neq p$ then the smallest degree of ℓ -modular Brauer characters of G which are neither trivial nor a Weil character is $(q-1)(q^2-q+1)/(3, q+1)$.*

Arguing similarly, we obtain:

Example 3.2. Let $G := SL_3(q)$ and $H := GL_3(q)$ with $q = p^f$.

(i) *The number of $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ that does not extend to H equals*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (3, q-1) = 1 \text{ or } \ell = p, \\ 9, & \text{if } 3|(q-1) \text{ and } \ell \neq 3, p, \\ 3, & \text{if } \ell = 3|(q-1). \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Assume $\ell \neq p$ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$. Then either φ lifts to characteristic 0, or $\ell|(q^2+q+1)$ and there is a linear character λ such that $\varphi\lambda = \hat{\tau} - 1$, where τ is the unipotent character of degree q^2+q of H .*

(iii) *Assume $\ell \neq p$ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$. Then φ either lifts to characteristic 0 or extends to H .*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g \in G = SL_2(q)$ with $q > 3$, $o(g) = p^a$ and $g^{q+1} = 1$. Assume $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, $(\ell, q) = 1$, and $1 < \deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$. Then one of the following holds.*

(i) *q is odd, $p\ell \neq 4$, $o(g) = (q+1)/2$ and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g)) = (q-1)/2$. Moreover, if $\ell \neq p$ then $(-1)^{p-1} \notin \text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$.*

(ii) *$q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $p = \ell = 2$, $o(g) = (q+1)/2 = 2^a$, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$. Furthermore, $\dim(\Theta) = (q-1)/2$ or $\dim(\Theta) = q-1$.*

(iii) *q is even, $o(g) = |g| = q+1$. If $\ell = p$ then $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g)) = q-1$. If $\ell \neq p$, then either $\dim(\Theta) = q$ and $1 \notin \text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$, or $\dim(\Theta) = q-1$ and there is a primitive $(q+1)$ -root $\epsilon \neq 1$ of unity in $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g)) = \{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^q\} \setminus \{\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}\}$.*

Proof. First assume that $\ell \neq p$. It is well known that Θ lifts to characteristic 0, so we may assume $\ell = 0$. Now the statement follows from [Z3] if $p > 2$, and by inspecting the character table of G if $p = 2$. If $\ell = p > 2$ then again the statement follows from [Z3]. Finally, let $\ell = p = 2$. Since $2 < o(g) = 2^a|(q+1)$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By [Bu1], $\dim(\Theta) = q+1$, $(q-1)/2$, or $q-1$. In the first case, Θ (considered as a representation of $PSL_2(q)$) is of 2-defect 0 and so $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g)$. In the second case, assertion (ii) follows from [GT4, §2]. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $g \in G = GL_2(q)$ with $3 < q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$ a 2-element. Assume $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, $(\ell, q) = 1$, and $1 < \deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$. Then $q+1 = 2^c \geq 8$, and one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\dim(\Theta) = q$, $o(g) = 2^c$, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$.
- (ii) $\dim(\Theta) = q - 1$, $o(g) = 2^c$, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 2$.
- (iii) $\dim(\Theta) = q - 1$, $o(g) = 2^{c-1}$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$. Furthermore, Θ is reducible over $SL_2(q)$ if $\ell \neq 2$.

Proof. It is well known that Θ lifts to characteristic 0. Since $o(g) > 2$, $|g|$ is divisible by 4 and so g is irreducible on $\mathbb{F}_q^2$. Thus we may embed g in a maximal torus $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ of G . Now the statements follow by direct computation with the character table of G if $\ell \neq 2$. Assume that $\ell = 2$. Then Θ can be viewed as a representation of $O_{2'}(G) \times PGL_2(q)$ and so the lemma follows from [GT4] if $\dim(\Theta) = q - 1$. It remains to consider the case $\dim(\Theta) = q + 1$. In this case Θ is induced from a Borel subgroup B of G , and for any $x \in G$, $xBx^{-1} \cap \langle g \rangle \leq Z(G)$, whence $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g)$. $\square$

Observe that any irreducible p -element of $GL_2(q)$ with p odd is contained in $SL_2(q)$ and has order dividing $q+1$. On the other hand, any irreducible 2-element g of $GL_2(q)$ with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ has $o(g) = 2$. So Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 have determined $d_\Theta(g)$ for all irreducible p -elements in $GL_2(q)$ and for all cross characteristic representations Θ . Next we turn to $GU_2(q)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g \in G := GU_2(q)$ be a semisimple p -element with $o(g) = p^a$ and let $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$. Suppose that $1 < \deg(\Phi(g)) < o(g)$. Then $g^{q+1} = 1$ and one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\ell \neq p$, $q+1 = p^a$, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = \dim(\Phi) = q$.
- (ii) $q+1 = p^a$, $\dim(\Phi) = q - 1$, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = q - 1$.
- (iii) $q+1 = 2p^a$, $\dim(\Phi) = q - 1$, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = (q - 1)/2$.

Proof. It is easy to see that g is always reducible on the natural module $V := \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^2$ for G . If g fixes a singular 1-space of V , then $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g)$ by [DZ1] (one can also see it directly by looking at the action of g on a unipotent subgroup of order q of G and using Lemma 2.6.). We will assume that g fixes no singular 1-space of V . It follows that g fixes a decomposition of V into an orthogonal sum of two nondegenerate 1-spaces, whence $g^{q+1} = 1$ and g belongs to the class $C_3(k, l)$ for some k, l in the notation of [Enn]. Suppose that $p = \ell > 2$. Then $g \in Z(G)S$ with

$S := SU_2(q)$. But the p -Sylow subgroups of S are cyclic, so we are done by [Z3]. Thus we will assume that $p \neq \ell$ if $\ell \neq 2$. It is well known that Φ can be lifted to characteristic 0; in particular, $\dim(\Phi) = q, q \pm 1$.

If $\dim(\Phi) = q + 1$ then Φ is induced from a one-dimensional representation of a Borel subgroup B of G . It is easy to check that all elements $b \in B$ with $b^{q+1} = 1$ are in $Z(G)$. Hence $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g)$ by Lemma 2.6.

Assume $\dim(\Phi) = q$. Twisting Φ with a linear character of G , we may assume that Φ is obtained by reducing the Steinberg character of G modulo ℓ , and $(\ell, q + 1) = 1$; in particular, $\ell \neq 2$. Inspecting the character table of G [Enn], we arrive at (i) if $\ell \neq p$.

Assume $\dim(\Phi) = q - 1$. If $\ell \neq p$, then by inspecting the character table of G [Enn], we arrive at (ii) and (iii). It remains to consider the case $p = \ell = 2$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $o(g) = 2$ (as $g^{q+1} = 1$) and so $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 2$. So we may assume $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Clearly, $\Phi(G)$ can be considered as a representation of $O_{2'}(Z(G)) \times PGL_2(q)$, whence we arrive at (ii) and (iii) by [GT4]. $\square$

To efficiently restrict our problem to certain natural subgroups, we need the following statement.

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a finite Lie-type group of simply connected type defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, with $q = p^f$. Assume G contains a central product $Y := X_1 * X_2$, where X_1 contains a long-root subgroup U , and X_2 contains a quasisimple subgroup T . Assume $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\ell \neq p$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. Assume in addition that $G \notin \{Sp_{2n}(q), F_4(q), {}^2F_4(q), {}^2B_2(q)\}$ if $2|q$, and $G \notin \{G_2(q), {}^3G_2(q)\}$ if $3|q$. Then $\Phi|_Y$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, $\Phi_1|_U$ is nontrivial, and $\dim(\Phi_2) > 1$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Decomposing the representation space V of Φ into $V = C_V(U) \oplus [U, V]$, we see that $[U, V] \neq 0$ as $\dim(V) > 1$. The conditions imposed on G imply that $O_p(C_G(U)) = Q$ is a p -subgroup of symplectic type and $Z(Q) = U$ (i.e. $Z(Q) = [Q, Q] = \Phi(Q)$ and $[x, Q] = Z(Q)$ for all $x \in Q \setminus Z(Q)$), cf. [LS], [MT]. By our assumption, all T -composition factors of $[U, V]$ are of dimension 1, whence the (perfect) subgroup T acts trivially on $[U, V]$. It follows that $[Q, T] \leq C_Q([U, V])$. Since $C_Q([U, V])$ is normal in Q and does not contain $Z(Q) = U$, it is contained in $Z(Q)$. In particular, $[Q, T] \leq Z(Q) = U$. But $[U, T] = 1$ by our assumptions, so $[[Q, T], T] = [[T, Q], T] = 1$, whence $[T, Q] = [[T, T], Q] = 1$ by the three-subgroup lemma. On the other hand, $C_G(Q) \leq Z(Q)Z(G)$ is solvable, and we arrive at a contradiction. $\square$

The next lemma considers the symplectic groups in characteristic 2 separately. In what follows, if $G \leq I(V)$ is a classical group with natural module V , then by a *standard subgroup* Y of G we mean any subgroup $Y = X_1 * X_2$, with $X_i = I(V_i) \cap G$, and $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is an orthogonal sum of (nondegenerate) subspaces.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $G = Sp_{2n}(q)$ with $q = 2^f$, $n \geq 2$, and $(n, q) \neq (2, 2)$. Let $Y := X_1 \times X_2 = Sp_2(q) \times Sp_{2n-2}(q)$ be a standard subgroup of G . Assume $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\ell \neq p$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. Then $\Phi|_Y$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, $\dim(\Phi_2) > 1$ and Φ_1 is nontrivial on a Sylow p -subgroup of X_1 .*

Proof. Assume the contrary and consider a Sylow 2-subgroup U of X_1 . Then $|U| = q$. Decomposing the representation space W of Φ into $W = C_W(U) \oplus [U, W]$, we see that $[U, W] \neq 0$ as $\dim(W) > 1$. By our assumption, all X_2 -composition factors of $[U, W]$ are of dimension 1, whence the perfect subgroup $[X_2, X_2]$ acts trivially on $[U, W]$. Observe that $N_G(U) = Q : (X_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{q-1})$, where Q is elementary abelian of order q^{2n-1} . Observe that the set of linear characters of Q that are nontrivial on U partition into two $N_G(U)$ -orbits $\mathcal{O}^\epsilon$ of length $q^{n-1}(q^{n-1} + \epsilon)(q-1)/2$ for $\epsilon = \pm$. Obviously, the Q -module $[U, W]$ has to afford at least one of these two orbits $\mathcal{O}^\epsilon$. Thus $(X_2/[X_2, X_2]) \times \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ must act transitively on that orbit, a contradiction as $2(q-1) < |\mathcal{O}^\epsilon|$. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a classical group and $Y := X_1 * X_2$ be a standard subgroup of G . Assume $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. Then $\Phi|_Y$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi_i) > 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, provided one of the following holds.*

- (i) $G := Sp_{2n}(q)$, $Y = Sp_{2k}(q) \times Sp_{2l}(q)$, $1 \leq k \leq l$, and $(k, q) \neq (1, 2), (1, 3)$.
- (ii) $G := SL_n(q)$, $Y = SL_k(q) \times SL_l(q)$, $2 \leq k \leq l$, and $(k, q) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3)$.
- (iii) $G := SU_n(q)$, $Y = SU_k(q) \times SU_l(q)$, $2 \leq k \leq l$, and $(k, q) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)$.
- (iv) $G := Spin_n^\epsilon(q)$, $Y = Spin_{2k}^-(q) * Spin_l^{-\epsilon}(q)$, and $6 \leq 2k \leq l$.

Proof. Our assumptions imply that $[X_i, X_i]$ is perfect and X_1 contains a long-root subgroup, whence we are done in the cases of (ii) – (iv) by Lemma 3.6. Assume the claim is false in the case of (i). Then every irreducible constituent of $\Phi|_Y$ is either trivial on $[X_1, X_1]$ or trivial on $[X_2, X_2]$. In particular, if $A \leq [X_1, X_1]$ and $B \leq [X_2, X_2]$, then every irreducible constituent of $\Phi|_{A*B}$ is either trivial on A or trivial on B . Now we get a contradiction by choosing $A \simeq Sp_2(q)$ to be a direct factor of a standard subgroup of X_1 and $B = X_2$ and applying Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 to $A \times B$ inside $Sp_{2(l+1)}(q)$ if $(k, q) \neq (2, 2)$. If $(k, q) = (2, 2)$, then we can choose $A \times B$ to be a standard subgroup $Sp_2(4) \times Sp_2(4)$ inside a subgroup $Sp_4(4)$ of G . $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $G = \Omega(V) = \Omega_{2n}^\pm(q)$ with $q = 2^f \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$. Decompose the natural module $\mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ of G into the orthogonal sum $V_1 \oplus V_2$ of nondegenerate subspaces V_1 of dimension 4 and type $-$, V_2 of dimension $2n - 4$, and let $X_i := \Omega(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\ell \neq 2$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. Then $\Phi|_{X_1 \times X_2}$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi_i) > 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $n = 4$. Assume the statement is false for $n = 4$. We can find $\epsilon = \pm$ such that $(\ell, q - \epsilon) = 1$. Decompose V_2 into an orthogonal sum of nondegenerate subspaces: 2-dimensional $V_{21} = \langle a, b \rangle$ of type ϵ , and 2-dimensional $V_{22} = \langle c, d \rangle$, with d being nonsingular. Then $\text{Stab}_G(d)$ has the commutator subgroup $Y \simeq Sp_6(q)$. Consider the commutator subgroups Y_1 of $\text{Stab}_Y(a, b)$ (so $Y_1 \simeq Sp_4(q)$), and Y_2 of $\{y \in Y \mid y_{V_1} = 1_{V_1}\}$ (so $Y_2 \simeq Sp_2(q)$). Clearly, $\Phi|_Y$ has an irreducible constituent Φ' of dimension > 1 . By Lemma 3.7, $\Phi'|_{Y_1 \times Y_2}$ has an irreducible constituent $\Phi^1 \otimes \Phi^2$, with $\Phi^i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(Y_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi^i) > 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $Y_1 > X_1$, $\Phi^1|_{X_1}$ has an irreducible constituent Φ^{11} of dimension > 1 . Since $Y_2 > T := \Omega(V_{21}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q-\epsilon}$ and $(\ell, q - \epsilon) = 1$, $\Phi^2|_T$ contains a nontrivial irreducible constituent λ . Thus $\Phi|_{X_1 \times T}$ contains the irreducible constituent $\Phi^{11} \otimes \lambda$ with $\dim(\Phi^{11}) > 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1_T$. On the other hand, we have assumed that every irreducible constituent of $\Phi|_{X_1 \times X_2}$ is either trivial on X_1 or trivial on $[X_2, X_2]$. This is a contradiction, since $[X_2, X_2] > T$. $\square$

Next we prove the counterpart of Lemma 3.9 for odd q .

Lemma 3.10. *Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a nondegenerate orthogonal space with $n \geq 8$ and $q \geq 5$ odd. Consider a standard subgroup $X_1 * X_2 = \text{Spin}_4^-(q) * \text{Spin}_{n-4}(q)$ of $G := \text{Spin}(V)$. Assume $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. Then $\Phi|_{X_1 * X_2}$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi_i) > 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for $n = 8$. Let Q denote the quadratic form on V . Consider an orthogonal decomposition $V = \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, f_2 \rangle \oplus \langle e_3, f_3 \rangle \oplus \langle e_4, f_4 \rangle$, such that $Q(e_1) = Q(f_1) = Q(e_3) = Q(f_3) = 0$, $Q(e_2) = 1$, $e_2 \perp f_2$, $e_4 \perp f_4$, $Q(e_4) = -1$, and $\langle e_4, f_4 \rangle$ is of type $-$. Observe that $A_1 := \langle e_1, f_1, f_2 \rangle$ is nondegenerate, $A_2 := \langle e_3, f_3, e_2, e_4 \rangle$ is nondegenerate of type $+$, and $A_1 \perp A_2$. Inside $H := \text{Spin}(A_1 \oplus A_2) = \text{Spin}_7(q)$, $\text{Spin}(A_2)$ contains a long-root subgroup U of H , and $\text{Spin}(A_1) = \text{Spin}_3(q) \simeq SL_2(q)$ contains a quasisimple subgroup $T \simeq SL_2(q)$ if $q \neq 9$, and $T \simeq SL_2(5)$ if $q = 9$. In particular, $\text{Mult}(T) = 1$. By Lemma 3.6 applied to H , Φ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_{11} \otimes \Phi_{12}$ with $\Phi_{1i} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\text{Spin}(A_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$, $\dim(\Phi_{11}) > 1$, and $\Phi_{12}|_U$ is nontrivial. Setting $A_3 := \langle e_3, f_3, e_2, e_4, f_4 \rangle$, we see that $\text{Spin}(A_3) = \text{Spin}_5(q)$ is a perfect subgroup containing U . It follows that Φ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_{21} \otimes \Phi_{23}$ such that $\Phi_{2j} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\text{Spin}(A_j))$ and $\dim(\Phi_{2j}) > 1$ for $j = 1, 3$. Next, $A_4 := \langle e_3, f_3, e_4, f_4 \rangle$ is a nondegenerate subspace of type $-$ of A_3 , and $X_1 = \text{Spin}(A_4)$ is perfect. Hence Φ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_{31} \otimes \Phi_{34}$ such that $\Phi_{3j} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\text{Spin}(A_j))$ and $\dim(\Phi_{3j}) > 1$ for $j = 1, 4$. Finally, $A_5 := \langle e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2 \rangle$ is an $(n - 4)$ -dimensional nondegenerate subspace containing A_1 , and $X_2 = \text{Spin}(A_5)$ contains the perfect subgroup $\text{Spin}(A_1)$. Consequently, Φ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_{44} \otimes \Phi_{45}$ such that $\Phi_{4i} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(\text{Spin}(A_i))$ and $\dim(\Phi_{4i}) > 1$ for $i = 4, 5$, as stated. $\square$

Note that the subgroup X_1 in Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 does not contain a long-root subgroup of G .

4. REGULAR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS

In this section we study the semisimple elements which are minimal in a certain sense. For instance, we consider $G = GL_r^\epsilon(q)$, with $\epsilon = +$ for $GL_r(q)$ and $\epsilon = -$ for $GU_r(q)$ and r is a prime (and $r \geq 3$ for $\epsilon = -$). Let $p \neq \ell$ be a *primitive prime divisor* of $q^r - 1$, resp. $q^{2r} - 1$, for $\epsilon = +$, resp. $\epsilon = -$ (cf. [Zs] for the definition of such prime divisors), and let $g \neq 1$ be a p -element in G . It is easy to see that $C_G(g)$ is a maximal torus of G of order $q^r - \epsilon$ (so g is regular), and g is not contained in any proper parabolic subgroup of G . In fact, the elements we consider are the p -elements for which the Sylow p -subgroups S of G are cyclic and $C_G(S)$ is abelian – we will not use this observation in the sequel, though.

For the reader's convenience, we record the following statement, (see also [GT2, Lemma 10.2]):

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group, F a Frobenius map on $\mathcal{G}$, and let the pair $(\mathcal{G}^*, F^*)$ be dual to $(\mathcal{G}, F)$. Assume $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G}^F) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ for some semisimple element $s \in (\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}$. Then χ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of those $R_{T, \theta}$ belonging to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ and some class function that vanishes at semisimple elements of $\mathcal{G}^F$. The same statement holds if one replaces the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ by the rational series $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s)_{(\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}})$.*

Proof. Let $I := \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G}^F) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$, $I' := \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G}^F) \setminus I$, $J := \{R_{T, \theta} \mid R_{T, \theta} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))\}$, and $J' := \{R_{T, \theta} \mid R_{T, \theta} \notin \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))\}$. Notice that the scalar product of characters is positive definite on the space of rational-valued class functions on $\mathcal{G}^F$. Clearly, $I \perp I'$, $J \subseteq \langle I \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $J' \subseteq \langle I' \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (as Lusztig series form a partition of $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{G}^F)$). Write $\langle I \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the orthogonal sum $\langle J \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus S$. Then any function $f \in S$ is orthogonal to J and also to J' , so f is an orthogonal function; in particular it vanishes at semisimple elements of $\mathcal{G}^F$ by [GT2, Lemma 10.2(i)]. Now any $\chi \in I$ can be written as $\alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \langle J \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\beta \in S$, and so we are done. The same argument applies to the rational series $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s)_{(\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}})$ in place of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$, as the rational series also form a partition of $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{G}^F)$ (cf. [DM, Prop. 14.41]). $\square$

One of the main results of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic ℓ , $\mathcal{G}$ a connected reductive algebraic group in characteristic $r \neq \ell$, F a Frobenius map on $\mathcal{G}$, and let $G := \mathcal{G}^F$. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be an algebraic group with a Frobenius map F^* such that $(\mathcal{G}^*, F^*)$ is dual to $(\mathcal{G}, F)$. Let $p \neq \ell$ be a prime with the property that any nontrivial p -element in $(\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}$ is regular semisimple in $\mathcal{G}^*$ and that $(p, |Z(\mathcal{G})/Z(\mathcal{G})^\circ|) = 1$. Consider any irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module V , with Brauer character ψ and any nontrivial p -element $g \in G$.*

(A) Then one of the following holds.

- (i) $\psi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_V(g) \geq \varphi(|g|)$.
- (ii) V lifts to characteristic 0.

(B) Assume in addition that $|T|^3 \leq |G|_{r'}$ for any maximal torus T of G with order divisible by p , that any nontrivial p -element in $\mathcal{G}^F$ is regular semisimple in $\mathcal{G}$, and that $(p, |Z(\mathcal{G}^*)/Z(\mathcal{G}^*)^\circ|) = 1$. Then $d_V(g) = |g|$ in case of (ii).

Proof. Notice that in statement (i), the second conclusion follows from the first one (and the assumption that any nontrivial power of g acts nontrivially on V).

1) First we consider a generalized Deligne-Lusztig character $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathcal{G}$ and θ a linear character of $\mathcal{T}^F$. By [DM, Cor. 12.18], $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta} \cdot St$ and $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{T}^F}^{\mathcal{G}^F}(\theta)$ are equal up to sign, where St denotes the Steinberg character of G . Since $St(g)$ is a nonzero integer, it follows that $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(g) = 0$ if no G -conjugate of g is contained in $\mathcal{T}$. Next assume that $g \in \mathcal{T}$ but the (multiplicative) order M of θ is not divisible by p . Consider any G -conjugate xgx^{-1} of g that lies in $\mathcal{T}^F$. Then $(\theta(xgx^{-1}))^k = 1$ for $k = M$ and for $k = |g|$. Since $(M, |g|) = 1$, we conclude $\theta(xgx^{-1}) = 1$. Thus $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{T}^F}^{\mathcal{G}^F}(\theta)$, and so $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(g)$, is rational.

2) By the results of Broué and Michel [BM], V belongs to a union $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ for some semisimple ℓ' -element $s \in (\mathcal{G}^*)^{F*}$. It is well-known, cf. [Do, Theorem 61.6] for instance, that any Brauer character in an ℓ -block is an integral combination of the restrictions to ℓ' -classes of G of the complex irreducible characters belonging to the block. It now follows by Lemma 4.1 that $\psi(g)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(g)$ with $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ belonging to some $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (st))$. In particular, if $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(g) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all such $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ then $\psi(g) \in \mathbb{Q}$, as stated in (i).

3) From now on we assume $\psi(g) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, whence there is a $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ belonging to some $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (st))$ such that $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(g) \notin \mathbb{Q}$. In this case, the results of 1) imply that the order of θ is divisible by p . As recorded in [GT2, Remark 10.3], the order of st is also divisible by p , whence p divides $|s|$ as t is an ℓ -element centralizing s and $p \neq \ell$. Let x denote the p -part of s . By our assumptions, $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)^\circ$ is a maximal torus. On the other hand, by [DM, Lemma 13.14(iii), Remark 13.15(i)], the exponent of $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)/C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)^\circ$ divides both $|x|$ and $|Z(\mathcal{G})/Z(\mathcal{G})^\circ|$ and so it is 1 by our assumptions. Thus $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)$ is a maximal torus. Since $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)$ is contained in $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)$ and it contains a maximal torus, we conclude that $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s) = C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)$. In fact, we have shown that $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(st')$ is connected and it is equal to the maximal torus $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(x)$ for any ℓ -element t' that centralizes s , whence the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (st'))$ and the rational series $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (st')_{(\mathcal{G}^*)^{F*}})$ coincide. Hence, Lusztig's parametrization of irreducible complex characters ensures that the degree of any irreducible complex character χ in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ is just $D := (G : C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(s)^{F*})_{r'}$ that is coprime to q . This in turn implies, as shown in [HM], that the degree of any irreducible Brauer character in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$, in particular of ψ , is divisible by D . Now ψ is an irreducible constituent of the reduction modulo ℓ of some irreducible complex character χ in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$. We can now conclude that

$\psi = \chi(\text{mod } \ell)$, i.e. V lifts to characteristic 0. Thus the statement (A) has been proved.

4) Next we claim that χ is actually a Deligne-Lusztig character $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ (for some $\mathcal{T}$ and θ with p dividing $|\mathcal{T}^F|$) up to sign. For consider any $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$. Then $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ belongs to some $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}^F, (st))$ with t an ℓ -element centralizing s . We have shown in 2) that $C_{\mathcal{G}^*}(st)$ is a maximal torus, whence the relative Weyl group $W(st)/W^0(st)$ is trivial (cf. [DM, Remark 2.4]). Since $(R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}, R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta})_G \leq |W(st)/W^0(st)|$ (see the proof of [DM, Prop. 14.43]), $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ is irreducible (up to sign). Thus all Deligne-Lusztig characters in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$ are irreducible up to sign. Since χ is an irreducible constituent of some Deligne-Lusztig character $R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(\mathcal{G}^F, (s))$, we get $\chi = \pm R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$. As p divides $|\theta|$, p also divides $|\mathcal{T}^F|$, and the claim follows.

5) Now we may assume that $\chi = \pm R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}$ and p divides $|\mathcal{T}^F|$. Consider any nontrivial power h of g . The assumptions in (B) and the arguments in 2) show that $C_{\mathcal{G}}(h)$ is a maximal torus in $\mathcal{G}$, and so $C_G(h)$ is a maximal torus in G of order divisible by p . Among maximal tori of G order divisible by p , choose T of largest order. Then $|\mathcal{T}^F|, |C| \leq |T|$. Clearly, $|\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{T}^F}^{\mathcal{G}^F}(\theta)(h)| \leq (|\mathcal{T}^F| - 1)|C|/|\mathcal{T}^F| < |T|$. Hence [C, Prop. 7.5.4] implies $|\chi(h)| = |R_{\mathcal{T}, \theta}(h)| < |T|$, meanwhile $\chi(1) = (G : \mathcal{T}^F)_{r'} \geq |G|_{r'}/|T| \geq |T|^2$. Now for any linear character α of $A := \langle g \rangle$ we have

$$|A| \cdot (\chi|_A, \alpha)_A = \sum_{x \in A} \chi(x) \overline{\alpha(x)} > |T|^2 - |A| \cdot |T| > 0,$$

as $A \leq \mathcal{T}^F$. It follows that $d_V(g) = |g|$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. [GL, §10.1] *Let $\Phi_m(x)$ denote the m^{th} cyclotomic polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\Pi\Phi_m^{r_m}(x)$ be the polynomial associated with the finite group of Lie type G , see [GL, Table on p. 111]. Then Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic if and only if there is exactly one m such that p divides $\Phi_m(q)$ and $r_m = 1$ for this m .* $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a simple simply connected algebraic group with a Frobenius map F , and let $G := \mathcal{G}^F$ be quasi-simple of exceptional type. Let q denote the common absolute value of eigenvalues of F . Assume p is a prime dividing $|G|$ such that Sylow p -subgroups S of G are cyclic. Then there is an F -stable simple simply connected algebraic subgroup $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ of rank ≥ 2 such that $\mathcal{L}^F$ is quasi-simple and contains S , $(p, q^2 - 1) = 1$, and moreover one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}^F$ is exceptional, any nontrivial p -element in $\mathcal{L}^F$ is regular semisimple in $\mathcal{L}$, and $(p, |Z(\mathcal{L})|) = 1$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}^F = SL_m^\epsilon(q)$ with $m \geq 3$. Furthermore, p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^m - 1$ if $\epsilon = +$ and of $q^{2m} - 1$ if $\epsilon = -$.

Proof. 1) First we show that the statements hold for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$ if p satisfies the following three conditions:

- (a) There is an integer N with $\varphi(N) = r := \text{rank}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $p|(q^N - 1)$;
- (b) If k is any integer with $k|N$ and $\varphi(k) < r$ then $(p, q^k - 1) = 1$;

(c) If $\mathcal{S}$ is a simple simply connected algebraic group of rank $s|r$, then $(p, |Z(\mathcal{S})|) = 1$.

Indeed, assume (a) – (c) hold but a nontrivial p -element $g \in G$ is not regular semisimple. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is simply connected, $\mathcal{C} := C_{\mathcal{G}}(g)$ is connected reductive. Let $\mathcal{Z} := (Z(\mathcal{C}))^o$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{Z}$. By assumption, g is not regular, so $\mathcal{D}$ is semisimple of rank > 0 . Also, $g \in \mathcal{C}^F$ and $|\mathcal{C}^F| = |\mathcal{Z}^F| \cdot |\mathcal{D}^F|$, so p divides either $|\mathcal{Z}^F|$ or $|\mathcal{D}^F|$.

Notice that p cannot divide $|\mathcal{H}^F|$ for any F -stable connected reductive subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ of rank $< r$. Assume the contrary. Then there is an F -stable maximal torus $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that p divides $|\mathcal{T}^F|$. It is known that F acts on the character group of $\mathcal{T}$ as qF_0 with F_0 of finite order t , and $|\mathcal{T}^F| = \det(q - F)$. Any eigenvalue ω of F_0 has minimal polynomial $\Phi_l(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, with $\varphi(l) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) < r$. It follows that $p|(q^l - 1)$ for some l with $\varphi(l) < r$. But $p|(q^N - 1)$, so $p|(q^k - 1)$ for $k := \gcd(l, N)$, contrary to (b).

The above observation implies that $\mathcal{Z} = 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}_1$ be a smallest F -stable semisimple subgroup of $\mathcal{C}$. Since p divides either $|\mathcal{D}_1|^F$ or $|(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{D}_1)^F|$, our observation implies that $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{C}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is a central quotient of $\mathcal{S}^m$ with $\mathcal{S}$ being simple simply connected of rank $s|r$. Since $g \in Z(\mathcal{C})$, we conclude that $p||Z(\mathcal{S})|$, contrary to (c).

2) Now we can inspect the exceptional groups G of Lie type.

Assume $G = G_2(q)$. By Lemma 4.3, $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 3$ or 6 , and $(p, q^2 - 1) = 1$. In particular, $p > 3$, so (a) – (c) hold. Thus we may take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$.

Assume $G = F_4(q)$. By Lemma 4.3, $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 8$ or 12 , and $(p, (q^6 - 1)(q^2 + 1)) = 1$. In particular, $p > 5$, so (a) – (c) hold. Thus we may take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$.

Assume $G = E_6(q)$. Here, $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 5, 8, 9$ or 12 , and $(p, \Phi_k(q)) = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$; in particular, $p > 7$. Now we may take $\mathcal{L}$ to be $\mathcal{G}$ if $N = 9$, an F -stable subgroup of type F_4 if $N = 8$ or 12 , and an F -stable subgroup of type A_4 (such that $\mathcal{L}^F \simeq SL_5(q)$) if $N = 5$.

Assume $G = {}^2E_6(q)$. Then $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 8, 10, 12$ or 18 , and $(p, \Phi_k(q)) = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$; in particular, $p > 7$. Now we may take $\mathcal{L}$ to be $\mathcal{G}$ if $N = 18$, an F -stable subgroup of type F_4 if $N = 8$ or 12 , and an F -stable subgroup of type A_4 (such that $\mathcal{L}^F \simeq SU_5(q)$) if $N = 10$.

Assume $G = E_7(q)$. In this case, $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14$ or 18 , and $(p, \Phi_k(q)) = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$; in particular, $p > 7$. Now we may take $\mathcal{L}$ to be an F -stable subgroup of type E_6 if $N = 9$ or 18 , of type F_4 if $N = 8$ or 12 , of type A_4 if $N = 5$ or 10 , and of type A_6 if $N = 7$ or 14 .

Assume $G = E_8(q)$. In this case, $p|\Phi_N(q)$ with $N = 7, 9, 14, 15, 18, 20, 24$ or 30 , and $(p, \Phi_k(q)) = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$; in particular, $p > 7$. Now we may take $\mathcal{L}$ to be an F -stable subgroup of type E_6 if $N = 9$ or 18 , of type A_6 if $N = 7$ or 14 , and $\mathcal{G}$ otherwise.

Assume $G = {}^3D_4(q)$. By Lemma 4.3, $p|\Phi_{12}(q)$ and $(p, q^6 - 1) = 1$. In particular, $p > 5$, and we may take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$.

If $G = {}^2B_2(q^2)$ one can take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$ (cf. [Bu2]). If $G = {}^2G_2(q^2)$ one can take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$ (cf. [H1, D.2]). Finally, assume $G = {}^2F_4(q^2)$. Then p divides $q^4 - q^2 + 1$, $q^4 - \sqrt{2}q^3 + q^2 - \sqrt{2}q + 1$, or $q^4 + \sqrt{2}q^3 + q^2 + \sqrt{2}q + 1$ (cf. [H1, D.3]), and one can take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}$ (cf. [V, Prop. 4]). $\square$

Now we are ready to deduce two important consequences of Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.5. (A) *Let $S = SL_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 3$ and let $g \in S$ be any semisimple element of prime power order $p^a > 1$, with p a primitive prime divisor of $q^n - 1$ if $\epsilon = +$ and of $q^{2n} - 1$ if $\epsilon = -$. If V is a nontrivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}S$ -representation in cross characteristic ℓ then either*

- (i) $\ell \neq p$ and $d_V(g) \geq p^{a-1}(p-1)$, or
- (ii) $\ell = p$ and $d_V(g) \geq p^a - 1$, or
- (iii) $\ell = p = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, $S = SL_n(q)$, n a prime, $o(g) = p$, V is a Weil module, and $\dim(V) = d_V(g) = p - 2$.

(B) *The conclusion of (A) also holds if we assume that n is a prime and $g \in S$ is any irreducible element of prime power order p^a with $(p, q^2 - 1) = 1$.*

(C) *Either (i) or (ii) holds, if we assume that $S = Sp_{2n}(q)$ or $Spin_{2n}^-(q)$, and that $g \in S$ is any semisimple element of prime power order $p^a > 1$, with p a primitive prime divisor of $q^{2n} - 1$.*

Proof. (A) Our assumptions imply that $p > n$ and Sylow p -subgroups of S are cyclic. Moreover, $(\mathcal{G}, F, p)$ satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 4.2, with $\mathcal{G} := SL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and F chosen such that $\mathcal{G}^F = SL_n^\epsilon(q)$. Therefore, the result follows from [Z3] if $\ell = 0$ or if $\ell = p$, and from Theorem 4.2 if $\ell \neq p$ but V does not lift to a complex representation.

(B) Observe that the classification of irreducible elements (cf. Lemma 5.2 below) implies that the assumptions of (A) hold.

(C) Apply Theorem 4.2 to $\mathcal{G}$ of type C_n or D_n . $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a simple simply connected algebraic group with a Frobenius map F , and let $G := \mathcal{G}^F$ be quasi-simple of exceptional type. Assume p is a prime dividing $|G|$ such that Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of cross characteristic ℓ and let V be any nontrivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. Then for any element $g \in G$ of order p^b , $d_V(g) \geq p^{b-1}(p-1)$ if $\ell \neq p$, and $d_V(g) = p^b$ if $\ell = p$.*

Proof. By the virtue of [Z3] we may assume that $\ell \neq p$. Next we proceed by induction on $|\mathcal{G}^F|$ and embed g in $\mathcal{L}^F$ as in Proposition 4.4. If we are in case (ii) of Proposition 4.4, then we are done by Corollary 4.5. Otherwise $\mathcal{L}^F$ is of exceptional type; in particular, the simply connected algebraic group $(\mathcal{L}^*)_{sc}$ dual to $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$, and $(p, |\mathcal{L}|) = 1$. Let $(\mathcal{L}^*, F^*)$ be dual to $(\mathcal{L}, F)$, and let q denote the common absolute value of eigenvalues of F . We may now identify $\mathcal{L}^*$ with $\mathcal{L}/Z(\mathcal{L})$ and F^* with F . Claim that any nontrivial F -stable p -element in $\mathcal{L}/A$ is regular semisimple, for any $A \leq Z(\mathcal{L})$. Indeed, consider any nontrivial F -stable p -element $gA \in (\mathcal{L}/A)^F$. We

may assume $g \in \mathcal{L}$ has the same order as the order N of gA in $\mathcal{L}/A$. Since gA is F -stable, we have $F(g) = gz$ for some $z \in A$. Hence $1 = F(g^N) = g^N z^N = z^N$, whence $z = 1$ as $(N, |A|) = 1$. Thus g is F -stable, and so $C_{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ is a maximal torus of $\mathcal{L}$ by our assumptions. Now if $xA \in C_{\mathcal{L}/A}(gA)$, then $xgx^{-1} = ga$ with $a \in A$. Comparing the order and using $(N, |A|) = 1$ once more, we get $a = 1$. Thus $C_{\mathcal{L}/A}(g) = C_{\mathcal{L}}(g)/A$ is a maximal torus in $\mathcal{L}/A$ as stated. The case $\mathcal{L}^F$ is of type 2B_2 , 2G_2 , ${}^3D_4(2)$, or ${}^2F_4(2)'$, can be checked directly, so we will assume $\mathcal{L}^F$ is none of these types. Then it is easy to verify that $|\mathcal{L}^F|_{r'} > (q+1)^{3\text{rank}(\mathcal{L})} \geq |T|^3$ for any maximal torus of T of $\mathcal{L}$, if r is the prime dividing q . Now we can apply Theorem 4.2 to $\mathcal{L}$. $\square$

5. CLASSICAL GROUPS: IRREDUCIBLE ELEMENTS

We begin with the following (well-known) description of irreducible elements in $GL_n(q)$:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G := GL_n(q)$, $S := SL_n(q)$, and let $V := \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the natural module for G . Assume $g \in G$ is an irreducible p -element with $o(g) = p^a$. Then $(p, q) = 1$, and the following statements hold.*

(i) *Up to G -conjugacy, g is uniquely determined by its characteristic polynomial $p(t)$, and $p(t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $1 \leq m < o(g)$ then no eigenvalue of g^m can belong to $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

(ii) *If $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(g, V)$, then $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $\text{Spec}(g, V) = \{\lambda, \lambda^q, \dots, \lambda^{q^{n-1}}\}$. Furthermore, g is G -conjugate to n distinct powers g^{q^i} , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, of g .*

(iii) *Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p|(q^k - 1)$. Then $n/k = p^b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(iv) *In the notation of (iii), assume $b \geq 1$. Then g is S -conjugate to an irreducible p -element h of $H := GL_p(q^{n/p})$ (naturally embedded in G) and $p|(q^{n/p} - 1)$. Assume in addition $(p, n) \neq (2, 2)$. Then $1 \neq h^p \in Z(H)$ and h^p belongs to a (proper) parabolic subgroup of G . Moreover, $g^p \notin Z(G)$ if $n > p$, and $o(g) = p$ if $n = p > 2$. If $n = p^b > 1$ and $p > 2$ then $g \notin SL_n(q)$.*

Proof. Since the unipotent part of g has nonzero fixed points on V , g must be semisimple, i.e. $(p, q) = 1$.

(i) Inspecting the rational canonical form of g on V , we see that the irreducibility of g on V implies that $p(t)$ is also the minimal polynomial of g . Let $f(t)$ be any irreducible divisor of $p(t)$. Then $f(g)V$ is g -invariant, and the action of g on $f(g)V$ is annihilated by $p(t)/f(t)$. Hence $f(g)V \neq V$, and so $f(g)V = 0$ by irreducibility. It follows that $p(t) = f(t)$ is irreducible. Since $p(t)$ is the unique invariant factor of g , g is uniquely determined by $p(t)$ up to conjugacy. The second statement follows by irreducibility.

(ii) Clearly, λ is a root of $p(t)$. But $p(t)$ is irreducible of degree n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, so $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. All the roots of $p(t)$ are λ^{q^i} with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and all of them

belong to $\text{Spec}(g, V)$ since $g \in GL_n(q)$. In a basis of $V \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ we may assume that g is represented by $\text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^q, \dots, \lambda^{q^{n-1}})$. Then the n powers g^{q^i} with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ are distinct, and all of them are conjugate to g by (i), since they have the same characteristic polynomial $p(t)$.

(iii) In the notation of (ii) we see that $|g| = |\lambda|$ divides $q^n - 1$; in particular, $p|(q^n - 1)$ and so $k|n$. Write $n/k = p^b s$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to p . Then $(q^n - 1)/(q^{n/s} - 1) \equiv s \pmod{p}$. It follows that the multiplicative groups of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n/s}}$ have the same Sylow p -subgroups, whence $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/s}}$. By (ii) we must have $s = 1$.

(iv) Set $Q := q^{n/p}$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{Q^p} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, there is an element $h \in H$ with one eigenvalue (on $W := \mathbb{F}_Q$) equal to λ . When one embeds H in G naturally (by viewing W as an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -space and identifying it with V), h has the characteristic polynomial $p(t)$ on V and so g and h are G -conjugate by (i). Next, $HS = G$, so g is S -conjugate to some H -conjugate of h . So, up to S -conjugacy, we can embed g in H . Since g is irreducible on V , h is irreducible on W . In a basis of $W \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ we may assume that h is represented by $\text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^Q, \dots, \lambda^{Q^{p-1}})$. Since λ is primitive in $\mathbb{F}_{Q^p}$, $\lambda^p \neq 1$ and so $h^p \neq 1$. Assume $(p, n) \neq (2, 2)$. We show that $\lambda^{(Q-1)p} = 1$, which implies that h^p is scalar and so $h^p \in Z(H)$. Indeed, if p is odd, then $(Q^p - 1)/(Q - 1) \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$, whence $(Q^p - 1)_p = p(Q - 1)_p$ and so $|\lambda|$ divides $p(Q - 1)$. If $p = 2$ and $b \geq 2$ then $4|(Q - 1)$ and we again have $(Q^p - 1)_p = p(Q - 1)_p$. If $p = 2$ and $b = 1$, then $k = 1$ (by the choice of k) and so $n = 2$, contrary to our assumption. Thus $h^p \in Z(H)$. In particular, h^p stabilizes a 1-space of W and so it fixes an n/p -dimensional subspace of V , whence it belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . Next we assume $g^p \in Z(G)$. Then $|\lambda| = |g|$ divides $p(q - 1)_p$. If $k > 1$, then $(p, q - 1) = 1$ by the choice of k , so $|\lambda| = p$ and $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/p}}$, contrary to (ii). Thus $k = 1$. In this case, $p(q - 1)$ divides $q^p - 1$, so (ii) implies $n = p$. Conversely, assume $n = p > 2$. Then $p(q - 1)_p = (q^p - 1)_p$, whence $\lambda^p = \lambda^{p^q}$ and $g \notin Z(G) \ni g^p$, i.e. $o(g) = p$. Finally, assume that $n = p^b > 1$ and $p > 2$ but $g \in SL_n(q)$. Then $k = 1$, $p|(q - 1)$, $((q^n - 1)/(q - 1))_p = p^b$ and $1 = \det(g) = \lambda^{(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)}$, yielding $\lambda^{p^b} = 1$. In this case $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/p}}$, contrary to (ii). $\square$

In what follows, $Sp_n(q)$ is considered only for even n , $GO_{2m+1}(q)$ is considered only for odd q , and $GU_n(q^{1/2})$ is considered only for q a square. (The reason we consider $GU_n(q^{1/2})$ instead of $GU_n(q)$ in what follows is that we want to use q for the size of the defining field. This allows us to have a uniform argument for both SL - and SU -cases.)

Proposition 5.2. *Let $G \in \{GU_n(q^{1/2}), CSp_n(q), GO_n^\epsilon(q)\}$, $S := [G, G]$, and let $V := \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the natural module for G . Assume $g \in G$ is an irreducible p -element with $o(g) = p^a$. Then $(p, q) = 1$ and the following statements hold.*

(i) *Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p|(q^k - 1)$. Then $n/k = p^b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $G_1 = Sp_n(q)$ if $G = CSp_n(q)$ and $G_1 = G$ otherwise. Then up*

to G_1 -conjugacy, g is uniquely determined by its characteristic polynomial $p(t)$, and $p(t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Furthermore, g is G_1 -conjugate to n distinct powers g^{q^i} , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, of g .

(ii) Assume $G = GU_n(q^{1/2})$. Then n is odd. Assume in addition that $b \geq 1$. Then g is S -conjugate to an irreducible p -element h of $H := GU_p(q^{n/2p})$ (naturally embedded in G), $p > 2$, and $p|(q^{n/2p} + 1)$. Moreover, $1 \neq h^p \in Z(H)$, h^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . If $n > p$ then $o(g) > p$, and if $n = p$ then $o(g) = p$. If $n = p^b > 1$ then $g \notin SU_n(q^{1/2})$.

(iii) Assume $G = CSp_n(q)$ with $n > 2$. Then $n = 2m$, $p > 2$, $p|(q^m + 1)$, and g is S -conjugate to an element in a torus of order $q^m + 1$ of $SL_2(q^m)$ (naturally embedded in $Sp_n(q)$).

(iv) Assume $G = GO_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n > 1$. Then n is even, $\epsilon = -$, $g^{q^{n/2}+1} = 1$, and either $p > 2$, or $p = n = 2$. Assume in addition that $n > 2$. If $b \geq 1$, then g can be embedded as an irreducible p -element h of $H := GU_p(q^{n/2p}) < GO_{2p}^-(q^{n/2p})$ (naturally embedded in G) and $p|(q^{n/2p} + 1)$; moreover, $h^p \in Z(H) \setminus Z(G)$ and h^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . Furthermore, g is S -conjugate to an irreducible p -element in a subgroup $GU_{n/2}(q)$ of G if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and in a torus $\mathbb{Z}_{(q^{n/2}+1)/(2, q-1)}$ of a subgroup $PSL_2(q^{n/2})$ of G if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, g is an irreducible element in $A := GL_n(q)$. So $(p, q) = 1$. Semisimple elements in classical groups are classified up to conjugacy in [Wa]. In particular, two irreducible elements of G_1 are conjugate if and only if they are conjugate in A , cf. [Wa, pp. 34 - 39, 59]. We will show in (iii) that all irreducible p -elements of $CSp_n(q)$ are contained in S . Hence the statements follow from Lemma 5.1.

(ii) It is well known that n is odd and $|g|$ divides $q^{n/2} + 1$. Assume $b \geq 1$; in particular, p is odd. Note that $p|(q^{k/2} + 1)$ and so $p|(q^{n/2p} + 1)$ as p is odd. (Indeed, if p does not divide $q^{k/2} + 1$ then k divides $q^{k/2} - 1$ and $q^{n/2} + 1$, whence $p = 2$, a contradiction.) Fixing $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(g, V)$, we have $\lambda^{q^{n/2}+1} = 1$. Using the natural embeddings $GU_1(q^{n/2}) < H < G$, we can find an element $h \in H$ with an eigenvalue equal to λ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.1(ii) we obtain that g is S -conjugate to an H -conjugate of h . Embedding g in $GL_p(q^{n/p})$ we get $1 \neq h^p \in Z(H)$ by Lemma 5.1(iv). Clearly, the central element h^p of H stabilizes a singular 1-space L of the Hermitian space $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n/p}}^p$. Notice that L becomes a totally singular n/p -dimensional subspace of the Hermitian space V , whence h^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . The last two claims follow from Lemma 5.1(iv).

(iii) In our case $n = 2m$ is even. It is shown in [TZ1, pp. 2127, 2130] that $|g|$ divides $(q-1)(q^m + 1)$. Notice that $(p, q-1) = 1$. (Otherwise we would have $k = 1$ and so $n = p^b$ by (i). If $p > 2$ then $|\lambda|$ divides $(q-1)(q^m + 1)_p = q-1$. If $p = 2$, then q is odd; furthermore, m is even as $n = 2^b > 2$, whence $|\lambda|$ divides $(q-1)(q^m + 1)_2 = 2(q-1)$. In both of the cases, $|\lambda|$ divides $q^2 - 1$, violating the condition $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$.) This in turn implies that $|g|$ divides $q^m + 1$ and it is shown in [TZ1, pp. 2127, 2130] that g is

S -conjugate to an element in a torus of order $q^m + 1$ of a natural subgroup $SL_2(q^m)$ of $Sp_{2n}(q)$. Finally, we notice that $p > 2$. (Indeed, if $p = 2$ then q is odd and $p \mid (q - 1)$, a contradiction.)

(iv) If n is even, then the irreducibility of g implies $\epsilon = -$, cf. [Wa, pp. 38, 59]. In general, the map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$ acts on $\text{Spec}(g, V)$ as an involution without fixed points (as g is irreducible), so n is even. So we may assume $G = GO_{2m}^-(q)$. It is well known that $|g|$ divides $q^m + 1$. From now on assume $n > 2$. Arguing as in (iii) we get $p > 2$. Fixing $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(g, V)$, we have $\lambda^{q^m+1} = 1$.

Suppose $b \geq 1$. Using the natural embeddings $GU_1(q^m) < H < GO_{2p}^-(q^{m/p}) \leq G$, we can find an element $h \in H$ with an eigenvalue equal to λ . Arguing as in (ii) we obtain that h and g are G -conjugate, $p \mid (q^{m/p} + 1)$ and $1 \neq h^p \in Z(H)$. Clearly, the central element h^p of H stabilizes a singular 1-space L of the Hermitian space $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m/p}}^p$. Notice that L becomes a totally singular 2-space of the orthogonal space $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m/p}}^{2p}$, which in turns is a totally singular $2m/p$ -dimensional subspace of the orthogonal space V . Hence h^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . Since $n = 2m \geq 2p$, $g^p \notin Z(G)$ by Lemma 5.1(iv).

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then using the natural embeddings $GU_1(q^m) < K := GU_m(q) < G$ we can find an element $t \in K$ with an eigenvalue equal to λ , and so g and t are G -conjugate as above. We claim that g is S -conjugate to t or t^q . Firstly, the Frobenius map (that raises every element of K to its q -power) normalizes K , sends t to (a K -conjugate of) t^q , and it can be realized as an element of $G \setminus SO_n^-(q)$ if q is odd, and of $G \setminus S$ if q is even (as $n/2$ is odd). Secondly, if q is odd then any generator of $Z(K)$ belongs to $SO_n^-(q) \setminus S$ by [TZ4, Lemma 8.14] and centralizes t , so we are done.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we can argue similarly, using the embeddings $\mathbb{Z}_{(q^m+1)/e} < PSL_2(q^m) \simeq \Omega_4^-(q^{m/2}) < G$ with $e := (2, q - 1)$. In more details, one can choose a primitive prime divisor r of $q^{2m} - 1$ and a Sylow r -subgroup R of $PSL_2(q^m)$. Then R is also a Sylow r -subgroup of G (and S), and $C_G(R) = \mathbb{Z}_{q^m+1}$. Hence the S -conjugacy follows. $\square$

A crucial rule in the treatment of irreducible p -elements is played by the following statement.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $p > 2$ be a prime, $b \geq 1$, $n = p^b$, and assume that either $SL_n(q) \triangleleft G \leq GL_n(q)$, or $SU_n(q^{1/2}) \triangleleft G \leq GU_n(q^{1/2})$. Let $g \in G$ be an irreducible p -element.*

(i) *Then $o(g) = p^b$.*

(ii) *Let $\ell \neq p$, H a finite group with a central p' -subgroup C such that $H/C \simeq G$ and let h be a preimage of g in H . Let Φ be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}H$ -representation of degree > 1 . Assume in addition that $(n, q) \neq (3, 4)$ in the SU -case. Then $\deg(\Phi(h)) = p^b = o(h)$. In fact, $\text{Spec}(\Phi(h))$ consists of all p^b -roots of the unique eigenvalue of $\Phi(h^{p^b})$.*

Proof. (i) Clearly, $(p, q) = 1$ and so $p \mid (q^{p-1} - 1)$. By Lemma 5.1(iv) and Proposition 5.2(i), $p \mid (q - 1)$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ denote the natural G -module, and let λ be any eigenvalue

of g on V . Then $|\lambda|$ divides $(q^n - 1)_p = p^{b+c}$ if $p^c := (q - 1)_p$. In fact $|\lambda| = p^{b+c}$ as otherwise $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/p}}$, contradicting Lemma 5.1(ii). Thus $\alpha := \lambda^n \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In the SU -case we even have $\alpha^{q^{1/2}+1} = 1$ as $p|(q^{1/2} + 1)$ by Proposition 5.2(ii). Moreover, by Lemma 5.1(i) and Proposition 5.2(i), up to $\tilde{G}$ -conjugacy, g is uniquely determined by the minimal polynomial $t^n - \alpha$ of λ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where we set $\tilde{G} = GL_n(q)$ in the SL -case and $\tilde{G} = GU_n(q^{1/2})$ in the SU -case. It is easy to see that $o(g) = p^b$.

Now we choose a basis $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V , which is orthonormal in the SU -case. Define a map $x \in GL(V)$ via $x(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $x(v_n) = \alpha v_1$. Then x belongs to $\tilde{G}$ and is annihilated by $t^n - \alpha$, whence g and x are $\tilde{G}$ -conjugate. But $G \triangleleft \tilde{G}$, so $x \in G$. Since $\det(x) = \alpha$, we conclude that G contains all matrices that lie in $\tilde{G}$ and have determinant a power of α . Observe that $Z(\tilde{G})G = \tilde{G}$. Indeed, $G \geq [\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ and the p' -part of $\tilde{G}/[\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ is covered by $Z(\tilde{G})$. On the other hand, $\det(g) = \alpha$ generates the p -part of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, whence the p -part of $\tilde{G}/[\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ is covered by x . It follows x and g are G -conjugate, and we will identify g with x .

Next we consider the subgroup

$$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^c}^n = \left\{ \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, x_i^{p^c} = 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $A \leq G$ and A is normalized by g . Moreover, $g^{p^b} \in C_G(A)$ but $g^{p^{b-1}} \notin C_G(A(\text{mod } Z(G)))$.

(ii) Our assumptions imply that G and H are not solvable, and $\text{Ker}(\Phi) \leq Z(H)$. Since C is a p' -subgroup, without loss we may assume that g and A are contained in H , $h = g$, and $g \in N_H(A)$, $g^{p^b} \in C_H(A)$ but $g^{p^{b-1}} \notin C_H(A(\text{mod } Z(H)))$. Clearly, g permutes the A -eigenspaces on the representation space of Φ , and any cycle of this permutation has length dividing p^b . If no cycle has length p^b then $g^{p^{b-1}}$ centralizes A modulo $\text{Ker}(\Phi)$, a contradiction. Hence some cycle has length p^b , and so $\deg(\Phi(g)) = p^b$ and $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ consists of all p^b -roots of the unique eigenvalue of $\Phi(g^{p^b})$. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $SL_p^\epsilon(q) \leq G \leq GL_p^\epsilon(q)$ and let $g \in G$ be an irreducible p -element with $p > 2$. Let $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\dim(\Theta) > 1$ and $(\ell, q) = 1$. Then $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) = p$, except when $(p, q, \epsilon) = (3, 2, -)$.*

Proof. 1) By virtue of Lemma 5.3(ii), we may assume that $\ell = p$. Since $g \notin SL_p^\epsilon(q)$, by Lemma 2.1 without loss we may assume that $G = GL_p^\epsilon(q)$. Furthermore, the proof of Lemma 5.3 shows that g can be embedded in the subgroup $X := \mathbb{Z}_{q-\epsilon} \wr \mathbb{S}_p$ (consisting of all the monomial matrices in the basis $(e_1, \dots, e_p)$ specified in that proof). In fact we may and will identify g with the element x constructed in that proof. First we consider the case where $q - \epsilon$ is not a p -power. Then we can find a prime $r \neq p$ that divides $q - \epsilon$, and consider the diagonal subgroup $R := \mathbb{Z}_r^p$ inside X (that is, any element in R multiplies e_i by an r -root of unity). Observe that g normalizes R but $gZ(G)$ does not centralize R in $G/Z(G)$. A standard consideration of $\Theta(R)$ -eigenspaces shows that $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) = p$.

2) Now we may assume that $q - \epsilon = p^c$ for some integer c . Here we consider the case $p = 3$ and $(q, \epsilon) \neq (2, -)$. Setting $K := \text{Ker}(\Theta) \geq O_3(Z(G))$, we get $G/K = Z \times PGL_3^\epsilon(q)$ with Z a central $3'$ -subgroup. Applying [Ch] to G/K , we get $d_\Theta(g) > 2$ and so $d_\Theta(g) = o(g)$.

3) From now on we may assume that $p \geq 5$. By way of contradiction, assume that $\deg(\Theta(g)) < p$, and let Φ be any irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_X$ of degree > 1 . Then $\Phi|_{O_p(X)}$ is trivial and so Φ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbb{S}_p$; moreover, $\Phi(g)$ is just the image of some p -cycle in $\mathbb{S}_p$ under Φ . Since $\deg(\Phi(g)) < p$, the main result of [KZ] implies that $\dim(\Phi) = \deg(\Phi(g)) = p - 2$ and $\Phi|_{\mathbb{A}_p}$ is the heart of the natural permutation module.

4) Assuming furthermore that $(p, q, \epsilon) \neq (5, 4, -)$, we see that $p \geq 7$. Now we choose A to be a standard subgroup $\mathbb{A}_{p-2}$ of $\mathbb{S}_p$ (that permutes the basis vectors $e_2, \dots, e_{p-1}$ and fixes e_1 and e_p). Then $\Phi|_A$ is the sum of the trivial module and the natural permutation module for A . We have shown that any irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_A$ is either trivial, or the heart of the natural permutation module for A (and has dimension $p - 3$). Let ρ denote the Brauer character of the latter.

Consider the parabolic subgroup P of G , which fixes $\langle e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and $\langle e_1, \dots, e_{p-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ in the case $\epsilon = +$, and fixes a singular 1-space inside $\langle e_1, e_p \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$ if $\epsilon = -$. Then A embeds in the subgroup $K := SL_{p-2}^\epsilon(q)$ in the Levi subgroup of P . One can show (see e.g. [TZ2]) that there is a constituent Λ of $\Theta|_P$ such that the Brauer character λ of $\Lambda|_K$ is the product of the so-called *reducible Weil character* of K and another Brauer character ω of K . That is, $\lambda(h) = \epsilon(\epsilon q)^{e(h-1)} \omega(h)$, for any p' -element $h \in K$ and with $e(y)$ being the dimension of the kernel of the transformation y acting on the natural module of K .

On the other hand, we have shown above that there are integers $a, b \geq 0$ such that $\lambda(h) = a + b\rho(h)$. Equating the two formulae for $\lambda(h)$, where h is the identity and a 3-cycle h_1 (recall that $A = \mathbb{A}_{p-2}$ with $p \geq 7$), we get

$$a + b(p - 3) = q^{p-2}\omega(1), \quad a + b(p - 6) = q^{p-4}\omega(h_1).$$

Since $p \geq 7$, we get $q^2 \geq (p - 1)^2 \geq 5p + 1$. It follows that

$$a + b(p - 3) = q^{p-2}\omega(1) \geq q^2 \cdot |q^{p-4}\omega(h_1)| \geq q^2(a + b(p - 6)) \geq (5p + 1)(a + b),$$

whence $a = b = 0$ and $\omega(1) = 0$, a contradiction.

5) From now on we will assume that $G = GU_5(4)$ and that $\deg(\Theta(g)) < 5$. Let ϑ denote the Brauer character of Θ . Recall we have embedded g in the subgroup $X := \mathbb{Z}_5 \wr \mathbb{S}_5$ consisting of all the monomial matrices in an orthonormal basis $(e_1, \dots, e_5)$ of the natural module $V = \mathbb{F}_{16}^5$ of G . Choosing B to be the standard subgroup $\mathbb{A}_5$ in X and arguing as in 3), we see that every irreducible constituent of $\vartheta|_B$ is either trivial, or equal to β , the unique irreducible 5-Brauer character of degree 3 of B .

6) Now we will construct a chain of embeddings $B < C < S < G$, with $C = Sp_4(4)$ and $S = SU_4(4)$. First we consider the permutation $\mathbb{F}_2 B$ -module $M_1 :=$

$\langle v_1, \dots, v_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ (with B permuting the v_i 's naturally), and let $M := \{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i v_i \mid x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i = 0\}$. Then M_1 supports a B -invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$: $(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$, whose restriction to M is nondegenerate. Choosing $W := M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{F}_4$ and extending $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to W , we get an embedding $B < C = Sp(W)$. Claim that, under this embedding, the involution $t := (12)(34) \in B$ belongs to the conjugacy class $2C$ of C in the notation of [Atlas]. Indeed, fix the basis

$$f_1 := v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4, \quad f_2 := v_1 + v_2, \quad f_3 := v_1 + v_3, \quad f_4 := v_4 + v_5$$

of M . Then t is represented in this basis by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is an

element of class A_{32} in the notation of [Eno]. Using the character table of C as given in [Eno], we see that $\chi(t) = 2$ if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(C)$ has degree 18. Inspecting the character table of C as given in [Atlas], we conclude that t belongs to class $2C$ as stated. Also, by checking the trace of a 3-cycle y in B while acting on M and W , we may assume that y belongs to class $3A$, in the notation of [Atlas].

Next we define the nondegenerate Hermitian form $\circ$ on $U := W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_4} \mathbb{F}_{16}$ by $(\alpha u) \circ (\beta v) = \alpha^4 \beta(u, v)$ for $u, v \in W$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$. This yields an embedding $C < S = SU(U)$. Finally, identifying U with the orthogonal complement to $\sum_{i=1}^5 e_i$ in V , as well as f_1 with $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4$, f_2 with $e_1 + e_2$, f_3 with $e_1 + e_3$, and f_4 with $e_4 + e_5$, we get an embedding $S < G$. The identifications we made ensure that the actions of B on M and on V are compatible.

7) Let φ be any irreducible constituent of $\vartheta|_C$. According to 5), $\varphi|_B = a + b\beta$ for some $0 \leq a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\beta(1) = 3$, $\beta(t) = -1$, $\beta(y) = 0$, we arrive at the equality $\varphi(1) + 3\varphi(2C) - 4\varphi(3A) = 0$, where we have deliberately used the notations of some classes in C to denote representatives of the classes. Inspecting the 5-Brauer characters of C [JLPW], we can verify that this equality forces $\varphi = 1_C$. It follows that $C \leq \text{Ker}(\Theta)$ and so $\Theta(1) = 1$. $\square$

Observe that the condition $G \not\leq GU_3(2)$ in Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 cannot be removed; cf. Proposition 6.1 below.

For the next section we will need the following assertion about Weil modules:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $G := GU_{p^b}(q)$ with $q + 1 = p^c$ for some odd prime p , $g \in G$ an irreducible p -element, and let V be an (irreducible) Weil module of G in characteristic ℓ coprime to q . Assume $(p^b, q) \neq (3, 2)$. Then $d_V(g) = o(g)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3(i), $o(g) = p^b$. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 we may assume that $\ell = p$ and $b > 1$. Let ζ denote the Brauer character of V . Since $q + 1 = p^c$, we see that ζ is just the restriction of the complex Weil character $\zeta_{p^b, q}^0$ as defined in [TZ2] to p' -elements (cf. [DT]). In particular, for any p' -element $x \in G$ we

have

$$\zeta(x) = -\frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{l=0}^q (-q)^{e(x-\delta^l)},$$

where δ is a fixed primitive $(q+1)^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $e(y)$ is the dimension of the kernel of the transformation y acting on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{p^b}$.

Setting $Q := q^{p^{b-1}}$, by Proposition 5.2(ii) we may embed g in a natural subgroup $H := GU_p(Q)$ of G . First we want to find all degree 1 composition factors of $\zeta|_H$. Recall (cf. [TZ2]) that the reducible complex Weil character of G , resp. of H , is given by $\omega(x) = -(-q)^{e(x-1)}$, resp. by $\omega'(x) = -(-Q)^{E(x-1)}$, where $E(y)$ is the dimension of the kernel of the transformation y acting on the $\mathbb{F}_{Q^2}$ -space $\mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^p$. Observe that $\omega' = \omega|_H$. Next, let t be a generator of $Z(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{Q+1}$ and $z := t^{(Q+1)/(q+1)}$. Also fix a primitive $(Q+1)^{\text{th}}$ -root τ of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\xi := \tau^{(Q+1)/(q+1)}$. By its definition (cf. [TZ2]), for $0 \leq i \leq q$, the Weil character $\zeta_{p^b,q}^i$ of G is afforded by the ξ^i -eigenspace of z in the representation space of ω . Similarly, for $0 \leq j \leq Q$, the Weil character $\zeta_{p,Q}^j$ of G is afforded by the τ^j -eigenspace of t in the representation space of ω' . It follows that

$$\zeta_{p^b,q}^0|_H = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq Q, (q+1)|j} \zeta_{p,Q}^j.$$

Notice that $Q+1 = p^{b+c-1}l$ with $(l, p) = 1$. By [DT, HM], $\zeta_{p,Q}^j(\text{mod } p)$ is irreducible if $l \nmid j$ or if $j = 0$, whereas if $l|j$ and $j \neq 0$ then $\zeta_{p,Q}^j(\text{mod } p)$ is the sum of 1_H and an irreducible Brauer character of degree > 1 . Thus the number of degree 1 composition factors of $\zeta|_H$ is exactly $(Q+1)/l(q+1) - 1 = p^{b-1} - 1$.

4) By Proposition 5.2(ii) we can apply the main result of [DZ1] to g^p and obtain $d_V(g^p) = o(g^p) = p^{b-1} =: m$. Consider the filtration $V = V_m \supset V_{m-1} \supset \dots \supset V_1 \supset 0$, where $V_k := \text{Ker}((g^p - 1)^k)$. Also by Proposition 5.2(ii), $H \leq C_G(g^p)$. If the H -module V_m/V_{m-1} has a composition factor of degree 1, then so does every quotient V_k/V_{k-1} by Lemma 2.13(i), and so the number of degree 1 composition factors of $\zeta|_H$ is at least m , contradicting the result of 3). Thus all composition factors of the H -module V_m/V_{m-1} are of degree > 1 . Notice that $(p, Q) \neq (3, 2), (5, 4)$ as $b \geq 2$. Now g is an irreducible p -element in H of order p modulo $Z(H)$, so $d_{V_m/V_{m-1}}(g) \geq p$ by Theorem 5.4. It follows by Lemma 2.13(ii) that $d_V(g) \geq (m-1)p + p = p^b = o(g)$. $\square$

Next we prove the following analogue of Lemma 5.3 for $p = 2$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $n = 2^b \geq 2$, $SL_n(q) \triangleleft G \leq GL_n(q)$, $(n, q) \neq (2, 3)$, and $\ell \neq 2$. Assume that Φ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -representation of degree > 1 and $g \in G$ is an irreducible 2-element with $o(g) = 2^a$. Then $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$. In fact, $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 2^a$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. (i) Here we consider the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ denote the natural G -module, and let λ be any eigenvalue of g on V . Then $|\lambda|$ divides $(q^n - 1)_p = 2^{b+c}$, where $2^c := (q-1)_2 \geq 4$. In fact $|\lambda| = 2^{b+c}$ as otherwise $\mathbb{F}_q(\lambda) \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/2}}$, contradicting Lemma 5.1(ii). Thus $\alpha := \lambda^n \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1(i), up to $\tilde{G}$ -conjugacy g is uniquely determined by the minimal polynomial $t^n - \alpha$ of λ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where we set $\tilde{G} = GL_n(q)$. It is easy to see that $o(g) = 2^b$ in this case.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.3(i), we can find a basis $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V , such that $g(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $g(v_n) = \alpha v_1$. Since $\det(g) = -\alpha$, we conclude that G contains all matrices that lie in $\tilde{G}$ and have determinant a power of $-\alpha$. Now we can follow the proof of Lemma 5.3 to obtain that $\deg(\Phi(h)) = 2^a$.

(ii) Now we will assume that $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Since $(n, q) \neq (2, 3)$, we may apply Corollary 2.11(i) and get $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 2$. In particular we are done if $a = 1$. We will therefore assume that $a \geq 2$ and so $g^2 \notin Z(G)$. By Lemma 5.1(iv), g^2 belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . Applying the main theorem of [DZ1], we see that $\deg(\Phi(g^2)) = o(g^2) = 2^{a-1}$. Let μ be the unique eigenvalue of $\Phi(g^2)$. Then $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g^2))$ consists of all 2^{a-1} -roots of μ in $\mathbb{F}$. It suffices to show that $\deg(\Phi(g)) > \deg(\Phi(g^2))$.

Assume $b = 1$. If $Z(\tilde{G})G = \tilde{G}$, then the statement follows from Lemma 3.4. Otherwise $SL_2(q) \leq G \leq Z(\tilde{G}) \cdot SL_2(q)$ and $g^{q+1} = 1$, and we can apply Lemma 3.3.

From now on we may assume that $b \geq 2$. Setting $2^c := (q+1)_2 \geq 4$ and arguing as in (i), we see that $|\lambda| = 2^{b+c}$, where λ is any eigenvalue of g on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^n$. First we notice that $Z(\tilde{G})G = \tilde{G}$. (Indeed, $G \geq [\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ and the 2'-part of $\tilde{G}/[\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ is covered by $Z(\tilde{G})$. On the other hand, $\det(g) = \lambda^{(q^n-1)/(q-1)}$ generates the 2-part of $\mathbb{F}_q^\bullet$, whence the 2-part of $\tilde{G}/[\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}]$ is covered by g .) In particular, g and g^q are G -conjugate by Lemma 5.2(ii). Observe that $o(g) = 2^{b+c-1}$ and $|g| = 2^{b+c}$. First suppose that $\mu \neq 1$, i.e. $\mu = -1$. Then any $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^2))$ is a 2^{b+c-2} -root of -1 . Obviously, $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ contains a square root γ of β , and such a γ is a primitive 2^{b+c} -root of unity. As g and g^q are conjugate, $\gamma^Q \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ for $Q := q^{2^{b-1}}$. Since $(Q-1)_2 = 2^{b+c-1}$, $\gamma^Q = -\gamma$. It follows that $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ contains both square roots of each $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^2))$, whence $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 2^a$. Finally, we consider the case $\mu = 1$. In this case we show that for at least one value $\beta_0 \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^2))$, $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ contains both square roots of β_0 . Since $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g^2))$ consists of all 2^{b+c-2} -roots of $\mu = 1$ and $b, c \geq 2$, we may choose $\beta_0 = -1$. Now $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ contains a square root γ of β_0 , as well as γ^q . Since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\gamma^q = -\gamma$, and so we are done. $\square$

Proposition 5.7. *Let $G := Sp_{2n}(q)$ with $n > 1$ and let $g \in G$ be an irreducible p -element. Assume that $1 < \deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$ for some $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$. Then $p > 2$, $o(g) = |g| = (q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$, and one of the following holds:*

(i) q odd, n is a 2-power, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$, and the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic.

- (ii) $(n, q, |g|) = (3, 2, 9)$. Furthermore, either $\ell \neq 2$ and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g)) = 7$, or $\ell = 3$, $\dim(\Theta) = 21$ and $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$.
- (iii) $(n, q, |g|) = (2, 2, 5)$. Furthermore, $\ell = 3$, and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g)) = 4$.

Proof. 1) By Proposition 5.2(iii), g can be embedded in a subgroup $X \simeq SL_2(q^n)$ of G , $p > 2$, and $g^{q^n+1} = 1$. As $Z(G) = Z(X)$, the values of $o(g)$ for G and for X coincide; furthermore, $o(g) = |g|$. Let Φ be a non-trivial irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_X$. Then $\deg(\Phi(g)) < o(g)$. By Lemma 3.3, $|g| = (q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$ and either $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$, or q is even and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 2$. We claim that p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^{2n} - 1$ and so the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic, unless $(n, q, p) = (3, 2, 3)$. Assume the contrary. Then $p|n$ and $p|(q^{2n/p} - 1)$ by Proposition 5.2(iii). Since $p > 2$ also divides $q^n + 1$, we see that $p|(q^{n/p} + 1)$. This in turn implies $(q^n + 1)/(q^{n/p} + 1) \equiv p \pmod{2p^2}$ as $p > 2$. Since $(q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$ is a p -power, we conclude that $(q^n + 1)/(q^{n/p} + 1) = p$. Thus $q^n + 1 \leq (q^{n/p} + 1)^2$, which is possible only when $(n, q, p) = (3, 2, 3)$.

2) Here we show that n is a 2-power if $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$. Indeed, the condition $q^n \neq 8$ implies by [Zs] that there is a primitive prime divisor r of $q^{2n} - 1$. Since $p^a = |g| = (q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$, we have $r = p$. Thus p is the unique primitive prime divisor of $q^{2n} - 1$. Now assume n is divisible by an odd prime s . Then $(q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$ is divisible by $q_1 := (q^{n/s} + 1)/(2, q + 1)$ with $q_1 > 1$ and $(q_1, p) = 1$ (as $(p, q^{2n/s} - 1) = 1$), a contradiction.

So we are done if q is odd. We may now assume that q is even, and let θ be the Brauer character of Θ .

3) Assume $(n, q, p) \neq (3, 2, 3)$. Then $|g| = p$ by Lemma 2.2, and by [Z3] we are also done if $\ell = p$. Assume $\ell \neq p$. Suppose there is an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_X$ of degree $q^n - 1$. Then by Lemma 3.3, there is a primitive $|g|$ -root of unity $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g)) = \{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^n}\} \setminus \{\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}\}$. Then $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g^q)) = \{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^n}\} \setminus \{\epsilon^q, \epsilon^{-q}\}$. However, g and g^q are G -conjugate by Proposition 5.2(i). Hence $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains all ϵ^j and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = |g|$, a contradiction. We have shown that any irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_X$ is of degree q^n , and $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g)) = \{\epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^n}\}$. In particular, $q^n|\theta(1)$ and $\theta(g) = -\theta(1)/q^n$.

The case $(n, q) = (2, 2)$ leads to the conclusion (iii) by inspecting [JLPW]. So we will assume $q^n > 4$. Claim that this assumption leads to a contradiction. Recall that $n > 1$ has been shown to be a 2-power. By embedding $SL_2(q^n)$ in a subgroup $S \simeq Sp_4(Q)$ of G with $Q := q^{n/2} > 2$, it suffices to prove the claim for $Sp_4(Q)$. Assuming $\deg(\Theta(g)) < |g|$, we have shown that there is $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(S)$ with

$$(1) \quad Q^2|\varphi(1)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \varphi(g) = -\varphi(1)/Q^2.$$

Suppose φ lifts to characteristic 0. Then (1) implies by [Eno] that φ is the (reduction modulo ℓ) of the Steinberg character of S , but in this case $\varphi(1) = Q^4$ and $\varphi(g) = 1$ violating (2). Thus φ does not lift to characteristic 0. This conclusion implies by [Wh] that $\ell|(Q+1)$ and φ is either of degree $Q(Q^2+1)/2-1$, or $(Q-1)^2(Q^2+1) - (\alpha-2)Q(Q-1)^2/2$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq Q/2$. In fact, $\alpha = 1$ or 2 by [OW1]. Since $Q > 2$, none of these two degrees is divisible by Q^2 , contradicting (1).

4) Assume $(n, q, p) = (3, 2, 3)$. Then $|g| = 9$, and g is rational. First assume $\ell \neq 3$ and let η be a primitive 9-root of unity. Then the multiplicity of 1, resp. η^3 , as an eigenvalue of $\Theta(g)$ is equal to $a := (\theta(1) + 2\theta(g^3) + 6\theta(g))/9$, resp. $b := (\theta(1) + 2\theta(g^3) - 3\theta(g))/9$. Inspecting the Brauer characters of G [Atlas, JLPW], we see that $a, b > 0$, except for the case $\dim(\Theta) = 7$, for which $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = 7$.

Finally, we assume that $(n, q, p) = (3, 2, 3)$ and $\ell = 3$. Since G has a unique class of elements of order 9, we can embed g in a subgroup $SL_2(8)$ of G and obtain $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$ by Lemma 3.3. To find $\dim(\Theta)$, next we embed g in a subgroup $Y \simeq SU_4(2)$ and restrict Θ to Y . Direct computation done by F. Lübeck shows that the condition $\deg(\Theta(g)) \leq 8$ implies $\theta|_Y = a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_5 + c\varphi_{10}$ for some integers $a, b, c \geq 0$ and $\varphi_i \in \text{IBr}_3(Y)$ of degree $i = 1, 5, 10$. Let h be an element of class 4A in Y (in the notation of [Atlas]). Restricting the unique complex irreducible character χ of degree 7 of G to Y we see that h also belongs to the class 4A in G . Now $\theta(h) = a + b + 2c \geq \theta(1)/5$. Inspecting the 3-Brauer characters of G [JLPW], we now see that $\theta(1) = 7, 21$, or 35 . Assume that $\theta(1) = 35$. Then $a = \theta(z) = 0$, whence $b + 2c = \theta(h) = 7$. This in turn implies $\theta(h^2) = -3b + 2c = -21, -13$, or -5 , contradicting [JLPW]. Thus $\theta(1) = 7$ or 21 as stated. $\square$

Remark 5.8. The example of the 7-dimensional representation Θ in Proposition 5.7(ii) shows that there is no analogue of Lemma 5.3(ii) for irreducible p -elements in $Sp_{2p}(q)$.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $SL_{2n}(q) \triangleleft G \leq GL_{2n}(q)$ with $n > 1$ and let $g \in G$ be an irreducible p -element with $p > 2$. Assume that $1 < \deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$ for some $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$. Then $o(g) = |g| = (q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$, and one of the following holds:*

- (i) n is a 2-power, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$, and the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic.
- (ii) $(n, q, |g|) = (3, 2, 9)$ and $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$.

Proof. Consider any eigenvalue λ of g on $\mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$. By Lemma 5.1(ii), $|g| = |\lambda|$ divides $q^{2n} - 1$ but not $q^n - 1$. If $p|(q^n - 1)$ then, since $p > 2$, p does not divide $q^n + 1$ and so $p^a = |g|$ divides $q^n - 1$, a contradiction. Thus $(p, q^n - 1) = 1$ and so $|\lambda|$ divides $q^n + 1$. It is easy to see that in this case $p(t)$ is a multiple of $t^{2n}p(t^{-1})$, where $p(t)$ denotes the minimal polynomial of the matrix g . By [Wa], it follows that g can be embedded in a subgroup $S \simeq Sp_{2n}(q)$ of G . Notice that the values of $o(g)$ are the same for G and for S . Consider any nontrivial irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_S$. Applying Proposition 5.7 to Φ and excluding the cases $(n, q, |g|) = (3, 2, 9)$ or

$(2, 2, 5)$, we obtain all the conclusions of Corollary 5.9(i), except possibly the last one. Next, if $(n, q, p, |g|) \neq (3, 2, 3, 9)$ then p. 1) of the proof of Proposition 5.7 shows that p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^{2n} - 1$, whence the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic. It remains to consider the two exceptions. Assume $(n, q, |g|) = (2, 2, 5)$. Then $\ell = 3$ by Proposition 5.7(iii), and we easily obtain a contradiction by inspecting [JLPW]. Consider the other exception $(n, q, |g|) = (3, 2, 9)$. Note that any element of order 9 in G is irreducible. Next, G contains a subgroup $X \simeq Sp_6(2)$, and G has a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 9. So we may assume $g \in X$. Applying Proposition 5.7 we obtain $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$. $\square$

Lemma 5.10. *Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{4n}$ be an orthogonal space of type $-$ with $n > 1$, $Spin(V) \leq G \leq \Gamma(V)$, and let $g \in G$ be an irreducible p -element. Assume that $1 < \deg(\Theta(g)) < o(g)$ for some $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$. Then $p > 2$, $o(g) = |g| = (q^{2n} + 1)/(2, q + 1)$, n is a 2-power, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$, and the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2(iv), g can be embedded in a subgroup $X \simeq SL_2(q^{2n})$ of G , $p > 2$, and $g^{q^{2n}+1} = 1$. As $Z(X)$ and $Z(G)$ are 2-groups, $o(g) = |g|$ for both X and G . Let Φ be a non-trivial irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_X$. Then $\deg(\Phi(g)) < o(g)$. By Lemma 3.3, $|g| = (q^{2n} + 1)/(2, q + 1)$ and either $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$, or q is even and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 2$. Considering a primitive prime divisor of $q^{4n} - 1$, we see that in fact p is the unique primitive prime divisor of $q^{4n} - 1$ and so the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic.

Arguing as in p.2) of the proof of Proposition 5.7, we see that n is a 2-power. So we are done if q is odd. We may now assume that q is even. Then $|g| = p$ by Lemma 2.2, and by [Z3] we are also done if $\ell = p$. Assume $\ell \neq p$. Suppose there is an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_X$ of degree $q^{2n} - 1$. Then by Lemma 3.3, there is a primitive $|g|$ -root of unity $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g)) = \{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^{2n}}\} \setminus \{\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}\}$. Set $\tilde{G} := GO_{4n}^-(q)$. Recall that by Proposition 5.2(i) g is $\tilde{G}$ -conjugate to the $4n$ powers g^{q^i} , $0 \leq i \leq 4n - 1$. Since $(\tilde{G} : G) \leq 2$, we conclude that g and g^{q^2} are G -conjugate. Clearly, $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g^{q^2})) = \{1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^{2n}}\} \setminus \{\epsilon^{q^2}, \epsilon^{-q^2}\}$. Thus $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains all ϵ^j and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = |g|$, a contradiction. We have shown that any irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_X$ is of degree q^{2n} , and $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g)) = \{\epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{q^{2n}}\}$. $\square$

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, assume that the element g is irreducible. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $p^a \geq \deg(\Theta(g)) > p^{a-1}(p - 1)$.
- (ii) $p > 2$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. Furthermore, either $a = 1$, or $\ell \neq p$. If $a \geq 2$ then $S = PSL_{2m+1}^\epsilon(q)$ or $P\Omega_{4m+2}^-(q)$.
- (iii) $o(g) = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, $S = PSL_n(q)$, $n > 2$ a prime, Θ is a Weil representation of degree $o(g) - 1$ or $o(g) - 2$, and $\dim(\Theta) = \deg(\Theta(g))$. Furthermore, either $n > 2$ and $\ell = o(g) = p$, or $n = 2$ and q is even.

Proof. 1) Without loss we may assume that Θ is an $\mathbb{F}G$ -representation, with $\mathbb{F}$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic ℓ . By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that g is a p -element. Denote $Z := Z(G)$ and $L = G^{(\infty)}$. For any $x \in G$, $\bar{x}$ denotes the coset xZ . Let $o(g) = p^a$, $g^{p^a} = z \in Z$, and let $\Theta(z) = \mu \cdot \text{Id}$.

First we handle the case $p = 2$. By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1, in this case $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$ and $n = 2^b$. If $\ell \neq 2$ then we are done by Lemma 5.6(ii). Notice (see [Atlas]) that $\text{Inndiag}(S)/S$ is either elementary abelian of order 4 or cyclic for any finite simple group of Lie type; moreover the first case can happen only when $S = P\Omega_{4n}^+(q)$ with q odd and $n \geq 2$. Thus the assumptions of Corollary 2.11 are satisfied, and so $d_\Theta(h) > 1$ for any $h \in G \setminus Z$, and $d_\Theta(g) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$ if $\ell = 2$. (In fact, this argument works for any 2-elements and $\ell = 2$.) From now on we may assume $p > 2$.

Recall we are assuming g is irreducible (on the natural module V for the classical group corresponding to S). Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p \mid (q^k - 1)$. By Proposition 5.2, S is not of types $PSU_{2m}(q)$, $P\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, or $P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$.

2) Assume $S = PSp_{2n}(q)$. Then $S \leq G/Z \leq PCSp_{2n}(q)$. By Proposition 5.2(iii), $\bar{g} \in S$. Thus we can pick an element $h \in L$ such that $g \in hZ$. Since $o(g) = o(h)$ and $d_\Theta(g) = d_\Theta(h)$, we may assume $g = h \in L = Sp_{2n}(q)$. Hence we are done by Proposition 5.7.

3) Assume $S = PSL_n(q)$ or $PSU_n(q^{1/2})$, respectively. By Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, $n/k = p^b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First we suppose that $b = 0$, that is, p is a primitive prime divisor of $q^n - 1$. By virtue of [Z3] and Corollary 5.9, we arrive at (i), (ii), or (iii) if $\ell = p$. So we may assume $\ell \neq p$. Then $(p, q - 1) = 1$, whence $\bar{g} \in S$. As in 2), we may assume $g \in L$ and $L = SL_n(q)$ or $SU_n(q^{1/2})$. Assume in addition that $r \mid n$ for some odd prime r . By comparing the p -part in the group order, we may embed g in a subgroup $R = SL_r(Q)$ or $SU_r(Q^{1/2})$ with $Q := q^{n/r}$. Then the values of $o(g)$ are the same in L and in R . Applying Corollary 4.5, we are done. Next assume that $n > 2$ is a 2-power. Then $S = PSL_n(q)$, and we are done by Corollary 5.9. Finally, let $n = 2$; in particular, $S = PSL_2(q)$ and $g^{q+1} = 1$. In this case one can just apply Lemma 3.3.

Next we suppose that $b \geq 1$ but $n > p$. By Lemma 5.1(iv) and Proposition 5.2(ii), $g^p \notin Z(G)$ and g^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . Moreover, no eigenvalue of g^p can belong to $\mathbb{F}_q$ by Lemma 5.1(i). By the main result of [DZ1], $d_\Theta(g^p) = o(g^p) = p^{a-1}$. On the other hand, $d_\Theta(g^p) > 1$ by Corollary 2.11(i); in particular, $a > 1$. If $\ell = p$, then Lemma 2.10(ii) implies $d_\Theta(g) \geq p(d_\Theta(g^p) - 1) + 1 = p^a - p + 1 > p^{a-1}(p - 1)$. Assume $\ell \neq p$. If $k = 1$, then $a = b$ and $d_\Theta(g) = p^a$ by Lemma 5.3(ii). We will now assume that $k > 1$. In this case, by Lemma 5.1(iv) and Proposition 5.2(ii) we may view g as an irreducible element of $GL_p(q^{n/p})$, resp. $GU_p(q^{n/2p})$. Notice that L contains a subgroup L_1 isomorphic to $SL_p(q^{n/p})$, resp. $SU_p(q^{n/2p})$. Then $L_2 := \langle L_1, g \rangle$ is contained in $GL_p(q^{n/p})$, resp. $GU_p(q^{n/2p})$. By

Lemma 5.3 applied to L_2 , if Φ is any irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_{L_2}$ of degree > 1 , then $\Phi(g)$ consists of all p -roots of the unique eigenvalue of $\Phi(g^p)$. Lemma 2.3 implies $a = b + c$ if $p^c := (q^k - 1)_p$. Let Φ be any constituent of $\Theta|_{L_2}$ of degree 1. Since L_1 is perfect, Φ is trivial on L_1 . Observe that $g^{q^{n/p}-1} \in L_1$ and $(q^{n/p} - 1)_p = p^{b+c-1} = p^{a-1}$. As g is a p -element, we conclude that $g^{p^{a-1}} \in L_1$; in particular, if β is the unique eigenvalue of $\Phi(g^p)$ then $\beta^{p^{a-2}} = 1$. We have shown that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains all p -roots of $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Theta(g^p))$, except possibly for the β 's with $\beta^{p^{a-2}} = 1$, for which we can say only that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains at least one p -root of β . Consequently, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p(p^{a-1} - p^{a-2}) + p^{a-2} = p^{a-2}(p^2 - p + 1)$.

Finally, assume $n = p$. If $\ell \neq p$ then $o(g) = p = d_\Theta(g)$ by Lemma 5.3. The case $p = \ell$ is handled by Theorem 5.4.

4) Here we handle the case $S = P\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$ with $n > 3$. Then $S \leq G/Z \leq PGO_{2n}^-(q)$. Notice that $o(g) = |g|$ here, so $\mu = 1$. Recall that $p > 2$, but the index of S in $PGO_{2n}^-(q)$ divides 4. Hence $\bar{g} \in S$ and as in 2) we may assume $g \in L = \text{Spin}_{2n}^-(q)$. If $2|n$ then we are done by Lemma 5.10. If $b = 0$ and n is odd then we can apply Corollary 4.5.

From now on we suppose n is odd and $b \geq 1$. Proposition 5.2(iv) shows that g^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of L . Applying the main result of [DZ1] to a nontrivial irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_L$, we obtain that $\deg(\Theta(g^p)) = p^{a-1}$. Now if $\ell = p$ then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p(p^{a-1} - 1) + 1$, so we will assume that $\ell \neq p$. Also by Proposition 5.2(iv) we may embed g in a subgroup Y of L , with $SU_n(q) \leq Y \leq GU_n(q)$. First we assume that $k > 2$. Then $o(g)$ is the same for G and for Y , and $|G|_p = |Y|_p$. Restricting Θ to Y and applying the results of p. 3) above, we are done.

Notice that $k \neq 1$ as $2n/k = p^b$. It remains therefore to consider the case $k = 2$ and $n = p^b$. Let $p^c := (q + 1)_p$. Then $o(g) = p^b$ in Y by Lemma 5.3, and $a = b + c$. We have already known that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g^p))$ consists of all p^{a-1} -roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. We will prove that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p^a - p^c + p^{c-1}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 5.11.

First we suppose $b \leq c$. Consider any p^{a-1} -root β of unity in $\mathbb{F}$ and let $E(\beta) := \{\delta \in \mathbb{F} \mid \delta^p = \beta\} \cap \text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$. Clearly, $E(\beta) \neq \emptyset$. Claim that $|E(\beta)| = p$ if $\beta^{p^{c-1}} \neq 1$. For consider such a β and an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_Y$ such that $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^p))$. It is easy to see that $g^{p^c} \in X := SU_n(q)$. Hence the assumption $\beta^{p^{c-1}} \neq 1$ implies that $\Phi|_X$ is nontrivial; in particular, $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. By Lemma 5.3(ii), $|E(\beta) \cap \text{Spec}(\Phi(g))| = p$, whence the claim follows. Thus $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p(p^{a-1} - p^{c-1}) + p^{c-1} = p^a - p^c + p^{c-1}$.

Finally, we suppose $b > c$. Consider any p^c -root γ of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. Recall that $g^{p^b} \in Z(Y)$. The condition $\deg(\Theta(g^p)) = o(g^p)$ implies that $\Theta|_Y$ contains an irreducible constituent Φ_γ , such that $\Phi_\gamma(g^{p^b}) = \gamma \cdot \text{Id}$ if $\gamma \neq 1$, and $\text{Spec}(\Phi_\gamma(g^p))$ contains some β with $1 = \beta^{p^{b-1}} \neq \beta^{p^{c-1}}$ if $\gamma = 1$. Consider the former case. If $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi_\gamma(g^p))$, then $\beta^{p^{b-1}} = \gamma \neq 1$, whence $\beta^{p^{c-1}} \neq 1$ as $b > c$. Arguing as in the previous paragraph, we see that $\dim(\Phi_\gamma) > 1$. Moreover, $\Phi_\gamma(g^{p^b}) = \gamma \cdot \text{Id} \neq \text{Id}$. By Lemma 5.3(ii),

$\text{Spec}(\Phi_\gamma(g))$ consists of all p^b -roots of γ . In the latter case, the condition $\beta^{p^{c-1}} \neq 1$ again implies that $\dim(\Phi_1) > 1$. So by Lemma 5.3(ii), $\text{Spec}(\Phi_1(g))$ consists of all p^b -roots of $\gamma = 1$. Obviously, the sets $\text{Spec}(\Phi_\gamma(g))$ for distinct γ are disjoint. Consequently, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{b+c} = p^a$. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 5.11 yields the following consequence:

Corollary 5.12. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, suppose that $p > 2$ divides the dimension of the natural module V for G and that g is irreducible on V . Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) = p$.
- (ii) $o(g) = p^a > p$ and $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq p^{a-2}(p^2 - p + 1)$. $\square$

6. CLASSICAL GROUPS: REDUCIBLE ELEMENTS

Proposition 6.1. *Let $S := SU_3(q) \leq G \leq H := GU_3(q)$ and $g \in G$ be a semisimple p -element with $o(g) = p^a$. Suppose $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Phi) > 1$. If $q = 2$, assume in addition that either $g \notin O_3(S)$ or $\text{Ker}(\Phi)$ does not contain $O_3(S)$. Then either $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g)$, or one of the following holds.*

- (i) $q+1 = p^a$, $\Phi|_S$ is a Weil representation, g is a pseudoreflection, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$.
- (ii) $p^a = q^2 - q + 1$, $(3, q+1) = 1$, $\Phi|_S$ is the Weil representation of degree $q^2 - q$, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$.
- (iii) $\ell = p > 2$, $o(g) = q+1$, $\Phi|_S$ is the Weil representation of degree $q^2 - q$, and g is represented by $\text{diag}(\alpha^i, \alpha^{i+1}, \alpha^{i+k})$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\bullet$ with $|\alpha| = q+1$ and some $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha \neq \alpha^k \neq 1$. Furthermore, either $p = q+1$ is a Fermat prime and $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq o(g) - 1$, or $q = 8$ and $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 7$.
- (iv) $q = 3$, $o(g) = |g| = q+1$, g is not a pseudoreflection, $\Phi|_S$ is the Weil representation of degree $q(q-1)$, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$.
- (v) $q = 2$, $|g| = 9$, $o(g) = 3$, $\Phi|_S$ is a Weil representation, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$.
- (vi) $2 = \ell = p|(q+1)$ and g belongs to a maximal torus of order $(q+1)^3$ of $GU_3(q)$.

Proof. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^3$ denote the natural module for $H := GU_3(q)$ and φ be the Brauer character of Φ . Observe that Weil representations of S extend to H . We will assume $q > 2$ in pp. 1) – 3) of this proof.

1) Suppose g fixes a singular 1-space of V . Applying the main result of [DZ1] and [GMST, Theorem 3.2], we arrive at (i). (More precisely, let $\chi = \zeta_n^i$ be the Weil character of $GU_n(q)$ as described in [TZ2, Lemma 4.1], $0 \leq i \leq q$, and let g be a pseudoreflection of order $q+1$. Then

$$\chi|_A = \frac{q^{n-1} + (-1)^n}{q+1} \cdot \sum_{1_A \neq \lambda \in \text{Irr}(A)} \lambda - (1 - \delta_{i,0}) (-1)^n \alpha_i,$$

where $A := \langle g \rangle$ and α_i is the linear character of A that sends g to δ^i , with $\delta = \exp(2\pi i/(q+1))$. So we will assume that g fixes no nonzero singular subspaces of V .

Suppose the p -Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic; i.e. $2 \neq p|(q-1)$ or $3 \neq p|(q^2-q+1)$. Then $g \in S := SU_3(q)$. In the case $\ell = 0$ or p , applying the main result of [Z3] to S we arrive at (ii). Assume $\ell \neq 0, p$ and let Ψ be an irreducible constituent of $\Phi|_S$. If Ψ lifts to characteristic 0 then we again arrive at (ii). In the remaining case, Ψ extends to H by Example 3.1. Since H/S is cyclic, we see by [Fe, Theorem III.2.14] that $\Phi = \Theta|_G$ for some $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$, and Θ does not lift to characteristic 0. According to Example 3.1, we may assume $\varphi = \hat{\chi} - 1$, where χ is either the Steinberg character, or $\chi(1) = q(q^2 - q + 1)$. We will use the character table of S as well as the notation for conjugacy classes of S as given in [Ge]. In the case $2 \neq p|(q-1)$, any power $g^a \neq 1$ belongs to the class $C_7^{(k)}$ and so $\varphi(g^a) = -1$, whence $d_\Phi(g) = |g|$. In the case $3 \neq p|(q^2-q+1)$, any power $g^a \neq 1$ belongs to the class $C_8^{(k)}$ and so $\varphi(g^a) = -2$, resp. -1 , if $\chi = St$, resp. if $\chi(1) = q(q^2 - q + 1)$. Since $q \geq 3$, it follows that $d_\Phi(g) = |g|$.

2) From now on we may assume $p|(q+1)$. Here we consider the case g is reducible on V . Under our assumptions, this implies by Proposition 5.2 that g belongs to a torus $GU_1(q)^3$ of H . In particular, $C_H(g)G = H$, and $Z(G) \leq Z(H)$, so by Lemma 2.1 we may replace G by H . Multiplying g by a suitable central element of H , we may assume that g is represented by $\text{diag}(1, \alpha^j, \alpha^k)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\bullet$ of order $q+1$ and some $j \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$; in particular, $o(g) = |g|$. Since $o(g) = p^a$, we may assume that $|\alpha^j| = p^a$. Setting $h := \text{diag}(1, \alpha^j, 1)$ and $t := \text{diag}(1, 1, \alpha^k)$, we see that $g = ht$, h is contained in a standard subgroup $X = GU_2(q)$ of H , and $o(h) = |h| = |g|$. By Example 3.1, either φ lifts to $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$, or we may assume that $\varphi = \hat{\chi} - 1$ with $\chi = St$ or $\chi(1) = q(q^2 - q + 1)$.

Assume $\ell \neq p$ and χ is not a Weil character. By [TZ2, Remark 4.18], $\chi|_X$ contains an irreducible constituent η of degree $q+1$, whence $d_\eta(h) = o(h)$ by Lemma 3.5. Restricting χ to $X \times \langle t \rangle$, we conclude that $d_\chi(g) = d_\eta(h) = |g|$; in particular, we are done if $\varphi = \hat{\chi}$. Assume $\varphi = \widehat{St} - 1$. Then $3 \neq \ell|(q^2 - q + 1)$ and so $(\ell, q^2 - 1) = 1$. Hence $\hat{\eta}$ is irreducible over X , and so η is a constituent of $\varphi|_X$, whence we again have $d_\Phi(g) = d_\eta(h) = |g|$. One can also check that $d_\varphi(g) = |g|$ in the other case where $\chi(1) = q(q^2 - q + 1)$.

Assume $\ell = p > 2$ and χ is not a Weil character. Then again $\chi|_X$ contains an irreducible constituent η of degree $q+1$. As $p > 2$, we can write $h = zh_1$ with $z \in Z(X)$ and $h_1 \in X_1 := SU_2(q)$. Since $2 < \ell|(q+1)$, all irreducible constituents of $\eta|_{X_1}$ are of ℓ -defect 0 and they are trivial at the p -element z ; furthermore, $|h| = |h_1|$. It follows that $\hat{\eta}$ is irreducible over X and it is an irreducible constituent of $\varphi|_X$; moreover, $\hat{\eta}|_{\langle h_1 \rangle}$ is free and so $d_{\hat{\eta}}(h) = |h|$. Again restricting Φ to $X \times \langle t \rangle$, we conclude that $d_\Phi(g) = d_\eta(h) = |g|$.

Assume χ is a Weil character; in particular, $\varphi = \hat{\chi}$. Multiplying χ by a linear character of H , we may assume that $\chi = \zeta_3^i$ where $0 \leq i \leq q$. In particular, $|\chi(x)| < q + 1$ for all $1 \neq x \in A := \langle g \rangle$. First we consider the case $\ell \neq p$. If $|g| \leq (q + 1)/2$, then the multiplicity of any linear character of A in $\chi|_A$ is at least $(q^2 - q - (q^2 - 1)/2)/|A| > 0$, whence $d_\chi(g) = |g|$. So we must have $|g| = q + 1$. Assume $q \geq 7$. Then one can show that $|\chi(x)| < 4$ for at least $(q + 1)/2 \geq 4$ elements $x \in A$. Hence the multiplicity of any linear character of A in $\chi|_A$ is at least $(q^2 - q - (q + 1)(q - 4) - 4 \cdot 4)/|A| > 0$, whence $d_\chi(g) = |g|$. In the remaining cases $q = 3, 4$ one can check directly that $d_\chi(g) = |g|$, except for the additional case recorded in (iv), where $S = SU_3(3)$, $|g| = o(g) = 4$ but g is not a pseudoreflection, and $d_\chi(g) = 3$. Since $d_\Phi(g) \geq 3$ by Corollary 2.11 if $\ell = 2$, we get $d_\Phi(g) = 3$ for any $\ell \neq 3$ in this case.

Assume $\ell = p \neq 2$ and χ is a Weil character. By [T], the branching rule for restricting Weil characters to X [T] is as follows: $\zeta_3^i|_X = \sum_{0 \leq j \neq i \leq q} \zeta_2^j$. Hence $\zeta_3^i|_X$ always contains ζ_2^j for some $j \neq 0, (q + 1)/2$. For such a j , ζ_2^j is irreducible over $X_1 = SU_2(q)$. Applying Lemma 3.5 to the constituents of $\Phi|_X$ and the element h , we see that $\Phi|_X$ contains an irreducible constituent Ψ such that either $d_\Psi(h) = |g|$, or $p^a = q + 1$ and $d_\Psi(h) \geq |g| - 2$. Restricting Φ to $X \times \langle t \rangle$, we conclude that either $d_\Phi(g) = |g|$, or $p^a = q + 1$ and $d_\Phi(g) \geq |g| - 2$. Consider the second possibility. Since $q + 1 = \ell^a$, $\hat{\zeta}_3^i = \hat{\zeta}_3^0 + 1$ if $i \neq 0$, cf. [DT, Theorem 7.2] and its proof. It follows that $\varphi = \hat{\zeta}_3^0$ has degree $q^2 - q$. Since $q + 1 = p^a$ and $p > 2$, by Lemma 2.2 we have either $a = 1$ or $p^a = 9$. In the former case, the rationality of ζ_3^0 implies by Lemma 2.7 that $d_\Phi(g) \geq o(g) - 1$. In the latter case where $p^a = 9$ we have $d_\Phi(g^3) = o(g^3) = 3$ (as $o(g^3) < q + 1$) and so $d_\Phi(g) \geq 7$ by Lemma 2.10. Thus we arrive at (iii).

The open case $\ell = p = 2$ is recorded in (vi).

3) Suppose that g is irreducible and $p|(q + 1)$. By Proposition 5.2(ii), $p = 3 = o(g)$. In this case, $d_\Phi(g) = 3$ by Theorem 5.4.

4) Finally, we consider the case $q = 2$, so $S = 3_+^{1+2} : Q_8$ and $H = 3_+^{1+2} : SL_2(3)$. Clearly, $|g| = 3$ or 9 , and $o(g) = 3$. If $|g| = 9$ then g is irreducible by Proposition 5.2(ii); moreover, $g \notin S$. Claim that if $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ has order 3 then either $x \in Q := O_3(S) = 3_+^{1+2}$ or x is a pseudoreflection. Indeed, assume $|x| = 3$ but $x \notin S$. Notice that $GU_1(2)^3 : \mathbb{Z}_3$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of H . If $x \in GU_1(2)^3 \setminus S$ then x is a pseudoreflection in H . Otherwise x permutes 3 orthonormal vectors e_1, e_2, e_3 in V cyclically, in which case x fixes the nonsingular vector $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ and x belongs to another subgroup $GU_1(2)^3$ of H and so we are done again.

Since H is solvable, φ lifts to $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. It suffices to consider two cases: $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 3$. Suppose $\ell = 3$. Then Φ is an irreducible representation of $SL_2(3)$. By our assumption, $g \notin S$. Hence, $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 2$ if Φ has degree 2 (in which case $\Phi|_S$ is a Weil representation and we arrive at (i) and (v)), and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 3$ if $\dim(\Phi) = 3$.

From now on we may assume $\ell = 0$. Observe that H has an irreducible character ω of degree 3 which is faithful on $O_3(S)$. We can list all irreducible characters of H as follows: 3 of degree 1 (we denote them by $1a$, $1b$, and $1c$), 3 of degree 2 (denoted by $2a$, $2b$, and $2c$), 7 of degree 3 ($\omega \otimes 1abc$ and their complex conjugates, and one more denoted by $3d$ which is trivial on $O_3(S)$), 6 of degree 6 ($\omega \otimes 2abc$ and their complex conjugates), 3 of degree 8 (denoted by $8a$, $8b$, and $8c$), and 2 of degree 9 ($\omega \otimes 3d$ and its complex conjugate). Among them, the seven characters $1abc$, $2abc$, and $3d$ are trivial on $O_3(S)$. The ones of degree 2 and the ones of degree 3 except $3d$ are the 9 Weil characters of H – they lead to the conclusions (i) and (v). It is easy to check that $d_\chi(g) = o(g)$ if $\chi = 3d$. Assume $\chi = \omega \otimes \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(SL_2(3))$ with $\gamma(1) > 1$. If $g \in O_3(S)$ then $\text{Spec}(g, \omega)$ consists of all 3 cubic roots of unity, so $d_\chi(g) = 3 = o(g)$. If $g \notin O_3(S)$, then $\text{Spec}(g, \omega)$ and $\text{Spec}(g, \gamma)$ each contain at least 2 (distinct) cubic roots of unity, whence $d_\chi(g) = 3 = o(g)$. It remains to consider the case $\chi(1) = 8$. Then $\chi|_{O_3(S)}$ is the sum of all 8 nontrivial linear characters of $O_3(S)$, and so $d_\chi(g) = 3$ for $g \in O_3(S)$. On the other hand, if $g \notin O_3(S)$ then g permutes cyclically 3 nontrivial linear characters of $O_3(S)$, yielding $d_\chi(g) = 3$. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let $S := SU_n(q) \leq G \leq H := GU_n(q)$ with $n \geq 4$, and let $g \in G$ be a p -element with $o(g) = p^a$ and $g^{q+1} = 1$. Suppose $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$ and $1 < \deg(\Phi(g)) < o(g)$. Assume in addition that $(\ell, p) \neq (2, 2)$. Then $q + 1 = p^a$, g is a pseudoreflection, $\Phi|_S$ is a Weil representation, and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = o(g) - 1$.*

Proof. 1) If g belongs to a parabolic subgroup of H , then we are done by [DZ1] and [GMST, Theorem 3.2]. So we may assume that g fixes no nonzero singular subspace of the natural module $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. Since $g^{q+1} = 1$, it follows that g is contained in a torus $GU_1(q)^n$, i.e. g can be diagonalized in an orthonormal basis of V . In particular, $C_H(g)G = H$, and $Z(G) \leq Z(H)$, so by Lemma 2.1 we may replace G by H . Since g does not fix any singular 1-space of V , all diagonal entries of g are distinct; in particular, $q + 1 \geq n$ and so $q \geq 3$. Multiplying g by a central element and reordering the diagonal entries of g , we may assume that $g = \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^{j_1}, \dots, \alpha^{j_{n-2}})$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\bullet$ with $|\alpha| = p^a > 2$; in particular, $o(g) = |g|$. Setting $h := \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $t := \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \alpha^{j_2}, \dots, \alpha^{j_{n-2}})$, we see that $g = ht$, h is contained in a standard subgroup $X = GU_3(q)$ of H , and $o(h) = |h| = |g|$. Moreover, h is not a pseudoreflection in X . Recall we are assuming $\deg(\Phi(g)) < o(g)$. Restricting Φ to the subgroup $X \times \langle t \rangle$, we see that $\deg(\Psi(h)) < o(h)$ for every irreducible constituent Ψ of $\Phi|_X$. Applying Proposition 6.1 to h and any such constituent Ψ of degree > 1 , we conclude that $q + 1 = p^a$ and Ψ is a Weil representation. Moreover, either $\ell = p$ and $\deg(\Psi(h)) \geq o(g) - 1$, or $\ell = 3$, $q = 8$ and $\deg(\Psi(h)) = 7$, or $q = 3$ and $\deg(\Psi(h)) = 3$. By [GMST, Theorem 2.5], this in turn implies that Φ is a Weil representation, and either $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq o(g) - 1$, or $q = 8$ and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = 7$.

2) Consider the case $q \geq 4$. By Proposition 6.1, $\ell = p$; moreover, either $q + 1$ is a Fermat prime or $q = 8$. Write $g = h_1 h_2$, with $h_1 = \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^{j_1}, \dots, \alpha^{j_{n-4}}, 1, 1)$ and

$h_2 := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \alpha^{j_{n-3}}, \alpha^{j_{n-2}})$. Then we can embed g into a standard subgroup $Y = Y_1 \times Y_2$, with $h_1 \in Y_1 = GU_{n-2}(q)$ and $h_2 \in Y_2 = GU_2(q)$. Since Φ is a Weil representation, $\Phi|_Y$ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$, with Φ_i a Weil representation of Y_i .

Assume $q \neq 8$ and $n \geq 5$. By the results of 1) (or Proposition 6.1) applied to Y_1 and by Lemma 3.5 applied to $GU_2(q)$, $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1)) \geq o(h_1) - 1 = o(g) - 1$, and $\deg(\Phi_2(h_2)) \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.12(ii), $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1) \otimes \Phi_2(h_2)) = o(g)$, whence $d_\Phi(g) = o(g)$.

Assume $q = 8$. By the results of 1) (or Proposition 6.1) and by Lemma 3.5 applied to Y_1 and Y_2 , $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1)) \geq o(h_1) - 2 = 7$, and $\deg(\Phi_2(h_2)) \geq \min\{3, 9 - 2\} = 3$ (notice that $o(h_2) = 3$ or 9). Direct computation shows that $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1) \otimes \Phi_2(h_2)) = 9$, whence $d_\Phi(g) = o(g)$.

Assume $q \neq 8$ and $n = 4$. By Lemma 3.5 applied to Y_1 and Y_2 , $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1)) \geq o(h_1) - 2 = o(g) - 2$, and $\deg(\Phi_2(h_2)) \geq (q+1) - 2 \geq 3$ (notice that $o(h_2) = p = q+1$ as $q+1$ is a prime). By Lemma 2.12(ii), $\deg(\Phi_1(h_1) \otimes \Phi_2(h_2)) = o(g)$, whence $d_\Phi(g) = o(g)$.

3) Finally, assume $q = 3$. Since $q+1 \geq n$, we get $n = 4$, and $g = \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)$ with $|\alpha| = 4$. Furthermore, $\ell \neq 2$ by our assumption. Direct computation using [Atlas] and [JLPW] shows that $d_\Phi(g) = o(g) = 4$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $G := GU_{p^b+1}(q)$ with $q+1 = p^c$ for some odd prime p , and let $g \in G$ be a p -element such that $h := g^{p^b}$ is a pseudoreflection with $o(h) = q+1$. Let V be an (irreducible) Weil module of G in characteristic ℓ coprime to q . Then either $d_V(g) = p^b q$, or $(p^b, q) = (3, 2)$ and $d_V(g) = p^b q - 1$.*

Proof. The case $(p^b, q) = (3, 2)$ follows by direct check, so we will assume $(p^b, q) \neq (3, 2)$. It is well known (see e.g. [DZ1]) that $d_V(h) = o(h) - 1 = q$. Without loss we may assume that the natural G -module has an orthonormal basis $(e_1, \dots, e_{p^b+1})$ in which $h = \text{diag}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. It follows that in the same basis $g = \text{diag}(g_1, \gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $g_1 \in H := GU_{p^b}(q)$. Replacing g by $\gamma^{-1}g$ we may assume that $\beta = \gamma = 1$. Claim that g_1 is an irreducible p -element of H , of order p^b modulo $Z(H)$. (Indeed, since $o(h) = q+1$, α has order $q+1$. But $g^{p^b} = h$, hence g_1 is annihilated by $t^{p^b} - \alpha$. Now the proof of Lemma 5.3 establishes the claim.)

Consider the case $\ell \neq p$. Then we may assume that the Brauer character of V is the reduction modulo ℓ of a Weil character $\zeta_{p^b+1,q}^i$ as given in [TZ2] for some i , $0 \leq i \leq q$. The branching rule for Weil representations [T] yields $\zeta_{p^b+1,q}^i|_H = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq q, j \neq i} \zeta_{p^b,q}^j$. Moreover, if δ is a primitive $(q+1)^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ then the central element h acts as the scalar δ^j on the representation space W_j of $\zeta_{p^b+1,q}^j$. By Lemma 5.5, the spectrum of g on W_j consists of all p^b -roots of δ^j . It follows that $d_V(g) = p^b q$ as stated.

From now on we assume that $\ell = p$. Consider the filtration $V = V_q \supset V_{q-1} \supset \dots \supset V_1 \supset 0$, where $V_k := \text{Ker}((h-1)^k)$. Also notice that $C_G(h) = H \times Z(G)$ and $Z(G)$ acts trivially on V . Furthermore, since H is a standard subgroup of G , the branching rule for Weil representations [T] implies that any H -composition factor of V , in particular of V_q/V_{q-1} , is either of degree 1 or a Weil module. Claim that V_q/V_{q-1} has at least one H -composition factor of degree > 1 . Assume the contrary. Since $V_q \neq V_{q-1}$, by Lemma 2.13(i) we see that the H -module V has at least q composition factors of degree 1. On the other hand, since $q+1 = p^c$ and $p > 2$ we may assume that the Brauer character ζ of V is obtained by reducing the complex Weil character $\zeta_{p^b+1,q}^1$ modulo p . Again by [T], $\zeta_{p^b+1,q}^1|_H = \zeta_{p^b,q}^0 + \sum_{i=2}^q \zeta_{p^b,q}^i$. Moreover, $\zeta_{p^b,q}^0 \pmod{p}$ is irreducible and $(\zeta_{p^b,q}^i \pmod{p} - 1_H)$ is irreducible for $i > 0$ by [DT, HM]. Thus $V|_H$ contains exactly $q-1$ composition factors of degree 1, a contradiction.

Applying Lemma 5.5 to the element $g_1 \in H$ and an H -composition factor of degree > 1 (which is a Weil module) of V_q/V_{q-1} , we get $d_{V_q/V_{q-1}}(g) = d_{V_q/V_{q-1}}(g_1) = p^b$. Hence we are done by Lemma 2.13(ii). $\square$

Proposition 6.4. *Let $S := SU_n(q) \leq G \leq H := GU_n(q)$ with $n \geq 4$, and let $g \in G$ be a reducible p -element with $o(g) = p^a$ and $p|(q+1)$. Suppose g does not belong to any parabolic subgroup of H , and $g^{q+1} \neq 1$.*

(i) *Then $p > 2$.*

(ii) *Assume in addition that $\Phi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, q) = 1$ and $1 < \deg(\Phi(g)) \leq p^{a-1}(p-1)$. Then $q+1 = p$ is a Fermat prime, $n = p^b + 1$, $o(g) = p^{b+1}$, g^{p^b} is a pseudoreflection in H , and $\Phi|_S$ is a Weil representation. Furthermore, either $\deg(\Phi(g)) = p^b(p-1)$, or $(p^b, q) = (3, 2)$ and $\deg(\Phi(g)) = p^b(p-1) - 1$.*

Proof. 1) Consider the action of g on the natural module $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ for H . Since g does not belong to any parabolic subgroup, by Lemma 2.9 we can decompose V into an orthogonal sum $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ of nondegenerate subspaces such that g is irreducible on each V_i . Let $n_i = \dim(V_i)$, $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s \geq 1$. Since $g^{q+1} \neq 1$, $n_1 > 1$, and $s > 2$ as g is reducible. By Proposition 5.2(ii), $n_i = p^{b_i}$ with $b_1 \geq 1$ and $p > 2$. In particular, we are done with (i). Now we proceed to prove (ii). Let $g_i := g|_{V_i}$ and $p^c := (q+1)_p$. Notice that $C_H(g)G = H$. (Indeed, we have shown in the proof of Lemma 5.3 that $GU(V_1) = \langle SU(V_1), Z(GU(V_1)), g_1 \rangle$. Clearly, $Z(GU(V_1))$ and g_1 centralize g , and $H = \langle SU(V), GU(V_1) \rangle$.) So by Lemma 2.1 we may assume $G = H$.

2) Denoting $b = b_1$, we choose largest k such that $b_k = b$. Also, fix an eigenvalue λ_i for each g_i . Observe that $\lambda_i^{p^b}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ are distinct; in particular, $a > b$. Indeed, suppose $\lambda_1^{p^b} = \lambda_2^{p^b}$. By Lemma 5.1(ii), the p^b eigenvalues of g_1 on V_1 yield p^b roots to the equation $x^{p^b} = \lambda_1^{p^b}$. So without loss we may assume $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$. This in turn implies by Proposition 5.2(i) that g_1 and g_2 are conjugate in $GU_{p^b}(q)$. Choosing bases in V_1 and V_2 suitably, we achieve the effect that the matrices of g_1 and g_2 , as

well as the Gram matrices, relative to these bases are the same. But in this case g belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G by Lemma 2.8, a contradiction.

3) Here we consider the case $\ell = p$. By Proposition 5.2(ii), g^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G , and $a \geq 2$ according to 2). By Lemma 2.10(ii) and by our assumption, $\deg(\Phi(g^p)) \leq p^{a-2}(p-1)$, whereas $o(g^p) = p^{a-1}$. Hence by [DZ1] and [GMST], $q+1 = p$ is a Fermat prime, $g^{p^e} = (g^p)^{p^{e-1}}$ is a pseudoreflection of order $q+1$ for some $e \geq 1$, and V is a Weil module. Since g does not belong to any parabolic subgroup of G , the arguments in [GMST] imply that $e = b$, $k = 1$, $n = p^b + 1$. Applying Lemma 6.3, we are done.

4) From now on we assume $\ell \neq p$. Here we consider the case $k = s$, i.e. $n_1 = \dots = n_s = p^b$. Then we can view g as the element $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ in the subgroup $GU_1(Q)^s$ of $X := GU_s(Q)$ naturally embedded in G , where $Q := q^{n_1} \geq 8$. Observe that the values of $o(g)$ in G and in X are the same. For, assume $g^{p^{a-1}} \in Z(X)$. Then $\lambda_1^{p^{a-1}} = \dots = \lambda_s^{p^{a-1}}$. Observe that $p^{a-1}(q+1)$ is divisible by p^{b+c} (as $a > b$), and $|g| = |\lambda_i| = p^{b+c}$ (as p is odd). It follows that all eigenvalues of $g_i^{p^{a-1}}$ are equal to $\lambda_i^{p^{a-1}}$, whence $g_i^{p^{a-1}}$ is scalar on V_i . Therefore, our assumption $\lambda_1^{p^{a-1}} = \dots = \lambda_s^{p^{a-1}}$ implies that $g^{p^{a-1}}$ is scalar on V , a contradiction.

Now we apply Lemma 3.5, Proposition 6.1, and Corollary 6.2 to the element g in X and an irreducible constituent Ψ of degree > 1 of $\Phi|_X$. It follows that $d_\Psi(g) = o(g)$, except possibly when $Q+1 \in \{p^a, 2p^a\}$, and moreover g is a pseudoreflection in X if $s > 2$. By the conclusion of p. 2), g cannot be a pseudoreflection in X , so $s = 2$. Furthermore, if $Q > 8$ then by considering a primitive prime divisor r of $q^{2n_1} - 1$ we see that r divides $Q+1$ but not $2(q+1)$, whence $Q+1 \notin \{p^a, 2p^a\}$. Thus $Q = 8$, $G = GU_6(2)$, and $\text{Spec}(g, V) = \{\lambda_1^j \mid j = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$, i.e. g belongs to the class $9C$ in $SU_6(2)$ (in the notation of [Atlas]). In particular, g is rational in $SU_6(2)$. Let ϕ be the Brauer character of any irreducible constituent of $\Phi|_S$. Assuming $d_\Phi(g) \leq 7$, resp. $d_\Phi(g) = 8$, we obtain $\phi(1) + 2\phi(g^3) - 3\phi(g) = 0$, resp. $\phi(1) + 2\phi(g^3) + 6\phi(g) = 0$. By inspecting [Atlas] and [JLPW], we see that ϕ is trivial, whence $\dim(\Phi) = 1$, a contradiction. We conclude that $d_\Phi(g) = o(g)$ in this case.

5) Now we may assume that $k < s$, i.e. $b_s < b$. For $i \leq k$ we have $g_i^{p^{b+c-1}} = \omega_i \cdot \text{Id}$ is scalar, where $\omega_i := \lambda_i^{p^{b+c-1}}$ has order p . Meanwhile, $g_i^{p^{b+c-1}} = \text{Id}$ for $i > k$. Hence $a = b+c \geq 2$ and $o(g) = |g|$. Following the proof of Lemma 5.3(i), for each $i \leq k$ we can find $d_i \in GU(V_i)$ such that $d_i^p = \text{Id}$, $[d_i, g_i] = \omega_i \cdot \text{Id}$. Setting $d_i = \text{Id}$ for $i > k$ and $d = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_s)$, we see that $d^p = 1$, $[d, g] = g^{p^{a-1}}$.

Decompose $\Phi = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Phi_i$, with $\Phi_i([d, g]) = \epsilon^i \cdot \text{Id}$ for a primitive p -root ϵ of unity. Since $o([d, g]) = p$, $\Phi_i \neq 0$ for some $i \neq 0$. For any such i , Lemma 2.10(i) applied to the actions of $\Phi_i(d)$ and $\Phi_i(g)$ yields that $\text{Spec}(\Phi_i(g))$ consists of all p -roots of the elements in $\text{Spec}(\Phi_i(g^p))$. Also observe that if $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi_i(g^p))$, then $\beta^{p^{a-2}} = 1$

if $i = 0$ and $\beta^{p^{a-2}} = \epsilon^i \neq 1 = \beta^{p^{a-1}}$ if $i \neq 0$, since $[d, g] = g^{p^{a-1}}$. Similarly, if $\alpha \in \text{Spec}(\Phi_i(g))$, then $\alpha^{p^{a-1}} = 1$ if $i = 0$ and $\alpha^{p^{a-1}} \neq 1 = \alpha^{p^a}$ if $i \neq 0$.

6) Assume $d_\Phi(g^p) = o(g^p) = p^{a-1}$. Then $d_\Phi([d, g]) = d_\Phi(g^{p^{a-1}}) = o(g^{p^{a-1}}) = p$, whence $\Phi_j \neq 0$ for all j . The argument in 5) shows that $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$ contains all the p -roots of $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^p))$ with $\beta^{p^{a-2}} \neq 1$, as well as at least one p -root of $\beta \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g^p))$ with $\beta^{p^{a-2}} = 1$. Thus $d_\Phi(g) \geq p(p^{a-1} - p^{a-2}) + p^{a-2} = p^{a-2}(p^2 - p + 1)$, contrary to our assumption.

7) It remains to consider the case $d_\Phi(g^p) < p^{a-1}$. By Proposition 5.2(ii), g^p belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G ; moreover, $\text{rank}((g^p - z)|_{V_1}) \geq 4$ for any $z \in Z(G)$ if $b > 1$. So the inequality $d_\Phi(g^p) < p^{a-1}$ implies by the main result of [DZ1] that either $g^{p^e} = (g^p)^{p^{e-1}}$ is a pseudoreflection of order $q + 1 = p^{a-e}$ for some $e \geq 1$, or $b = 1$, $(q, p^{a-1}) = (2, 9)$ and $\text{rank}(g^p - z) = 3$ for some $z \in Z(G)$. In the latter case, $c = 1$, $b = 1$, and $a = 3$, violating the equality $a = b + c$. So the former case must occur. As shown in [GMST], in this case $b = e$, $n = p^b + 1$ (since g does not belong to any parabolic subgroup), and Φ is a Weil representation. Hence we can apply Lemma 6.3. Assume furthermore that $(p^b, q) \neq (3, 2)$. Then $d_\Phi(g) = p^b q = p^a - p^{a-c}$. Since we are assuming $d_\Phi(g) \leq p^a - p^{a-1}$, we get $c = 1$, $p = q + 1$, and so p is a Fermat prime. $\square$

Remark 6.5. Stricly speaking, the main result of [DZ1] in the symplectic case was proved only for $Sp_{2n}(q)$. However, one can also handle any subgroup G such that $Sp_{2n}(q) \leq G \leq H := CSp_{2n}(q)$ and $n \geq 2$. Indeed, let $g \in G$ be a semisimple p -element with $o(g) = p^a$ and g stabilizes a totally singular m -dimensional subspace U of the natural module $\mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ for G . Let $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ be afforded by an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module of dimension > 1 , where $(\ell, q) = 1$. If $p > 2$ then $g \in Z(H) \cdot Sp_{2n}(q)$, and so we are done by [DZ1]. In the case $\ell = p = 2$, $d_\Theta(g) > 2^{a-1}$ by Corollary 2.11(ii). So we will assume $p = 2$ and $\ell \neq 2$. By Lemma 2.1 we can replace such a G by $Z(H)G$, so it suffices to consider the case $G = H$ (and q odd). Let Q be the unipotent radical of $\text{Stab}_G(U)$ and $Z := Z(Q)$. One can check that $T := \langle g \rangle / \langle g^{2^a} \rangle$ acts faithfully on Q . Since Z acts faithfully on V , $V_1 := [Z, V] \neq 0$. Note that T acts on the set $\mathcal{X}$ of linear characters of Z afforded by V_1 . Let V_λ denote the λ -eigenspace for Z on V when $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}$.

First assume $m = n$, whence $Z = Q$. Claim that T has an orbit of length 2^a on $\mathcal{X}$, which implies that $d_\Theta(g) = o(g)$. Otherwise, $h := g^{2^{a-1}}$ fixes every $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\Theta(x)$ is scalar on each V_λ and on $C_V(Z)$ when $x \in Q$, it follows that $[Q, h] = 1$ on V , a contradiction.

Now we may assume that $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Observe that Q acts faithfully on V_1 , and, since T acts faithfully on Q , QT acts faithfully (projectively) on V_1 . Let $\mathcal{O}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, be the T -orbits on $\mathcal{X}$. For each i , let $2^{a_i} := |\mathcal{O}_i|$, $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$, Φ_i be the representation of $\langle g \rangle Q$ on V_{λ_i} , and 2^{b_i} be the order of $\Phi_i(g^{2^{a_i}})$ modulo $\mathbb{F}^\bullet$. Since $\ell \neq 2$, Φ_i is a direct sum of irreducible summands Φ_{ij} , $1 \leq j \leq t_i$, where

Φ_{ij} is a faithful irreducible representation of the extraspecial group $Q/\text{Ker}(\lambda_i)$. We may assume 2^{b_i} is the order of $\Phi_{i1}(g^{2^{a_i}})$ modulo $\mathbb{F}^\bullet$. Clearly, $a_i + b_i \leq a$. Moreover, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a_i} \cdot \deg(\Phi_i(g^{2^{a_i}}))$ by Lemma 2.6, so we may assume that $a_i < a$. Observe that there is some i such that $a_i + b_i = a$ (otherwise $[h, Q] = 1$ on V_1 , a contradiction). For this i , suppose $\Phi_i(g^{2^a}) = \beta \cdot \text{Id}$. Multiplying $\Theta(g)$ by $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\gamma^{2^a} = \beta^{-1}$, we may assume that $\Phi_i(g^{2^a}) = \text{Id}$. Applying [DZ1, Theorem 2.6] to the representation Φ_{i1} of $\langle g^{2^{a_i}}, Q/\text{Ker}(\lambda_i) \rangle$, we obtain that $\deg(\Phi_{i1}(g^{2^{a_i}})) \geq \max\{2, 2^{b_i} - 1\}$. Consequently,

$$\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a_i} \cdot \deg(\Phi_{i1}(g^{2^{a_i}})) \geq 2^{a_i} \cdot \max\{2, 2^{b_i} - 1\} > 2^{a-1}.$$

Lemma 6.6. *Let $Sp_{2n}(q) \triangleleft G \leq CSp_{2n}(q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Assume $g \in G$ with $o(g) = 2^a \geq 2$, and $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, 2q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Theta) > 1$. Then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$.*

Proof. 1) By Corollary 2.11(i) we may assume that $a \geq 2$. Furthermore, by [DZ1] and Remark 6.5 we may assume that g is a 2-element that does not belong to any parabolic subgroup of G . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.9, we can decompose the natural module $V = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ into a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ of irreducible $\langle g \rangle$ -submodules. By Proposition 5.2(iii), $\dim(V_i) = 2$ for all i , and so $s = n$. Let λ_i be an eigenvalue of $g_i := g|_{V_i}$, $2^{a_i} := |\lambda_i|$, $2^c := (q+1)_2$. Note that $a_i \leq c+1$. We reorder the V_i 's such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$. We also assume that g changes the symplectic form on V by the scalar $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\bullet$. In particular, $\tau = \det(g_i) = \lambda_i^{q+1} = \pm 1$. Let $X := X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, where $X_i := Sp(V_i)$.

2) Here we consider the case $\tau = 1$, i.e. $g \in Sp_{2n}(q)$. Observe that $g_i^{2^{a_i-1}} = -1_{V_i}$, hence $a = a_1 - 1$ if $a_1 = a_n$, and $a = a_1$ if $a_1 > a_n$. Furthermore, $g_i^{q+1} = 1_{V_i}$ for all i and $a_i \leq c$.

Assume $a = a_1 - 1$. Then $q \geq 7$ as $a \geq 2$. Since $g_1 \notin \text{Ker}(\Theta)$, we can find an irreducible constituent $\Phi = \Phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi_n$ of $\Theta|_X$ with $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi_1) > 1$. By Lemma 3.3, $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1)) \geq 2^{a_1-1} - 1 = 2^a - 1$. It follows that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 2^a - 1$.

Assume $a = a_1 = \dots = a_k > a_{k+1}$. Then $g_i^{2^{a-1}} = -1_{V_i}$ if $i \leq k$ and $g_i^{2^{a-1}} = 1_{V_i}$ for $i > k$. It follows that $h := g^{2^{a-1}}$ has $o(h) = 2$ and belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . By [DZ1], $\deg(\Theta(h)) = 2$, and so $\Theta = \Theta_+ \oplus \Theta_-$ (as $C_G(h)$ -modules), with $\Theta_\epsilon(h) = \epsilon \cdot \text{Id}$ for $\epsilon = \pm$. Clearly, $C_G(h) \geq X$. Consider any irreducible constituent $\Phi = \Phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi_n$ of $\Theta_-|_X$ with $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$. Since $-\text{Id} = \Phi(h) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_i(-1_{V_i}) \otimes \text{Id}$, there is some $i \leq k$ such that $\Phi_i(-1_{V_i}) = -\text{Id}$; in particular, $\dim(\Phi_i) \geq (q+1)/2$. If $q \geq 7$ then $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_i(g_i)) \geq 2^{a-1}$ by Lemma 3.3. The same is true for $q = 3$, since $SL_2(3) = Q_8 : 3$ and $g_i \in Q_8 \setminus Z(Q_8)$. Moreover, if $\gamma \in \text{Spec}(\Phi(g))$, then $\gamma^{2^{a-1}} = -1$ as $\Phi(h) = -\text{Id}$. Obviously, $\delta^{2^{a-1}} = 1$ for any eigenvalue δ of $\Theta_+(g)$. It follows that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$.

3) From now on we assume that $\tau = -1$. In particular, $\lambda_i^{q+1} = -1$ and so $|g_i| = 2^{c+1}$ for all i , and $a = c$. Here we consider the case $q \geq 7$ and set $Y := \langle X, g \rangle$, $Y_i :=$

$\langle X_i, g_i \rangle$. Then we can find an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_Y$ such that the $\mathbb{F}X$ -representation Φ contains an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi_n$ with $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_i)$ and $\dim(\Phi_1) > 1$. It is easy to see that $g_i \notin X_i \ni g_i^2$ and $o(g_i) = 2^c$ (as an element in any subgroup between $Sp(V_i)$ and $CSp(V_i)$), since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 3.3, either $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1^2)) = 2^{c-1}$, or $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1^2)) = 2^{c-1} - 1$ and $(q+1)/2 = 2^{c-1}$. Since $q \geq 7$, $c \geq 3$ in the latter case. Thus in both cases $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1^2)) > 2^{c-2}$.

Assume $\otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ is not g -stable. By Lemma 2.6, $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 2 \deg(\otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(g_i^2)) \geq 2 \deg(\Phi_1(g_1^2)) > 2^{c-1}$, whence $\deg(\Theta(g)) > 2^{a-1}$ as desired.

Assume $\otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ is g -stable. As $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, it follows that $\Phi_i^{g_i} \simeq \Phi_i$ for each i . Since $Y_i/X_i = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\ell \neq 2$, there are exactly two $\mathbb{F}Y_i$ -representations $\Phi_i^\pm$ with $\Phi_i^\pm|_{X_i} = \Phi_i$. Then $\Phi^+ = \otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^+$ is a representation of (the external direct product) $Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ with $\Phi^+|_X = \otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i = \Phi|_X$. Now $Y/X = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\Phi^+|_Y$ and Φ are two extensions of $\otimes_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ to Y . Hence, multiplying Φ by an $\mathbb{F}Y$ -representation of degree 1, we obtain $\Phi = \Phi^+|_Y$. It follows that $\deg(\Phi(g)) = \deg(\Phi^+(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_1^+(g_1))$. Observe that $SL_2(q) \simeq Sp(V_i) < Y_i \leq CSp(V_i) \simeq GL_2(q) = (\mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/2} \times SL_2(q)) \cdot 2$. So we may view Φ_1^+ as a representation of degree > 1 of $GL_2(q)$. By Lemma 3.4, either $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1)) \geq 2^c - 1$, or $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1)) = 2^c - 2$ and $q+1 = 2^c$. Since $q \geq 7$, $c \geq 3$ in the latter case. Thus in both cases $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1)) > 2^{c-1}$. Consequently, $\deg(\Theta(g)) > 2^{a-1}$ as desired.

4) Finally, we consider the case $\tau = -1$ and $q = 3$. In this case, $\lambda_i^4 = -1$ and $\text{Spec}(g_i) = \{\lambda_i, \lambda_i^3\}$. Consider the element $h = \text{diag}(g_i, g_j)$ of $CSp(U) \simeq CSp_4(3)$, where $U := V_i \oplus V_j$ with $i \neq j$. If $\text{Spec}(g_i) = \text{Spec}(g_j)$, then g is centralized by an element of order 3, whence g belongs to a parabolic subgroup, a contradiction. So $\text{Spec}(g_i) \cap \text{Spec}(g_j) = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. It follows that $n = 2$ and $\text{Spec}(g) = \{\lambda_1^j \mid j = 1, 3, 5, 7\}$. In particular, g belongs to class $4D$ in $PCSp_4(3)$ (in the notation of [Atlas]) and g is rational in $G = CSp(V)$. Since $o(g^2) = 2$, $\deg(\Theta(g^2)) = 2$ by Corollary 2.11(i). Recall that $o(g) = 4$. If $\Theta(g^4) = \text{Id}$, then $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g^2)) = \{1, -1\}$, and the rationality of g implies that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains both two nonreal 4-roots $\pm\mu$ of unity and at least one of the two real 4-roots of unity. If $\Theta(g^4) = -\text{Id}$, then $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g^2)) = \{\mu, -\mu\}$, and the rationality of g implies that $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains all four primitive 8-roots of unity. Thus $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 3$ as desired. $\square$

Next we work with the Clifford algebra $C(V)$ and Clifford group $\Gamma(V)$, where $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is endowed with a nondegenerate quadratic form for q odd and $n \geq 2$. We refer to [TZ5] for basic notation and facts about them.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be endowed with a nondegenerate quadratic form, where $n \geq 7$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Assume that $\text{Spin}(V) \triangleleft G \leq H := \Gamma^+(V)$, $g \in G$ with $o(g) = 2^a \geq 2$, and that $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, 2q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Theta) > 1$. Then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$.*

Proof. 1) By Corollary 2.11(i) we may assume that $a \geq 2$. Furthermore, by [DZ1] and Lemma 2.1 we may assume that g is a 2-element that does not belong to any

parabolic subgroup of G . By Lemma 2.9 we can decompose V into a direct sum $\oplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ of irreducible $\langle g \rangle$ -submodules. Let λ_i be an eigenvalue of $g_i := g|_{V_i}$, $2^{a_i} := |\lambda_i|$, $2^c := (q+1)_2$. By Proposition 5.2(iv), $n_i := \dim(V_i)$ is 1 (in which case $\lambda_i = \pm 1$) or 2 (in which case V_i is of type $-$, $\lambda_i^{q+1} = \det(g_i) = 1$). Thus $a_i \leq c$ for all i . We reorder the V_i 's such that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_s$, and $a_i \geq a_j$ whenever $n_i = n_j$. Denote by χ the canonical (surjective) map $H \rightarrow SO(V)$. Notice that $Z := \text{Ker}(\chi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ and $Z \leq Z(H)$. In fact, $(Z(H) : Z) = 2$ if n is even: if $z \in H$ satisfies $\chi(z) = -1_V$, then $z v z^{-1} = \chi(z)v = -v$ for any $v \in V$, hence $C^+(V) = C_{C(V)}(z)$. Thus $Z(G) = Z(H) \cap G$. This in turn implies that $Z(G) \leq Z(Y)$ for any subgroup Y between G and H .

2) Next we define some nondegenerate subspace A of V . Observe that $n_1 = 2$. Otherwise we can find distinct indices i, j, k such that $n_i = n_j = n_k = 1$ and $g_i = g_j = g_k = \pm 1$, and so g fixes a nonzero singular vector of the 3-space $V_i \oplus V_j \oplus V_k$, a contradiction. By the same reason, the total number of 1-dimensional V_i 's is at most 4, whence $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ as $n \geq 7$. Moreover, if $n_i = n_j = 1$ and $g_i = g_j = \pm 1$ for $i \neq j$, then the 2-space $V_i \oplus V_j$ must be of type $-$ (otherwise g would fix a nonzero singular vector of $V_i \oplus V_j$). Now,

2a) if there are two indices i, j such that $n_i = n_j = 1$ and $g_i = g_j$, then we define $A := V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_i \oplus V_j$ and $k := j$;

2b) otherwise, define $A := V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_s$ and $k := s$.

Thus A is either a 5-dimensional nondegenerate subspace, or a 6-dimensional nondegenerate subspace of type $-$ of V . Moreover, since $\chi(g) \in SO(V)$, it is easy to check that the action of g on A belongs to $SO(A)$.

Let $B := A^\perp$. For any $f \in K := \chi^{-1}(SO(A) \times SO(B)) \cap H$, let $\bar{f}_A$, resp. $\bar{f}_B$, denote the action of f on A , resp. on B ; in particular, $\chi(f) = \text{diag}(\bar{f}_A, \bar{f}_B)$. By [TZ5, Lemma 6.2(i)] there are elements $f_A \in C^+(A) \cap \Gamma(V)$ and $f_B \in C^+(B) \cap \Gamma(V)$ such that $\chi(f_A) = \text{diag}(\bar{f}_A, 1_B)$ and $\chi(f_B) = \text{diag}(1_A, \bar{f}_B)$. Then $\chi(f_A f_B) = \chi(f)$ and so $f_A f_B \in fZ$. Moreover, $f_A \in C^+(A)$. It follows by [TZ5, Lemma 6.1] that $[f_A, h_B] = 1$, if $h \in K$ and we define h_A, h_B as described for f . Thus we have a decomposition of K into a central product $K_1 * K_2$, with $K_1 \simeq \Gamma^+(A)$ and $K_2 \simeq \Gamma^+(B)$. Notice that $g \in K$ by our choice of A .

3) Let $C := V_1$ and $D := C^\perp$. Define f_C and f_D for any $f \in \chi^{-1}(SO(C) \times SO(D)) \cap H$ as we did in 3) for the decomposition $V = A \oplus B$. Then again $\chi(f_C f_D) = \chi(f)$ and so $f_C f_D \in fZ$, and $[f_C, g_D] = 1$. Choose $\bar{r}_C$ to be a generator of $SO(C) = \mathbb{Z}_{q+1}$ and take $r := r_C$. Since $\bar{g}_C \in \langle \bar{r}_C \rangle$, we get $\chi(g_C) = \chi(r)^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $g_C \in r^m Z$. Thus there is some $t \in Z$ such that $g = t r^m g_D$. Since $Z \leq Z(H)$ and $[r, g_D] = 1$, we conclude that $[r, g] = 1$. Next observe that $\chi(r) \in SO(V) \setminus \Omega(V)$ and $G \triangleleft H$. By Lemma 2.1 we may replace G by $\langle G, r \rangle$ and thereby assume $\chi(G) \geq SO(V)$. Next, $Z = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/2}$ as $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $Z(G) \geq O_2(Z)$. So we may assume that $Z(G) \geq Z$ and $G = H$. It follows that $g \in K = K_1 * K_2 \leq G$, with $K_1 = \Gamma^+(A)$.

4) Here we show that $o(g)$ (in G) and $o(g_A)$ (in K_1) are the same. Indeed, assume we are either in the case 2a), or in the case 2b) and $a_1 > a_k$. Then $g_i^{2^{a_1}} = 1_{V_i}$, $g_1^{2^{a_1-1}} = -1_{V_1}$, and $g_k^{2^{a_1-1}} = 1_{V_k}$. It follows that $\chi(g)^{2^{a_1}} = 1_V$ but $\chi(g)^{2^{a_1-1}}$ is not scalar on V , whence $g^{2^{a_1}} \in Z \leq Z(G)$ but $g^{2^{a_1-1}} \notin Z(G)$, i.e. $o(g) = 2^{a_1}$. The same argument applied to g_A in K_1 yields $o(g_A) = 2^{a_1}$. Next assume we are in the case 2b) and $a_1 = a_k$; in particular, $n_s = 2$ and $\dim(A) = 6$. In this case, $g_1^{2^{a_1-2}}$ is not scalar on V_1 , and $g_i^{2^{a_1-1}} = -1_{V_i}$ for all i . It follows that $\chi(g)^{2^{a_1-1}} = -1_V$ and so $g^{2^{a_1-1}} \in Z(G)$ as we mentioned in 1). On the other hand, $\chi(g)^{2^{a_1-2}}$ is not scalar on V , whence $g^{2^{a_1-2}} \notin Z(G)$, i.e. $o(g) = 2^{a_1-1}$. The same argument applied to g_A in K_1 yields $o(g_A) = 2^{a_1-1}$.

5) Next we identify the subgroup $K_1 = \Gamma^+(A)$. If $\dim(A) = 5$, then $\Gamma^+(A) \simeq CSp_4(q)$. Assume $\dim(A) = 6$. Claim that $K_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/2} \times L$, with $L \simeq SU_4(q) : 2$ and $L/Z(L) < PGU_4(q)$. Indeed, since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2$ with $Z_1 := O_{2'}(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/2}$ and $Z_2 := O_2(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. Next, $\Gamma^+(A) \triangleright Spin(A) > Z_2$, where $Spin(A) \simeq SU_4(q)$, $Spin(A)/Z_2 = \Omega(A)$, and $Spin(A) \cap Z_1 = 1$. If Q denotes the quadratic form on A , we can find a pair of orthogonal vectors $u, v \in A$ such that $Q(u) = -1$ and $Q(v) = 1$. Then inside $C(A)$ we have $(uv)^2 = u(-uv)v = 1$ and $\chi(uv) = \rho_u \rho_v \in SO(A) \setminus \Omega(A)$, if ρ_x is the reflection corresponding to x . Setting $L := \langle Spin(A), uv \rangle$, we obtain that $K_1 = Z_1 \times L$. It is well known that $\text{Out}(\bar{S}) = D_8$ for $\bar{S} := P\Omega(A) \simeq PSU_4(q)$, and D_8 is induced by the action of the conformal orthogonal group $CO(A)$ on $\bar{S}$. Notice that $GO(A) = \langle \Omega(A), \rho_u, \rho_v \rangle$ induces the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ of $\text{Out}(\bar{S})$. Furthermore, $CO(A)$ flips the involutions ρ_u, ρ_v modulo $\Omega(A)$. It follows that $\rho_u \rho_v$ induces the central involution of $\text{Out}(\bar{S})$. Since -1_A lifts to a central element (of order 4) of $\Gamma^+(A)$, $Z(L) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4$. Finally, $GU_4(q)$ induces the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and so contains the central involution of $\text{Out}(\bar{S})$. Consequently, $L/Z(L) < PGU_4(q)$.

6) Now we can find an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta|_K$ such that $\Phi = \Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$, $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(K_i)$, and $\dim(\Phi_1) > 1$. Recall that $g = g_A g_B z$ for some $z \in Z < K$, $g_A \in K_1$, and $g_B \in K_2$. Hence, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_1(g_A))$. Since $o(g) = o(g_A)$, it suffices to show that $\deg(\Phi_1(g_A)) > o(g_A)/2$. If $\dim(A) = 5$, then we are done by Lemma 6.6. From now on we assume $\dim(A) = 6$. By Lemma 2.1 we may also assume that g_A is a 2-element, whence $g_A \in L$ for the subgroup L defined in 5).

Recall that $L := \langle S, uv \rangle$ with $S := SU_4(q)$ and $|uv| = 2$. Since S is perfect, all irreducible constituents of $\Phi_1|_S$ are of degree > 1 . Claim that, if $SU_4(q) \leq X \leq GU_4(q)$, $\Sigma \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X)$, $\dim(\Sigma) > 1$, then

$$(3) \quad \deg(\Sigma(y)) \geq \max\{2, 2^d - 1\}$$

for any $y \in X$ with $o(y) = 2^d \geq 2$. Indeed, if $d = 2$ then the claim follows from Corollary 2.11(i). So we may assume that $d \geq 2$, and that y is a 2-element by Lemma 2.1. If y belongs to a parabolic subgroup of S , then the claim follows from [DZ1]. If

y does not belong to a parabolic subgroup, then y is reducible (as y is a 2-element) and $y^{q+1} = 1$ by Proposition 6.4(i), whence the claim follows from Corollary 6.2.

Now if $g_A \in S$ then we are done by applying (3) to $y := g_A$. Consider the case $g_A \notin S$ and $\Phi_1|_S$ is reducible. By Lemma 2.6 and by (3) applied to $y := g_A^2$, $\deg(\Phi_1(g_A)) \geq 2 \cdot \deg(\Phi_1(g_A^2)) \geq 2 \cdot \max\{2, 2^{a-1} - 1\} > 2^{a-1}$, and we are done again.

Finally, we consider the case $g_A \notin S$ and $\Phi_1|_S$ is irreducible. Since $L/Z(L) < PGU_4(q)$, we can find an element $h \in GU_4(q)$ such that the actions of g_A and of h on S are the same. In particular, $o(g_A)$ (in L) and $o(h)$ (in $GU_4(q)$) are the same, as they are equal to the order of g_A and of h in $\text{Aut}(S)$. We use $\Phi_1|_S$ to define an irreducible representation Ψ of $\tilde{L} = \langle S, h \rangle$ as follows. First, $\Psi(x) = \Phi_1(x)$ for any $x \in S$. Next, for all $x \in S$,

$$\Psi^h(x) = \Psi(hxh^{-1}) = \Phi_1(hxh^{-1}) = \Phi_1(g_A x g_A^{-1}) = \Phi_1(x) = \Psi(x),$$

i.e. Ψ is $\tilde{L}$ -stable. Since $\tilde{L}/S$ is cyclic, we can extend Ψ to an irreducible representation of $\tilde{L}$, which we also denote by Ψ . Now the actions on $\Phi_1(S)$ via conjugation by $\Phi_1(g_A)$ and by $\Psi(h)$ are the same. But $\Phi_1|_S$ is irreducible, so $\Psi(h) = \beta \Phi_1(g_A)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^\bullet$. In particular, $\deg(\Phi_1(g_A)) = \deg(\Psi(h))$. Applying (3) to $(X, y, \Sigma) = (\tilde{L}, h, \Psi)$, we are done. $\square$

Corollary 6.8. *Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be endowed with a nondegenerate quadratic form, where $2|n \geq 8$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Assume that $\text{Spin}(V) \triangleleft G \leq H := \Gamma(V)$, $g \in G$ with $o(g) = 2^a \geq 2$, and that $\Theta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $(\ell, 2q) = 1$ and $\dim(\Theta) > 2$. Then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2^{a-1} + 1$.*

Proof. 1) We will use all the assumptions and notations made in p. 1) of the proof of Lemma 6.7. If $\chi(g) \in SO(V)$ and $G \leq \Gamma^+(V)$ then we are done by Lemma 6.7. Assume $\chi(g) \in SO(V)$ but $G \not\leq \Gamma^+(V)$ and set $M := \langle \text{Spin}(V), g, Z(G) \rangle$. Notice that $\chi(Z(G)) < SO(V)$, so $M \leq \Gamma^+(V)$. If the values of $o(g)$ in G and in M are the same then we are again done by Lemma 6.7. The remaining possibility can only occur when $n_1 = \dots = n_s = 2$, $a_1 = \dots = a_s$, and -1_V gives rise to an element $t \in Z(M) \setminus Z(G)$ with $t = g^{2^{a-1}}$ (in which case the value of $o(g)$ in M is 2^{a-1}). By Corollary 2.11, $\deg(\Theta(t)) = 2$, so we can decompose Θ into a direct sum of two $\mathbb{F}M$ -representations Θ_i , with $\Theta_i(t) = \alpha_i \cdot \text{Id}$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. Clearly, there is an i and an irreducible constituent Φ of $\Theta_i|_M$ of degree > 1 (otherwise $\text{Ker}(\Theta) \geq \text{Spin}(V)$). But $M \triangleleft G$, so by Clifford's theorem there must be a G -conjugate Ψ of Φ that is contained in $\Theta_{3-i}|_M$. Applying Lemma 6.7 to $M \leq \Gamma^+(V)$, we see that $\deg(\Phi(g)) \geq 2^{a-2} + 1$ and $\deg(\Psi(g)) \geq 2^{a-2} + 1$. Furthermore, $\text{Spec}(\Phi(g)) \cap \text{Spec}(\Psi(g)) = \emptyset$ as $g^{2^{a-1}} = t$ and $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. It follows that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2(2^{a-2} + 1) > 2^{a-1}$ as stated.

2) From now on we assume $\chi(g) \notin SO(V)$. Let $Z_1 := O_{2'}(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/2}$, $Z_2 := O_2(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, and let $\mathbf{j}$ denote the unique central involution of H . Considering a pair of orthogonal vectors $u, v \in V$ such that $Q(u) = 1$, $Q(v) = -1$ (where Q is the quadratic form on V), we get the subgroup $L := \langle \text{Spin}(V), u, v \rangle = \text{Spin}(V) \cdot D_8$,

and it is easy to see that $H = Z_1 \times L$. Replacing G by GZ_1 by Lemma 2.1, we get $G = Z_1 \times (G \cap L)$.

Let k be the total number of 2-dimensional V_i 's, l the total number of i such that $n_i = 1$ and $g_i = -1$, and m the total number of i such that $n_i = 1$ and $g_i = 1$. Then $2k + l + m = n$ and l is odd. If $l \geq 3$, then g fixes a nonzero singular vector in $\bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{k+3} V_i$, a contradiction. Similarly, $m < 3$. It follows that $l = m = 1$.

3) Consider the case where $\Theta(\mathbf{j}) = \text{Id}$. There is no loss to view Θ as an irreducible representation of $K := (G \cap L)/Z_2 \leq GO(V)$ and replace g by $\chi(g)$ (and assume $o(g) > 2$). Define $C := \bigoplus_{i \neq k+1} V_i$, $D := V_{k+1}$. Then $g = \text{diag}(g', g_{k+1})$, with $g' \in SO(C)$ and $g_{k+1} = -1$. Since $n_1 = 2$, one can find an element $h \in SO(V_1) \setminus \Omega(V_1)$ that centralizes g . Similarly, $g_{k+1} \in GO(V_{k+1}) \setminus SO(V_{k+1})$ centralizes g . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we may replace K by $GO(V)$. It is easy to check that $o(g)$ (in K) and $o(g')$ (in $SO(C)$) are the same. Restricting Θ to the subgroup $SO(C) \times GO(D)$ of K and applying Lemma 6.7 to g' , we conclude that $\deg(\Theta(g)) > o(g)/2$.

4) Now we assume $\Theta(\mathbf{j}) = -\text{Id}$. Fixing an element $z \in \Gamma(V)$ with $\chi(z) = -1_V$, we observed in p. 1) of the proof of Lemma 6.7 that z centralizes $C^+(V)$; in particular, $[z, gv^{-1}] = 1$. But $zgz^{-1} = \chi(z)v = \mathbf{j}v$ (in G), hence $zgz^{-1} = \mathbf{j}g$. It follows that $-\gamma \in \text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ whenever $\gamma \in \text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$. Therefore, $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g))$ contains all square roots of elements in $\text{Spec}(\Theta(g^2))$. Clearly, $g^2 \in G_1 := \langle x^2 \mid x \in G \rangle \leq \Gamma^+(V)$. Moreover, since $a_1 \geq 2$ and $g_{k+2} = 1$, one can check that the values of $o(g^2)$ in G_1 and in G are the same. Applying Lemma 6.7 to an irreducible constituent of degree > 1 of $\Theta|_{G_1}$ and g^2 , we see that $\deg(\Theta(g^2)) \geq 2^{a-2} + 1$. Consequently, $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 2 \cdot \deg(\Theta(g^2)) \geq 2(2^{a-2} + 1) > 2^{a-1}$. $\square$

Theorem 6.9. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, assume that the element g is reducible. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $p^a \geq \deg(\Theta(g)) > p^{a-1}(p-1)$.
- (ii) $p > 2$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p-1)$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. Furthermore, either $a = 1$, or $\ell \neq p$.
- (iii) $S = PSU_n(q)$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p^b}$ for some $b \geq 1$, $q+1 = p$ is a Fermat prime, $o(g) = p^{b+1}$, g^{p^b} is a pseudoreflexion in $GU_n(q)$, and Θ is a Weil representation. Furthermore, either $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^b(p-1)$, or $(n, p^b, q) = (4, 3, 2)$ and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^b(p-1) - 1$.
- (iv) $S = PSU_n(q)$, $o(g) = p = q+1$ is a Fermat prime, g is contained in $GU_1(q)^n$, Θ is a Weil representation, and $\deg(\Theta(g)) = o(g) - 1$. Furthermore, either $n \leq 3$ or g is a pseudoreflexion in $GU_n(q)$.

Proof. 1) Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ denote the natural module for G , and we write $S = PSU_n(q^{1/2})$ in the SU -case. We will use the notation of p. 1) of the proof of Theorem 5.11. As we mentioned there, assertion (i) holds if $\ell = p = 2$. So we may assume that $p^a > 2$, $\ell \neq 2$ if $p = 2$, g is a p -element, and $d_\Theta(g) \leq p^{a-1}(p-1)$.

Assume g belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G . By the main result of [DZ1] and Remark 6.5, $d_\Theta(g) > p^{a-1}(p-1)$, except for the case (G, g) is as in (iii) or (iv), in which cases $\Theta|_L$ is a Weil representation by [GMST, Theorem 3.2].

Thus we may assume that g is not contained in any parabolic subgroup of G , and that $S \neq PSL_n(q)$. In the remaining cases, V is endowed with a G -invariant non-degenerate Hermitian, symplectic, or quadratic form, and g cannot fix any nonzero totally singular subspace. By Lemma 2.9, we can find an orthogonal decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$, where g acts as an irreducible element g_i on each (nondegenerate) subspace V_i , with one possible exception. In the exception, $S = P\Omega_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$ with $2|q$ and $m \geq 4$, and $g \in H = Sp_{2m-2}(q)$. When this happens, we will

(4) restrict to H and refer to our result for the symplectic case.

Throughout pp. 2) – 5) of the proof we will assume that the aforementioned decomposition exists. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p|(q^k - 1)$ and let $n_i = \dim(V_i)$. Observe that g^{p^a} acts scalarly on V . We may assume that $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s$ and $s \geq 2$.

2) Here we handle the case $k = 1$. First suppose that $S = PSU_n(q^{1/2})$. If $n_1 = 1$, then we are done by Lemma 3.5 if $n = 2$, by Proposition 6.1 if $n = 3$, and by Corollary 6.2 if $n \geq 4$. On the other hand, if $n_1 > 1$ then $g^{q^{1/2}+1} \neq 1$ and $p > 2$ by Proposition 5.2(ii), and so we arrive either at (i) or (iii) by Proposition 6.4.

Next we consider the case $k = 1$ for the remaining classical groups. By Proposition 5.2, this implies that $p = 2$ and q is odd. If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then we are done by Lemmas 6.6, 6.7 and Corollary 6.8. Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is endowed with a quadratic form, then it is easy to see that $g_i^2 = \text{Id}$ for each i , whence $o(g) = 2$. Assume V is endowed with a symplectic form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then for every i , $n_i = 2$, $|\lambda_i| = 2(q-1)$ if $\lambda_i \in \text{Spec}(g_i)$, and g_i is conjugate to $\text{diag}(\lambda_i, \lambda_i^q)$ in $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. If g changes the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ by τ , then $\tau = \det(g_i) = -\lambda_i^2$. Hence $g^2 = -\tau \cdot \text{Id}$ is scalar on V and $o(g) = 2$. Thus in all cases $o(g) = 2$ and we are done by Corollary 2.11(i).

3) Henceforth we assume that $k > 1$; in particular, $p > 2$. The assumptions on G, p now imply that each g_i belongs to $SU(V_i)$ in the unitary case, $Sp(V_i)$ in the symplectic case, and $\Omega(V_i)$ in the orthogonal case; moreover, $g^{p^a} = 1$. Choose $X_i := SU(V_i)$, $Sp(V_i)$, or $Spin(V_i)$, respectively, and let $X := X_1$. Without loss we may identify g_i with an inverse image of p -power order of it in X_i . Since any central p -element of $I(V_i)$ is trivial and since $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_s$, we may assume that the order of g_1 modulo $Z(X)$ is p^a .

4) Suppose Theorem 5.11 is applicable to the element g_1 in the group X , and a non-trivial irreducible constituent Φ_1 of $\Theta|_X$ but $X \neq SL_2(q)$ for even q ; in particular, either $n_1 \geq 3$, or $n_1 = 2$ and G is of symplectic type. Then $\deg(\Phi_1(g_1)) \leq \deg(\Theta(g_1)) \leq p^{a-1}(p-1)$. By Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 5.12, $k = n_1$, $d_\Phi(g_1) = p^{a-1}(p-1)$, and either $a = 1$ or $\ell \neq p$ (notice that $X/Z(X) \not\cong PSL_r(q)$ for any odd prime r).

We aim to show that *the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic* and so (ii) holds. Assume the contrary. This implies that $n \geq 2n_1$. If $n_2 < n_1$, then g acts trivially on the nondegenerate subspace $V_1^\perp$, which contains a nonzero singular vector as $\dim(V_1^\perp) \geq n_1$, contrary to our assumption on g . Thus $n_2 = n_1$. We consider two subcases: $|g_2| = p^a$ and $|g_2| < p^a$.

4a) Subcase I: $|g_2| = p^a$. Assume in addition that

(5) Either 3.8 or 3.9 or 3.10 applies to the subgroup $X_1 * X_2$ of G .

Then Φ_1 can be chosen such that $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ is an irreducible constituent of $\Theta|_{X_1 * X_2}$, $\Phi_2 \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X_2)$, and $\dim(\Phi_2) > 1$. In particular, $\deg(\Phi_2(g_2)) \geq p^{a-1}(p-1)$ by Theorem 5.11. If $\ell \neq p$, then $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_1(g_1) \otimes \Phi_2(g_2)) = p^a$ by Lemma 2.12(i). Assume $\ell = p$. In this case $a = 1$, whence $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_1(g_1) \otimes \Phi_2(g_2)) = p = o(g)$ by Lemma 2.12(ii).

4b) Subcase II: $|g_2| < p^a$; in particular, $a \geq 2$. By Theorem 5.11(i), we have two possibilities: $X = SU_{n_1}(q^{1/2})$ with $n_1 \geq 3$ odd, or $X = Spin_{n_1}^-(q)$ with $2 < n_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Suppose the first possibility occurs. Embed $g' := \text{diag}(g_1, g_2)$ in a subgroup $Y := GU_2(q^{n_1/2})$ of $GU_n(q^{1/2})$. The assumption $|g_2| < p^a$ implies that $o(g')(\text{in } Y) = |g'| = p^a$. Applying Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 to an irreducible constituent Ψ of degree > 1 of $\Theta|_{Y \cap G}$, we see that $d_\Theta(g) \geq d_\Psi(g') \geq p^a - 2 > p^{a-1}(p-1)$, a contradiction.

Consider the second possibility. Then by Proposition 5.2(iv) we can embed g_1 , resp. g_2 in a subgroup $SU_{n_1/2}(q)$, and then embed the above element g' in a subgroup $Y := SU_{n_1}(q)$ of G . Again we have $o(g')(\text{in } Y) = |g'| = p^a$. By our assumptions, there is an irreducible constituent Ψ of degree > 1 of $\Theta|_Y$ such that $d_\Psi(g') \leq p^{a-1}(p-1)$. Notice that $n_1 \geq 6$ here. Now if $k = 2$, that is $p|(q+1)$, then we get a contradiction by the results of 2). So $k > 2$; in particular, $(n_1, q) \neq (6, 2)$. Hence Theorem 5.11 applies to X and (5) holds as well. In this case, we get a contradiction by the SU -part of 4b).

5) In what follows, we will examine the cases where either Theorem 5.11 does not apply or (5) fails.

5a) In the SU -case, $n_1 \geq 3$ (as g_1 is a nontrivial irreducible p -element) and $(n_1, q) \neq (3, 4)$ (as $SU_3(2)$ does not contain any irreducible $3'$ -elements of $GL_3(4)$). Thus Theorem 5.11 applies and (5) holds, and so we are done.

5b) Assume $S = PSp_n(q)$ with $n \geq 4$. Notice that $(n_1, q) \neq (2, 3)$ as $k > 1$; furthermore, if $(n_1, q) \neq (2, 2)$ then (5) holds. Applying Theorem 5.11 to $X = Sp(V_1)$, we are done if $n_1 > 2$, or if $n_1 = 2$ but q is odd. Consider the case where $n_1 = 2$ and $2|q \geq 4$. Then $n_2 = 2$ (as $n \geq 4$) and $|g_2| = p$ (as otherwise g fixes a nonzero singular vector). By Lemma 3.3 we are done unless $p = |g_1| = q + 1$. In the exceptional case, by Corollary 3.8 we can find an irreducible constituent $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ of $\Theta|_{X_1 \times X_2}$ such that $\Phi_i \in \text{IBr}_\ell(Sp(V_i))$ and $\dim(\Phi_i) > 1$. Again by Lemma 3.3, $\deg(\Phi_i(g_i)) \geq p - 2$,

whence $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq \deg(\Phi_1(g_1) \otimes \Phi_2(g_2)) = p = o(g)$ by Lemma 2.12. Finally, assume $(n_1, q) = (2, 2)$. Then $p = 3$, and g_1 and g_2 are conjugate in $Sp_2(q)$. It follows by Lemma 2.8 that g fixes a nonzero totally singular subspace of $V_1 \oplus V_2$, a contradiction.

5c) Assume $S = P\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 7$. By Proposition 5.2(iv), either $\epsilon_j = -$ and n_j is even, or $n_j = 1$. First we consider the case $n_1 > 4$ and $(n_1, q) \neq (6, 2)$. Then (5) holds. Observe that Theorem 5.11 can be applied to the element g_1 of $X = Spin(V_1)$. (This is clear if $n_1 \geq 8$. Suppose $n_1 = 6$. Then $Spin_6^-(q) \simeq SU_4(q)$, and $o(g)$ divides $q^3 + 1$ but not $q + 1$ (by irreducibility of g_1) by Proposition 5.2(iv). Now we can apply the already proven results for $X \simeq SU_4(q)$ and obtain that $\deg(\Psi(g_1)) \geq p^{a-1}(p-1)$ for any $\Psi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(X)$ of degree > 1 and that the p -Sylow subgroups of X are cyclic. Moreover, if the equality attains, then either $a = 1$ or $\ell = p$.) Thus we are done in this case.

Now we assume that $(n_1, q) = (6, 2)$; in particular, $o(g) = o(g_1) = 9$. Claim that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$. For, g^3 belongs to a parabolic subgroup of G by Proposition 5.2(iv). Hence by the main result of [DZ1] $\deg(\Theta(g^3)) = o(g^3) = 3$. Therefore if $\ell = 3$ then we are done by Lemma 2.10(ii). It remains to consider the case $\ell \neq 3$. First we suppose that $n_2 = 6$. Then $|g_2| = 9$ (as otherwise g_2 is reducible on V_2). It suffices to prove the claim for $G = \Omega_{12}^+(2)$. Notice that $G > (\Omega(V_1) \times \Omega(V_2)) \cdot \langle t \rangle$ for some involution t and $\langle \Omega(V_i), t \rangle \simeq GO(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, g_i is rational in $GO(V_i)$, cf. [Atlas]. Thus $g = \text{diag}(g_1, g_2)$ is rational in G . Since $|g| = 9$, the rationality of g combined with $\deg(\Theta(g^3)) = 3$ implies that $\deg(\Theta(g)) \geq 7$. Finally, we consider the case $n_2 < 6$. Since $q = 2$ and $n > 6$, we must have $n_2 = 2$ or 4 (and $\epsilon_2 = -$). But $p = 3$ and the 3-element g_2 is irreducible on V_2 , so $n_2 = 2$ and $|g_2| = 3$. It now suffices to prove the claim for $G = \Omega_8^+(2)$. Notice that $\Omega_8^+(2)$ has three classes of elements of order 9, which are permuted by the triality automorphism τ , and at least one of them intersects a subgroup $Y \simeq Sp_6(2)$ of G , cf. [Atlas]. So we may assume that $g' := g^{\tau^\ell} \in Y$ for some $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. Clearly, g' is irreducible in Y . Applying Proposition 5.7 to g' and an irreducible constituent of $\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}|_Y$, we see that $\deg(\Theta(g)) = \deg(\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}(g^{\tau^\ell})) \geq 7$, and so we are done.

5d) We continue the case $S = P\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ with $n \geq 7$ and $n_1 \leq 4$. Consider the case $n_1 = 4$ and $2|q$. First suppose that $q \geq 4$. Then (5) holds. Notice that $\Omega_4^-(q) \simeq PSL_2(q^2)$. Now we can apply Lemma 3.3 and argue as in 5b). Assume $q = 2$, whence $o(g) = 5$. Since g is not contained in any parabolic subgroup of G , $n_2 = 4$. By restriction, it suffices to prove $\deg(\Theta(g)) = 5$ for $n = 8$. Notice that $\Omega_8^+(2)$ has three classes of elements of order 5, which are permuted by the triality automorphism τ , and one of them intersects a parabolic subgroup of G , cf. [Atlas]. So we may assume that g^{τ^ℓ} is contained in a parabolic subgroup for some $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. By [DZ1] applied to $\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = \deg(\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}(g^{\tau^\ell})) = 5$, and so we are done.

Consider the case $n_1 = 4$ and q is odd. First suppose that $q \geq 5$. Then (5) holds. Notice that $Spin_4^-(q) \simeq SL_2(q^2)$. Now we can apply Lemma 3.3 and argue as in 5b). Assume $q = 3$, whence $o(g) = 5$. Since g is not contained in any parabolic subgroup of G , $n_2 = 4$. By restriction, it suffices to prove $\deg(\Theta(g)) = 5$ for $n = 8$. Notice that $Spin_8^+(3)$ has three classes of elements of order 5, which are permuted by the triality automorphism τ , and one of them intersects a parabolic subgroup of G , cf. [Atlas]. So we may assume that g^{τ^ℓ} is contained in a parabolic subgroup for some $\ell = 0, 1, 2$. By [DZ1] applied to $\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}$, $\deg(\Theta(g)) = \deg(\Theta^{\tau^{-\ell}}(g^{\tau^\ell})) = 5$, and so we are done.

Now we may assume that $n_1 = 2$. If m is the largest index such that $n_1 = n_m$, then $n \leq 2m + 2$ (otherwise g acts trivially on the nondegenerate subspace $V_{m+1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{m+s}$ of dimension ≥ 3 and so g fixes a nonzero singular vector). In particular, $m \geq 3$. Recall that $g_i^{q+1} = 1$ for all i , and $o(g_1) = p^a$. Now we can use the isomorphism $P\Omega_6^-(q) \simeq PSU_4(q)$ and apply Corollary 6.2 to the element $h := \text{diag}(g_1, g_2, g_3)$ (inside an inverse image of $P\Omega_6^-(q)$ in G) to get that $q+1 = p^a$ and h is a pseudoreflection in $GU_4(q)$. Notice that the isomorphism $P\Omega_6^-(q) \simeq PSU_4(q)$ is realized by letting $SU_4(q)$ act on the alternating square of its 4-dimensional module, cf. [KL, p. 45]. It follows that the spectrum of h on the 6-dimensional module for $\Omega_6^-(q)$ is of the form $\{\beta, \beta, \beta, \beta^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \beta^{-1}\}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. In particular, the g_i -modules V_i are isomorphic for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Lemma 2.8, h belongs to a parabolic subgroup of $GO_6^-(q)$, contrary to our assumption.

6) Finally, we come back to the exception specified before (4). Recall that, in this case $n = 2m$, $S = \Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ and $g \in H = Sp_{n-2}(q)$. By the result proved for H , we see that, under the assumption $\deg(\Theta(g)) \leq p^{a-1}(p-1)$, p is odd and Sylow p -subgroups of H are cyclic (in particular, $k \geq 2\lfloor(m-1)/2\rfloor + 1$ by Lemma 4.3); furthermore, either $a = 1$ or $\ell \neq p$. If Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic then we are done. Assume the contrary. Then $\epsilon = +$, $k = m$ is even, and we can embed g in a subgroup $R \simeq Sp_m(q)$ of H . Applying Proposition 5.7 to an irreducible constituent of degree > 1 of $\Theta|_R$, we see that $(m, q, |g|) = (4, 2, 5)$. Using the argument with the triality automorphism of $\Omega_8^+(2)$ as in 5d), we conclude that $\deg(\Theta(g)) = 5 = o(g)$. $\square$

We can say more about case (ii) of Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 6.9.

Proposition 6.10. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, suppose that $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p-1)$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. Let m be the smallest positive integer such that $p|(q^m - 1)$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $S = PSL_n(q)$, $n \geq 3$, and $m = n$. Moreover, if $2|n$ then $p^a = p = (q^{n/2} + 1)/(2, q+1)$.
- (ii) $S = PSU_n(q)$, $n \geq 3$, and $m = 4\lfloor(n-1)/2\rfloor + 2$.
- (iii) $S = Sp_{2n}(q)$, $n \geq 1$, $m = 2n$, and $p^a = p = (q^n + 1)/(2, q+1)$.
- (iv) $S = P\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$, $n \geq 4$, and $m = 2n - 2$. Moreover, if n is odd then $p^a = p = (q^{n-1} + 1)/(2, q+1)$.

(v) $S = P\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$, $n \geq 4$, and $m = 2n$. Moreover, if $2|n$ then $p^a = p = (q^n + 1)/(2, q + 1)$.

Proof. 1) Our choice of m is equivalent to the condition that p divides $\Phi_m(q)$ but not $\Phi_i(q)$ for any $i < m$. By the main result of [DZ1], the conditions that $\deg(\Theta(g)) = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$ and Sylow p -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic imply that g cannot belong to any parabolic subgroup of G .

2) Assume $S = PSL_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$. By assumption, Sylow p -subgroups of $S/Z(S)$ are cyclic, whence $(p, q - 1) = 1$. In this case, we see that Sylow p -subgroups of $GL_n(q)$ are cyclic, so without loss we may replace G by $GL_n(q)$. Claim that p does not divide the order of any parabolic subgroup P of G . Assume the contrary. Write $|P|$ and $(G : P)$ (in a unique way, as cyclotomic polynomials are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$) as $q^r \prod_{i \in I} \Phi_i^{k_i}(q)$ and $q^s \prod_{j \in J} \Phi_j^{l_j}(q)$. By Lemma 4.3 and since p divides $|P|$, $m \in I$ and $p \nmid \Phi_j(q)$ for all $j \in J$. Thus $(G : P)$ is coprime to p , and so a conjugate of the p -element g belongs to P , a contradiction. Now our claim implies that $m = n$. In particular, g is irreducible, and we can apply Corollary 5.9 if $2|n$.

3) Assume $S = PSU_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$. Arguing as in 2), we see that $(p, q + 1) = 1$, and that p does not divide the order of any parabolic subgroup P of $GU_n(q)$. Since we can embed $X := GU_{n-2}(q)$ in such a P , we see that $(p, |X|) = 1$. Furthermore, since $Y := GL_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(q^2)$ embeds in another such a P , we see that $(p, |Y|) = 1$. The last two conditions readily imply that $m = 2n - 2$ for even n and $m = 2n$ for odd n , and so we are done.

4) Assume $S = Sp_{2n}(q)$ with $n \geq 2$. Arguing as in 3), we see that $p > 2$, and that p is coprime to $|Sp_{2n-2}(q)|$ and $|GL_n(q)|$. It follows that $m = 2n$. In particular, g is irreducible, and we can apply Proposition 5.7.

Assume $S = P\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ with $n \geq 4$. Arguing as in 3), we see that $p > 2$, and that p is coprime to $|SO_{2n-2}^+(q)|$ and $|GL_n(q)|$. It follows that $m = 2n - 2$. If n is odd (so $n \geq 5$), then we can embed g in $Spin_{2n-2}^-(q)$ as an irreducible element and apply Lemma 5.10.

Assume $S = P\Omega_{2n}^-(q)$ with $n \geq 4$. Arguing as in 3), we see that $p > 2$, and that p is coprime to $|SO_{2n-2}^-(q)|$ and $|GL_{n-1}(q)|$. It follows that $m = 2n$ and so g is irreducible. If n is even, then we can apply Lemma 5.10.

Assume $S = PSL_2(q)$. Arguing as in 2), we see that $p > 2$, and that $(p, q - 1) = 1$. It follows that $m = 2$, and we can apply Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Now Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 follow immediately from Theorems 5.11 and 6.9.

REFERENCES

- [Be] T. R. Berger, Hall-Higman type theorems, IV. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **37** (1973), 317–325.
- [B] H. F. Blichfeldt, “*Finite Collineation Groups*”, Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1917.
- [Bu1] R. Burkhardt, Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen $PSL(2, p^f)$, *J. Algebra* **40** (1976), 75–96.

- [Bu2] R. Burkhardt, Über die Zerlegungszahlen der Suzukigruppen $Sz(q)$, *J. Algebra* **59** (1979), 421 – 433.
- [Bo] A. Borel, R. Carter, C. W. Curtis, N. Iwahori, T. A. Springer, R. Steinberg, “*Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups*”, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. **131**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [BM] M. Broué and J. Michel, Blocs et séries de Lusztig dans un groupe réductif fini, *J. reine angew. Math.* **395** (1989), 56 – 67.
- [C] R. Carter, “*Finite Groups of Lie type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*”, Wiley, Chichester, 1985.
- [Ch] A. Chermak, Quadratic pairs, *J. Algebra* **277** (2004), 36 – 72.
- [Atlas] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, “*An ATLAS of Finite Groups*”, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [DMi] D. I. Deriziotis and G. O. Michler, Character table and blocks of finite simple triality groups ${}^3D_4(q)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 39 – 70.
- [DM] F. Digne and J. Michel, “*Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type*”, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [DZ1] L. Di Martino and A. Zalesskii, Minimum polynomials and lower bounds for eigenvalue multiplicities of prime-power order elements in representations of quasi-simple groups, *J. Algebra* **243** (2001), 228 – 263; Corrigendum, *J. Algebra* **296** (2006), 249 – 252.
- [DZ2] L. Di Martino and A. E. Zalesskii, Eigenvalues of unipotent elements in cross-characteristic representations of finite classical groups, *J. Algebra* (to appear).
- [Do] L. Dornhoff, “*Group Representation Theory*”, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [DT] N. Dummigan and Pham Huu Tiep, Lower bounds for the minima of certain symplectic and unitary group lattices, *Amer. J. Math.* **121** (1999), 889 – 918.
- [Enn] V. Ennola, On the characters of the finite unitary groups, *Ann. Acad. Scient. Fenn. A I*, No. 323 (1963).
- [Eno] H. Enomoto, The characters of the finite symplectic groups $Sp(4, q)$, $q = 2^f$, *Osaka J. Math.* **9** (1972), 75 – 94.
- [Fe] W. Feit, “*The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*”, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [FS] P. Fong and B. Srinivasan, The blocks of finite general linear and unitary groups, *Invent. Math.* **69** (1982), 109 – 153.
- [Ge] M. Geck, Irreducible Brauer characters of the 3-dimensional special unitary groups in non-describing characteristic, *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 563 – 584.
- [GH] M. Geck and G. Hiss, Basic sets of Brauer characters of finite groups of Lie type, *J. reine angew. Math.* **418** (1991), 173 – 188.
- [GL] D. Gorenstein and R. Lyons, The local structure of finite groups of characteristic 2 type, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1983).
- [GMST] R. M. Guralnick, K. Magaard, J. Saxl, and Pham Huu Tiep, Cross characteristic representations of odd characteristic symplectic groups and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **257** (2002), 291 – 347; Addendum, *J. Algebra* **299** (2006), 443 – 446.
- [GT1] R. M. Guralnick and P. H. Tiep, Low-dimensional representations of special linear groups in cross characteristic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 116 – 138.
- [GT2] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, Cross characteristic representations of even characteristic symplectic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 4969 – 5023.
- [GT3] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, The non-coprime $k(GV)$ -problem, *J. Algebra* **293** (2005), 185 – 242.
- [GT4] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, Some bounds on H^2 , (in preparation).

- [HH] P. Hall and G. Higman, On the p -length of p -soluble groups and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **6** (1956), 1 – 42.
- [H1] G. Hiss, “*Zerlegungszahlen endlicher Gruppen vom Lie-Typ in nicht-definierender Charakteristik*”, Habilitationsschrift, Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Germany, 1993.
- [H2] G. Hiss, Hermitian function fields, classical unitals, and representations of 3-dimensional unitary groups, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **15** (2004), 223 – 243.
- [HM] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Low dimensional representations of special unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **236** (2001), 745 – 767.
- [HB] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, “*Finite Groups II*”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1982.
- [Is] I. M. Isaacs, ‘*Character Theory of Finite Groups*’, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [JLPW] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, ‘*An ATLAS of Brauer Characters*’, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [KL] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, ‘*The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*’, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. no. 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [KT] A. S. Kleshchev and Pham Huu Tiep, Representations of finite general linear groups that are irreducible over proper subgroups, (in preparation).
- [KZ] A. S. Kleshchev and A. E. Zalesski, Minimal polynomials of elements of order p in p -modular projective representations of alternating groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), 1605 – 1612.
- [LS] V. Landazuri and G. Seitz, On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 418 – 443.
- [LSS] M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G. M. Seitz, Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **65** (1991), 297 – 325.
- [L] G. Lusztig, ‘*Characters of Reductive Groups over a Finite Field*’, Annals of Math. Studies **107**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1984.
- [MT] K. Magaard and Pham Huu Tiep, Irreducible tensor products of representations of quasi-simple finite groups of Lie type, in: ‘*Modular Representation Theory of Finite Groups*’, M. J. Collins, B. J. Parshall, L. L. Scott, eds., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin et al, 2001, pp. 239 – 262.
- [OW1] T. Okuyama and K. Waki, Decomposition numbers of $Sp(4, q)$, *J. Algebra* **199** (1998), 544 – 555.
- [OW2] T. Okuyama and K. Waki, Decomposition numbers of $SU(3, q^2)$, *J. Algebra* **255** (2002), 258 – 270.
- [P] A. A. Premet, Weights of infinitesimally irreducible representations of Chevalley groups over a field of prime characteristic, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **61** (1988), 167 – 183.
- [R] G. R. Robinson, Some remarks on reduction (mod p) of complex linear groups, *J. Algebra* **83** (1983), 477 – 483.
- [S] G. M. Seitz, Some representations of classical groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1975), 115 – 120.
- [Sh] E. Shult, On groups admitting fixed point free abelian operator groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965), 701 – 720.
- [Sp] N. Spaltenstein, Caractères unipotents de ${}^3D_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **57** (1982), 676 – 691.
- [Su] I. D. Suprunenko, The minimal polynomials of unipotent elements in irreducible representations of the classical groups in odd characteristic, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).

- [Th] J. G. Thompson, Quadratic pairs, in: “*Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice 1970)*”, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1971), Tome 1, pp. 375 – 376.
- [T] Pham Huu Tiep, Weil representations as globally irreducible representations, *Math. Nachr.* **184** (1997), 313 – 327.
- [TZ1] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, Minimal characters of the finite classical groups, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 2093 – 2167.
- [TZ2] Pham Huu Tiep and A.E. Zalesskii, Some characterizations of the Weil representations of the symplectic and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **192** (1997), 130 – 165.
- [TZ3] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, Mod p reducibility of unramified representations of finite groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **84** (2002), 439 – 472.
- [TZ4] Pham Huu Tiep and A.E. Zalesskii, Unipotent elements of finite groups of Lie type and realization fields of their complex representations, *J. Algebra* **271** (2004), 327 – 390.
- [TZ5] Pham Huu Tiep and A.E. Zalesskii, Real conjugacy classes in algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type, *J. Group Theory* **8** (2005), 291 – 315.
- [V] F. D. Veldkamp, Regular elements in anisotropic tori, in: “*Contributions to Algebra*”, pp. 389 – 424, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [Wa] G. E. Wall, On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **3** (1963), 1 – 62.
- [Wh] D. White, Decomposition numbers of $Sp_4(2^a)$ in odd characteristics, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995), 264 – 276.
- [Z1] A.E. Zalesskii, Spectra of elements of order p in representations of Chevalley groups of characteristic p (in Russian), *Vesti AN BSSR, Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Navuk*, 1986, no. 6, 20 – 25.
- [Z2] A.E. Zalesskii, Eigenvalues of matrices of complex representations of finite groups of Lie type, In “*Algebra, Some Current Trends*”, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, **1352** (1988), pp. 206 – 218.
- [Z3] A. E. Zalesskii, Minimal polynomials and eigenvalues of p -elements in representations of quasi-simple groups with a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup, *J. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), 845 – 866.
- [Z4] A. E. Zalesskii, The number of distinct eigenvalues of elements in finite linear groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), 361 – 378.
- [Z5] A. E. Zalesskii, Minimal polynomials of the elements of prime order in complex irreducible representations of quasi-simple groups (submitted).
- [Zs] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, *Monath. Math. Phys.* **3** (1892), 265 – 284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `tiep@math.ufl.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA, NORWICH NR4 7TJ, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `a.zalesskii@uea.ac.uk`